

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 161

In the Matter of)	
)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY)	RULING
)	
Adjustments to Schedule 125 (2005 RVM Filing).)	
)	

**DISPOSITION: MOTION TO FURTHER MODIFY
PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE GRANTED**

On June 15, 2004, the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU) filed a letter informing me that ICNU, Commission Staff, the Citizens’ Utility Board (CUB) and Portland General Electric Company (PGE) attended a second settlement conference on June 11, 2004, and were continuing settlement discussions that day, on June 15, 2004. In order to facilitate the settlement discussions on June 11, 2004, ICNU had previously requested changes in the procedural schedule to extend certain due dates. By a ruling dated June 10, 2004, I granted the requested modification to the procedural schedule.

ICNU now requests additional schedule changes as a result of yesterday’s settlement discussions. Specifically, ICNU seeks to extend the revised due dates by two days for the following events: 1) Staff and Intervenors file testimony; 2) PGE files reply testimony; 3) the one-day hearing. No other changes are requested. For purposes of this ruling, ICNU’s letter is treated as a Motion to Further Modify the Procedural Schedule, as follows:

EVENT	NEW DUE DATE (Changed dates in bold)
Staff and Intervenors file testimony	June 30, 2004
One-day-only settlement conference	TBD
PGE files reply testimony	August 11, 2004
Parties file statements of intent to conduct cross-examination	August 18, 2004
One-day-only hearing	August 27, 2004
PGE files MONET update	September 8, 2004

Parties file simultaneous opening briefs	September 17, 2004
Parties file simultaneous reply briefs	September 29, 2004
Oral argument, if requested	TBD

ICNU represents that all parties to the proceeding have been notified, by electronic mail, of the requested procedural schedule changes. ICNU also represents that no party has voiced an objection as of the date of the letter's filing.

I find that the continuing efforts by ICNU, Staff, CUB and PGE to reach settlement justify modifying this docket's procedural schedule again. Based on ICNU's representations that all parties have been notified of the proposed revisions to the procedural schedule and that no party has raised an objection, I conditionally grant the Motion. In recognition, however, that there are parties to the docket that did not participate in yesterday's settlement discussion, a party may still file an objection to the revised procedural schedule by 5:00 p.m., June 18, 2004. If no party files an objection by such time, ICNU's Motion is finally granted, and the procedural schedule is revised as set forth above. The modified dates for filing are considered "in hand" dates.

Dated this 16th day of June, 2004, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge