

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 245

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP dba PACIFIC POWER

2013 Transition Adjustment Mechanism


MEMORANDUM

DISPOSITION: INFORMATION REQUESTED IN BRIEFING

The procedural schedule in this docket calls for opening briefs to be filed on September 10, 2012 and closing briefs to be filed on September 17, 2012. In addition to thoroughly briefing all issues relevant to each party's position, the Commissioners have expressed an interest in the parties' attention on the following matters (some matters may not be relevant for all parties):

1. A review of the purpose and execution of the TAM to present, to put into context the current TAM filing;
2. A discussion of the reasons that Pacific Power has asked for an increase in rates every year since the TAM was introduced;
3. A discussion of the factual conflict in the parties' testimony regarding whether arbitrage sales are modeled in GRID; and
4. A discussion of Pacific Power's admission that GRID has understated Pacific Power's NPC in rates every year since the inception of the TAM. Given Pacific Power's admission, on what basis should the Commission find that GRID is accurate, or should the Commission conclude that GRID does not accurately model NPC in rates?

Dated this 31st of August, 2012, at Salem, Oregon.



Shani Pines
Administrative Law Judge