

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 246 & UE 233

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER  
Request for a General Rate Revision.

(UE 246)

and

IDAHO POWER COMPANY  
Request for General Rate  
Revision.

(UE 233)

RULING

DISPOSITION: MOTIONS TO EXTEND DUE DATE GRANTED WITH  
MODIFICATION; SCHEDULE ADOPTED TO REPLY TO  
MOTION TO COMPEL

**Motions to Extend Testimony Filing Due Dates**

On June 14, 2012, Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon filed Motions to extend the due date for filing testimony in dockets UE 233 and UE 246. In UE 233, Staff asks for a two-day extension of time for Staff and intervenors to file testimony, and a one-day extension of time for Idaho Power Company to file its reply testimony. In UE 246, Staff asks for a two-day extension of time for Staff and intervenors to file testimony on one prudence issue, and a two-day extension of time for Pacific Power to file testimony on that same issue, retaining the current schedule for filing testimony on all other issues. Staff indicates it has contacted the Citizens' Utility Board (CUB), Idaho Power, Pacific Power, the Oregon Industrial Customers of Idaho Power, the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, Renewable Northwest Project, and the Sierra Club and they do not oppose Staff's motions.

Rather than create multiple filing dates applicable to different issues within the dockets, I grant a two-day extension for the filing of Staff and intervenor testimony and the utilities' reply testimony on all issues in both dockets. I adopt the following revised dates:

Staff and Intervenor Testimony	June 20, 2012
Idaho Power and Pacific Power Reply Testimony	July 19, 2012


All other dates in the procedural schedule remain unchanged.

## **Motion to Compel**

On June 12, 2012, CUB filed a Motion to Compel Idaho Power Company to Respond to CUB's Data Requests and for Additional Time to Analyze and File Supplemental Testimony Related to Any Additional Information Provided (motion to compel). CUB requested expedited review of the motion. However, counsel for CUB informed the Commission today that she will be out of the country through July 5, 2012. As a result, the parties may file a response and reply to the motion to compel as permitted under our rules. Given counsel's schedule, I grant CUB an extension of time to file its reply through July 11, 2012.

In its reply, CUB should explain why it needs a separate date to file supplemental testimony, rather than submitting any additional testimony and evidence with its rebuttal testimony currently due on August 13, 2012.

Dated this 14th of June, 2012, at Salem, Oregon.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shani Pines  
Administrative Law Judge