

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	RULING
v.)	
)	
PACIFICORP,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**DISPOSITION: WAH CHANG DIRECTED TO
FILE EXPEDITED REPLY**

On April 19, 2006, Respondent, PacifiCorp, moved for an extension of time to file reply testimony in the above-captioned docket. Currently, both parties in this case have motions pending. PacifiCorp requests that its reply testimony not be due until 45 days after these motions are resolved.

PacifiCorp indicates that Wah Chang objects to the due date for reply testimony being extended. PacifiCorp also requests expedited treatment of its motion.

In the interest of providing PacifiCorp with expedited consideration of its motion, I direct Wah Chang to file a reply to PacifiCorp’s motion on an expedited basis, no later than April 27, 2006.

Dated this 21st day of April 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge