

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 227

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER

2012 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

RULING

DISPOSITION: DEADLINES CLARIFIED

On June 27, 2011, Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon filed a motion to admit the testimony of Brian Bahr out of time. The motion explains why Mr. Bahr's testimony was filed three days late, and offers PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power (Pacific Power), an additional three days to file its response to the testimony.

The motion does not state whether Staff attempted to contact Pacific Power to determine whether Pacific Power supports the motion, as required by OAR 860-001-0420(3).¹ Therefore, the motion will not be ruled on until Pacific Power has an opportunity to respond. Under OAR 860-001-0420(5), Pacific Power's deadline to respond to the motion is July 5, 2011.

On June 27, 2011, Pacific Power filed a motion for modified protective order. The motion states that the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon, and Commission Staff support the adoption of the proposed protective order.

The motion does not state whether Pacific Power attempted to contact Noble Americas Energy Solutions, LLC (Noble Americas) to determine whether Noble Americas supports the motion, as required by OAR 860-001-0420(3). The motion will not be ruled on until Noble Americas has an opportunity to respond. Noble Americas is not required to respond to the motion, but may do so until July 5, 2011, per OAR 860-001-0420(5).

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 30th day of June, 2011.



Lisa D. Hardie
Administrative Law Judge

¹ OAR 860-001-0420(3) states, "Before filing a procedural motion, the moving party must make a good faith effort to confer with other parties to seek agreement about the subject of the motion. A procedural motion must describe the effort to confer and the result of the effort."