

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 228

In the Matter of

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  
COMPANY

2012 Annual Power Cost Update Tariff.

PREHEARING  
CONFERENCE  
MEMORANDUM  
AND RULING

DISPOSITION: JOINT MOTION TO RESCHEDULE HEARING GRANTED;  
REQUEST FOR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY GRANTED  
IN PART

On August 18, 2011, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) convened a telephone prehearing conference in this matter. The following parties appeared at the conference: Portland General Electric Company (PGE); the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB); and the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU).

**Motion to Reschedule Hearing**

On August 17, 2011, ICNU and PGE filed a joint expedited motion to reschedule the hearing currently scheduled for Wednesday, August 24, 2011. No party opposed the motion. I grant the motion, and adopt the following schedule:

Deadline to File Updated Issues/Witness Lists	August 23, 2011
Telephone Prehearing Conference	10:30 a.m., August 24, 2011
Hearing	9:30 a.m., August 30, 2011


**Request for Surrebuttal Testimony**

At the conference, ICNU requested the opportunity to provide live surrebuttal testimony at the hearing. At that time, I asked ICNU to present the request in the form of a written motion. After further consideration and given the expedited timeline, I have decided to rule on the request now. ICNU's request to provide live surrebuttal testimony is denied. However, ICNU may submit pre-filed surrebuttal testimony prior to the hearing. PGE will then have the opportunity to provide live sur-surrebuttal testimony at the hearing. The deadline for ICNU to submit pre-filed surrebuttal testimony is **August 24, 2011**. The testimony should be limited to responding to new information in PGE's reply testimony. PGE's testimony will likewise be limited to responding to ICNU's surrebuttal testimony.

## Security

The parties recently learned of a confidentiality breach regarding ICNU's prefiled testimony. Upon further investigation, it has been determined that all of ICNU's filings in this docket were properly redacted. Due to issues in other dockets, however, the Commission plans to send a notification to parties shortly reminding parties how to properly redact information so that it is not reviewable on our website.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shani M. Pines  
Administrative Law Judge