BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 428

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER,

RULING

Advice No. 23-018 (ADV 1545), Modifications to Rule 4, Application for Electrical Service.

DISPOSITION: EXTENSION GRANTED

On December 30, 2023, Samuel Drevo, individually and on behalf of the certified class in *James v. PacifiCorp* (Drevo), filed a request for extension of time to reply to PacifiCorp's, dba Pacific Power's, partial objection to Drevo's petition to intervene. Drevo subsequently filed an amended request on January 3, 2024.

Drevo is asking for a seven-day extension of time to file a reply because counsel is currently preparing for the first consolidated damages in the *James* trial, which begins on January 8. Drevo states that given the demands of the pretrial schedule in that case and the intervening New Year's holiday, the extension would afford sufficient opportunity to prepare a reply. Drevo asserts that a short seven-day extension would not unreasonably delay the proceeding or prejudice any of the parties.

In the amended request, Drevo represents that it made a good faith effort to confer with the parties and they have not objected.¹

I find good cause to grant the seven-day extension. A reply by Drevo to PacifiCorp's partial objection is due by 3:00 p.m. on January 11, 2024.

Dated this 3rd day of January, 2024 at Salem, Oregon.

hothanine Mapes

Katharine Mapes Administrative Law Judge

¹ Drevo conveys that it has not received a response from Idaho Power Company as of filing this request.