

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,)	
)	
)	
Petitioner,)	RULING
)	
v.)	
)	
PACIFICORP,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

DISPOSITION: REPLY TO WAH CHANG’S RESPONSE TO PACIFICORP’S MOTION TO STRIKE PETITIONER’S DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS MAY BE FILED ON OR BEFORE APRIL 21, 2006.

On March 16, 2006, PacifiCorp filed a Motion to Strike Petitioner’s Direct Testimony and Exhibits and Memorandum in Support (Motion to Strike). After receiving a seven-day extension of time to respond, Wah Chang filed a Response to PacifiCorp’s Motion to Strike Petitioner’s Direct Testimony and Exhibits (Response) on April 7, 2006. PacifiCorp requests the opportunity to reply by a date not later than April 21, 2006. PacifiCorp represents that Wah Chang does not oppose PacifiCorp’s request.

PacifiCorp’s motion is granted, and a reply to Wah Chang’s Response may be filed on or before April 21, 2006.

Dated this 13th day of April 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge