

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 180/ UE 181/ UE 184

In the Matter of)
)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC)
COMPANY)

Request for a General Rate Revision (UE 180),)
)

In the Matter of)
)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC)
COMPANY)

Annual Adjustments to Schedule 125 (2007)
RVM Filing) (UE 181),)
)

In the Matter of)
)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC)
COMPANY)

Request for a General Rate Revision relating to)
the Port Westward plant (UE 184).)

RULING

**DISPOSITION: PETITIONS TO INTERVENE GRANTED; MOTION
TO ADMIT PRO HAC VICE GRANTED**

On May 12, 2006, petitions to intervene were received from the following parties: Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.¹, the League of Oregon Cities (the League), EPCOR MERCHANT AND CAPITAL (US), Inc. (EPCOR), Dan Meek, and the Utility Reform Project (URP) and Ken Lewis.

¹ Constellation NewEnergy, Inc., filed an errata petition on May 18. The changes did not affect the outcome of this ruling.

Constellation New Energy states that it is a Scheduling Electric Service Supplier (ESS) that serves large non-residential customers in Portland General Electric Company (PGE)'s service territory and has experience in serving many other companies in 16 states, plus Washington, D.C., and Canada. The League says that it has an interest in PGE's case regarding franchise fees and street lights, as well as general rate impacts. EPCOR argues that it is a Scheduling ESS that serves industrial customers within the PGE service territory and that it has an interest in furthering a competitive and robust Oregon retail electricity market. Dan Meek asserts that he has an interest in income taxes that PGE charges to Oregon ratepayers, but are not paid to any level of government, and other issues "appropriate to a general rate case." The URP and Ken Lewis state that they also have an interest in tax matters and other related general rate case issues.

The deadline for objections to the petitions has passed, and the petitions are granted. Petitioners are reminded of the obligation to not unreasonably broaden the issues, burden the record, or unreasonably delay the proceeding.

On May 11, 2006, Ann Fisher filed a motion on behalf of Elster Electricity, LLC, Cellnet Technology, Inc., and Hunt Technologies Inc., to admit Scott DeBroff *pro hac vice*. The documents have been received, and the motion is granted.

Finally, parties are reminded that, because these cases are consolidated, any signatory pages to the protective order submitted under one case will apply to every case in this consolidated group. It is unnecessary to file signatory pages in more than one case listed in the caption of this ruling.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 23rd day of May, 2006.

Christina M. Smith
Administrative Law Judge