

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 173

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PACIFICORP	)	RULING
	)	
Application for Approval of Power Cost	)	
Adjustment Mechanism.	)	

**DISPOSITION: SCHEDULE MODIFIED**

On August 29, 2005, the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU) filed motions for an extension of time and an opportunity to submit rebuttal testimony. On August 30, 2005, I asked the parties to respond to several questions, and to comment on an ALJ-proposed schedule. While I intended for the responses to be due September 1, the ruling contained both a September 1 and September 2 due date. As I have the information from all parties, I am issuing the ruling today.

PacifiCorp does not object to the proposed schedule. ICNU and CUB support the proposed schedule, as well as allowing intervenors to respond to other intervenors' testimony. Staff told me verbally that it has no objections to the proposed schedule.

Based on these responses, the current schedule is modified as follows:

<b>ACTION</b>	<b>DUE DATE</b>
PacifiCorp Rebuttal Testimony Due	September 9, 2005
Intervenor/Staff Supplemental Testimony Due (Intervenors may respond to other intervenors testimony at this time, as well as PacifiCorp's rebuttal testimony)	One week from date of UE 170 order
PacifiCorp Response Due	One week from date of Intervenor/Staff supplemental testimony
Hearing	October 18 or 26, 2005

Once the order is entered in Docket No. UE 170, I will issue another ruling containing actual dates.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 2nd day of September 2005.

---

Kathryn A. Logan  
Administrative Law Judge