

December 16, 2005

ALJ Sam Petrillo 550 Capitol St NE Suite 215 PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

RE: UW 110 Joint Brief of Staff and LBWS

Dear ALJ Petrillo,

On December 15, 2005, Staff and LBWS filed their joint brief. Unfortunately, the filed brief contains one minor typographical error. On page 6, line 15, the brief states: "That is \$37 more than the \$6,465 Transportation Expense . . ." Instead, that sentence should state: "That is \$37 more than the \$6,365 Transportation Expense . . ."

Concurrent with this letter, Staff is filing a corrected replacement sheet for page 6. I apologize for any inconvenience. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jason W. Jones Assistant Attorney General Regulated Utility & Business Section

JWJ:jwj/GENO7300

1	those duties. Even after an office employee is hired, it is reasonable to expect that Mrs. Hodge
2	will need to travel to the Company's office location for a period of time for purposes of training
3	the employee. Vehicle No. 1 is also used for duties performed by Rebecca Hodge as a corporate
4	officer. LBWS estimated the vehicle is used 5,200 miles per year for Company business. At the
5	current federal reimbursement rate of \$0.485 per mile, LBWS should be allowed \$2,522 for
6	mileage for Vehicle No. 1; however, Staff and the Company stipulated to \$899 for fuel cost for
7	this vehicle. <sup>1</sup>
8	Although the Intervenors suggest that Mrs. Hodge will only travel 100 miles per month
9	on Company business, there is no indication how this amount was calculated. If Staff and
10	LBWS agreed to this estimation of mileage and used the federal mileage reimbursement rate of
11	\$.0485 per mile, 1,200 miles per year would result in an annual cost \$582. Although this is a
12	savings for Vehicle No. 1, the cost for Vehicle No. 2 would increase. At 12,000 annual miles,
13	the reimbursement for Vehicle No. 2 would be \$5,820 instead of the stipulated amount of
14	\$2,205. In that case, \$582 mileage reimbursement for Vehicle No. 1 plus \$5,820 mileage
15	reimbursement for Vehicle No. 2 totals \$6,402. That is \$37 more than the \$6,365 Transportation
16	Expense (which includes the Vehicle No. 1 lease expense and maintenance expense for both
17	vehicles) stipulated to by Staff and LBWS. Staff and the Company performed a thorough review
18	of transportation costs using actual known data and this cost is reasonable in context of the
19	overall stipulated rates and should be accepted.
20	CONCLUSION
21	The Commission should approve the Stipulation agreed to by Staff and the Company. A
22	thorough review of expenses was conducted by Staff and agreed to by the Company, using
23	known and measurable costs. The revenue requirement proposed by Staff and LBWS generates
24	
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> For 2006, these rates will be lowered by the Internal Revenue Service to \$0.445; however, even using this new

UW 110 STAFF & LBWS BRIEF Page 6 -

rate, the fuel costs stipulated to by Staff and LBWS are still lower than the mileage reimbursement (\$2,314).

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 1 2 3 I certify that on December 16, 2005, I served the foregoing upon the parties hereto by 4 sending a true, exact and full copy by regular mail, postage prepaid and by electronic mail to: 5 TIMOTHY ELLIOTT -- CONFIDENTIAL MARTIN HANSEN **ELLIOTT ANDERSON LLP** FRANCIS HANSEN & MARTIN, LLP 6 42 NW GREELEY AVE 1148 NW HILL ST BEND OR 97701-2912 BEND OR 97701-1914 7 meh@francishansenmartin.com timelliott@bendbroadband.com 8 PATRICK R HODGE LONG BUTTE WATER SYSTEM INC PO BOX 7648 9 BEND OR 97708-7648 lbws@earthlink.net 10 11 12 Neoma Lane 13 Neoma Lane Legal Secretary 14 Department of Justice 15 Regulated Utility & Business Section 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26