

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	RULING
v.)	
)	
PACIFICORP,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**DISPOSITION: MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY
TESTIMONY GRANTED**

On February 23, 2006, Wah Chang filed a Motion to Exclude Information from the Protective Order (Motion to Exclude). On March 16, 2006, PacifiCorp filed a Motion to Strike Petitioner’s Direct Testimony and Exhibits and Memorandum in Support (Motion to Strike). Both motions are pending. On April 19, 2006, PacifiCorp moved for an extension of time to file reply testimony. PacifiCorp requested that the due date be extended to a date that is 45 days after the Commission decides the two pending motions.

PacifiCorp’s motion is granted. The procedural schedule in this proceeding has been extended over a very long period of time, with extensions having been previously granted at the request of the petitioner, Wah Chang. Although I anticipate that PacifiCorp’s request for an extension of time may result in delayed resolution of this proceeding, I do not expect that such delay will cause any prejudice to petitioner.

PacifiCorp’s reply testimony will be due at a date to be determined. After the pending motions are resolved, a prehearing conference will be scheduled to address the future schedule in this proceeding.

Dated this 9th day of May 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge