

1
2
3
4
5 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**
6 **OF OREGON**
7 **UM 1484**

8 In the Matter of

OPENING BRIEF OF TRACER

9 CENTURYLINK, INC.,

10 Application for Approval of Merger between
11 CenturyTel, Inc., and Qwest Communications
12 International, Inc.

13 **I. INTRODUCTION**

14 Pursuant to the briefing schedule established by the Administrative Law Judge at the
15 conclusion of the evidentiary hearing, the Telecommunications Ratepayers Association for
16 Cost-based and Equitable Rates ("TRACER") hereby files this Opening Brief in the above
17 entitled matter concerning the proposed acquisition of the Qwest Operating Companies
18 ("Qwest")¹ by CenturyTel, Inc. and its affiliates ("CenturyLink").²

19 TRACER is a coalition of large end-users of telecommunications services in the state
20 of Oregon. Its members, which include higher-education institutions operating in the state,
21 obtain a broad variety of communications services, and its individual customer locations (both
22 urban and rural) cover a wide range of sizes. Qwest is the largest local exchange provider for
23

24 ¹ The Qwest Operating Companies include Qwest Communications International, Inc., Qwest Corporation, Qwest
LD Corp., and Qwest Communications Company LLC.

25 ² CenturyLink, as referred to herein, includes CenturyTel, Inc., CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc., CenturyTel of Eastern
Oregon, Inc., and United Telephone Company of the Northwest (dba Embarq).

1 TRACER's members.

2 It is very important to TRACER members that the services they purchase are provided
3 in an efficient manner, at reasonable cost, and with the highest service quality and performance.
4 TRACER members are concerned that any change in Qwest's corporate governance, systems,
5 personnel, services, and regulatory policies be seamless and that there be no rate increases,
6 service disruptions, or other retail service quality degradation arising from the transaction.

7 TRACER members are also concerned that CenturyLink's proposed acquisition of
8 Qwest (the "Proposed Merger") not be implemented in such a way as to degrade wholesale
9 services or disadvantage Qwest's dependent competitors. TRACER members are particularly
10 concerned about wholesale issues because in its Pricing Plan approved in UM 1354 Qwest has
11 been granted considerable pricing flexibility for the retail services TRACER members
12 purchase; accordingly, maintaining a strong and robust competitive market in Oregon is the
13 only real protection TRACER members have against unreasonable price increases by Qwest.

14 Because the merged company will be a critical wholesale provider of services and
15 facilities to competitive retail telecommunications providers, any order approving the Proposed
16 Merger must contain conditions sufficient to ensure that the service quality performance, the
17 practices, and the operations of the merged company support fair and effective competition
18 among carriers in providing services to business, educational, and governmental customers and
19 the general public in Oregon.

20 II. ARGUMENT

21 A. In Order To Approve The Proposed Merger The Commission Must Be Able 22 To Find That It Will Not Harm The Public Interest.

23 In considering whether to approve this transaction pursuant to ORS 759.375 and ORS
24 759.380, the Commission applies the "in the public interest, no harm" standard.³ In other
25

³ Staff/100, Dougherty/4, lns. 13-15.

1 words, the Commission can find this transaction is in the public interest only if the public is no
2 worse off with the merger than without it. In the context of this case, that means that the
3 Commission must impose sufficient conditions to ensure that costs related to the transaction,
4 including the costs of integrating the businesses of the Merged Company, are not borne by the
5 Merged Company's retail or wholesale customers, and that retail and wholesale service quality
6 are not degraded as a result of the transaction. In addition, the transaction must not result in
7 any harm to the competitive marketplace. As the Commission stated in its review of recent the
8 transaction between Frontier Communications and Verizon Northwest, "[t]he continued
9 existence of a robust, competitive marketplace is essential to satisfying the 'no harm' standard
10 for the transaction."⁴

11 As demonstrated below, the Proposed Merger poses substantial risks of harm to retail
12 customers, particularly Qwest's retail customers whose services are subject to significant
13 pricing flexibility under Qwest's Pricing Plan. The Proposed Merger also poses substantial
14 risks to CLECs and the competitive marketplace. While a settlement between the Joint
15 Applicants and the Commission Staff and CUB and a second one with Integra have been
16 submitted to address many of these risks, neither of the settlements adequately address the risks
17 to either retail or wholesale customers. Accordingly, the Commission must impose additional
18 conditions before it can find that the Joint Applicants have met their burden to demonstrate that
19 this Proposed Merger is in the public interest.

20 In TRACER's view, the imposition of sufficient wholesale conditions is just as
21 important for the protection of retail customers as is the imposition of meaningful retail
22 conditions. As noted above, that is because maintaining an effective and robust competitive
23 market is the only real protection many business, educational, and governmental customers
24

25 ⁴ *In the Matter of Verizon Communications Inc. and Frontier Communications Corporation Joint Application for an Order Declining to Assert Jurisdiction, or, in the alternative, to Approve the Indirect Transfer of Control of Verizon Northwest Inc.*, Order No. 10-067 at 20, docket UM 1431 (entered February 24, 2010).

1 have against unreasonable prices and degrading service quality from the incumbent providers.

2 **B. The Proposed Merger Poses Substantial Risks of Harm to Business,**
3 **Educational, And Governmental Customers In Oregon.**

4 **1. There Is A Significant Risk Of Rate Increases To Fund Transition**
5 **And Integration Costs Until Synergy Benefits Are Achieved.**

6 According to CenturyLink, one of the primary benefits flowing from the proposed
7 transaction is “[i]mproved operating and capital efficiency through reductions in corporate
8 overhead and the elimination of duplicative functions and systems.”⁵ In fact, CenturyLink
9 asserts that the merger with Qwest will generate annual synergies of \$625 million.⁶ These
10 synergies are expected to take the form of reduced corporate overheads, network and
11 operational efficiencies, IT support, increased purchasing power, and the combining of the two
12 companies’ advertising and marketing programs. But these synergies are difficult to forecast,
13 and they may not develop as expected.

14 Whatever the synergies, they come at a substantial cost. CenturyLink estimates that
15 there will be one-time operating costs of \$650 to \$850 million to achieve the planned synergies
16 nationwide. On top of that an additional \$150 to \$200 million in capital costs will be required.⁷
17 These costs are also estimates, and the company concedes that they could be exceeded.
18 Moreover, these costs will be incurred before the benefits of the synergies are felt, so that they
19 represent a **net new requirement for funds**.⁸

20 It is not clear where the money for these transition costs will come from. According to
21 CenturyTel witness, John Jones, based on 2009 financials, the merged CenturyTel and Qwest
22 would generate about \$1.7 billion in free cash flow, which could be used to retire the
23 integration costs.⁹ However, as Mr. Bailey testified, the merged company expects to use the

24 ⁵ CTL/300, Bailey/13, at lns. 6-7.

⁶ *Id.*, at p. 14, lns. 1-6; see also, Cross-Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), pp. 100, lns. 18-22.

25 ⁷ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 100, ln. 22 to p. 101, ln. 2.

⁸ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 101, lns. 4-15.

⁹ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 102, lns. 2-19.

1 \$1.7 billion in remaining cash flow for debt repayment and additional investment.¹⁰ Given the
2 fact that key parts of the rationale for the merger are to expand service offerings (including
3 IPTV and high-speed Internet services), which require substantial investment, and reduce debt,
4 while paying “appropriate compensation” to capital providers,¹¹ it is unlikely the merged
5 company will look to bond or stock sales. Instead, it is likely CenturyLink will look to its local
6 retail and wholesale operations, including those in Oregon, to meet the urgent requirement to
7 increase revenue.

8 Moreover, the estimates of synergies and integration costs do not define the entire scope
9 of the threat of possible rate increases to retail or wholesale customers. CenturyLink
10 acknowledges that the costs of completing the merger and integrating Qwest’s business,
11 operations, networks, systems, technologies, policies and procedures with those of CenturyLink
12 could turn out to be greater than expect. CenturyLink also acknowledges that the synergies
13 (savings) it expects to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization
14 of economies of scale and costs savings related to the integration of the businesses could be
15 less or delayed longer than expected. In its July 16, 2010 SEC
16 Form S-4 describes the risks as follows:

17 **CenturyLink expects to incur substantial expenses related to the merger.**

18 CenturyLink expects to incur substantial expenses in connection with completing
19 the merger and integrating the business, operations, networks, systems, technologies,
20 policies and procedures of Qwest with those of CenturyLink. There are a large number
21 of systems that must be integrated, including billing, management information,
22 purchasing accounting and finance, sales, payroll and benefits, fixed asset, lease
23 administration and regulatory compliance. While CenturyLink has assumed that a certain
24 level of transaction and integration expenses would be incurred, there are a number of
factors beyond its control that could affect the total amount or the timing of its integration
expenses. Many of the expenses that will be incurred, by their nature, are difficult to
estimate accurately at the present time. Moreover, CenturyLink expects to commence
these integration initiatives before it has completed a similar integration of its business
with the business of Embarq, acquired in 2009, which could cause both of these

25 ¹⁰ CTL/300, Bailey 13, lns. 14-17; *Id.*, at p. 14, lns. 13-15.

¹¹ CTL/300, Bailey 12, ln. 14 to 13, ln. 7.

1 integration initiatives to be delayed or rendered more costly or disruptive than would
2 otherwise be the case. Due to these factors, the transaction and integration expenses
3 associated with the Qwest merger could, particularly in the near term, exceed the savings
4 that CenturyLink expects to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the
5 realization of economies of scale and cost savings related to the integration of the
6 businesses following the completion of the merger. As a result of these expenses,
7 CenturyLink expects to take charges against its earnings before and after the completion
8 of the merger. The charges taken after the merger are expected to be significant,
9 although the aggregated amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

10 **Following the merger, the combined company may be unable to integrate
11 successfully the businesses of CenturyLink and Qwest and realize the anticipated
12 benefits of the merger.**

13 The merger involves the combination of two companies which currently operate
14 as independent public companies. The combined company will be required to devote
15 significant management attention and resources to integrating the business practices and
16 operations of CenturyLink and Qwest. Potential difficulties the combined company may
17 encounter in the integration process include the following:

- 18 · the inability to successfully combine the businesses of CenturyLink and Qwest
19 in a manner that permits the combined company to achieve the cost savings
20 anticipated to result from the merger, which could result in the anticipated
21 benefits of the merger not being realized in the time frame currently anticipate
22 or at all;
- 23 · lost sales and customers as a result of certain customers of either of the two
24 companies deciding not to do business with the combined company;
- 25 · the complexities associated with managing the combined businesses out of
several different locations and integrating personnel from the two companies,
which at the same time attempting to provide consistent, high quality products
and services under a unified culture;
- the additional complexities of combining two companies with different
histories, regulatory restrictions, markets and customer bases, and initiating this
process before CenturyLink has fully completed the integration of its operations
with those of Embarq;
- the failure to retain key employees of either of the two companies;
- potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses, delays or
regulatory conditions associated with the merger; and
- performance shortfalls at one or both of the two companies as a result of the
diversion of management's attention caused by completing the merger and
integrating the companies' operations.¹²

26 Thus, the real risk of rate increases for retail customers could be even greater than if
27 everything goes as CenturyLink expects. If the merged company increases its rates unilaterally

¹² CenturyLink SEC Form S-4, dated July 16, 2010, at 15-17, included in Staff/102, Dougherty/16-17.

1 to fund the merger, then its customers would have been better off if the merger had never taken
2 place.

3 **2. The Retail Conditions In The Staff Settlement Are Ambiguous And**
4 **Are Inadequate To Protect Retail Customers From Rate Increases To**
5 **Pay For The Costs Of The Merger And Integration Of The Merging**
6 **Companies' Businesses; They Should Be Clarified.**

7 In direct testimony Staff witness, Michael Dougherty noted the risk of rate increases to
8 retail customers to fund the one-time operating and capital costs required to achieve the
9 planned synergies. He recommended that the Commission adopt conditions to ensure that
10 customers will not be harmed by higher rates that result from the transaction.¹³ Sections 8, 9,
11 and 10 of the Staff Stipulation are intended to embody those conditions; however, the language
12 of those sections is ambiguous and could be read in such a way as to eviscerate any protections
13 for retail customers, particularly those for whom Qwest has substantial pricing flexibility under
14 its Pricing Plan approved in Docket UM 1354.

15 For example, Section 9 of the Staff Stipulation provides in part: "Operating Companies
16 will not seek recovery of one-time transition, branding or transaction costs in Oregon intrastate
17 **regulated rate proceedings.**" (Emphasis added.) It is not clear what is meant by "regulated
18 rate proceedings". In particular, it is not clear whether it includes rate increases under Qwest's
19 Pricing Plan approved in UM 1354? If not, section 9 does not provide any protection to
20 business customers (other than those in Rate Group 3 and customers of the first line at a
21 location) against rate increases to recover one-time transition, branding or transaction costs.

22 Fortunately, CenturyTel witness John Jones testified that it is CenturyTel's intent that
23 Oregon retail customers not be impacted by changes in rates as a result of the transaction.¹⁴ He
24 also testified that the term "regulated rate proceedings" includes rate increases under the Qwest
Pricing Plan approved in Docket UM 1354¹⁵ and that CenturyLink "would not use that process

¹³ Staff/100; Dougherty/29, at lns. 7-8.

¹⁴ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 103, lns. 21-24.

¹⁵ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 104, lns. 21-24.

1 to increase rates.”¹⁶

2 To remove any doubt about the proper interpretation, the Commission should amend
3 Condition 9 of the Staff Stipulation by adding: “For purposes of this condition, ‘regulated rate
4 proceedings’ shall be construed to include rate increases under the Qwest Pricing Plan
5 approved in Docket UM 1354.”

6 Section 10 of the Staff Stipulation is also ambiguous and needs clarification. Section 10
7 provides:

8 The **Merged Company** will not recover, or seek to recover through
9 wholesale or retail service rates or other fees paid by wholesale or retail
10 customers any increases in **overall management costs that result from**
11 **the transaction**, including those incurred by the **operating companies**.
12 For purposes of this condition, “**transaction-related costs**” shall be
13 construed to include all **Merged Company** costs related to or resulting
14 from the transaction and any related transition, conversion, or migration
15 costs and, for example, shall not be limited in time to costs incurred only
16 through the Closing Date.

17 “Merged Company” is capitalized and appears to be a defined term, but there is no
18 definition of that term in the Stipulation. It is not clear whether “Merged Company” includes
19 the operating companies. Again, Mr. Jones testified that CenturyTel’s understanding is that the
20 term includes the Oregon properties and that it is the company’s intent that the operating
21 companies, which are the ones that actually provide services to retail customers, would not seek
22 to recover any of the transaction or integrations costs in rates.¹⁷

23 It is also unclear what is included in “overall management costs that result from the
24 transaction.” The second sentence in Condition 10 contains a reference to “transaction-related
25 costs” as if that term were used above; however, it is not used elsewhere. Is that intended to be
the same as “overall management costs that result from the transaction”? Mr. Jones testified
that it is.¹⁸ He also testified that it includes all of the integration costs referred to in the

¹⁶ *Id.* at lns 19-20.

¹⁷ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 105, ln. 17 to p. 106, ln. 3.

¹⁸ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 108, ln. 23 to p. 109, ln. 19.

1 CenturyTel SEC Form S-4 at pages 16-17.¹⁹

2 To reflect the intent of the parties and avoid unnecessary ambiguity the Commission
3 should amend Condition 10 of the Staff Stipulation to read as follows:

4 The Merged Company (**including the operating companies**) will not
5 recover, or seek to recover through wholesale or retail service rates or
6 other fees paid by wholesale or retail customers any increases in overall
7 management costs that result from the transaction, including those
8 incurred by the operating companies. For purposes of this condition,
9 **"overall management costs"** shall be construed to include all Merged
10 Company costs related to or resulting from the transaction and any related
11 transition, conversion, or migration costs and, for example, shall not be
12 limited in time to costs incurred only through the Closing Date.

13 **3. Even If The Retail Conditions Are Clarified, Many End-Users Are
14 Still At Risk Of Rate Increases As A Result Of The Transaction .**

15 Even if the retail conditions of the Staff Stipulation are clarified as proposed above,
16 many end-users will still be at risk of rate increases as a result of the proposed transaction.
17 That is because, regardless how they are written, the retail conditions will still be very difficult
18 to enforce in the context of the Qwest Pricing Plan. That Plan simply imposes rate caps and
19 allows use of price lists. It will be problematic for the Staff or any customer to determine and
20 demonstrate that the particular price increase was undertaken to pay for merger transaction or
21 integration costs or to finance additional investment, reduce debt, or compensate shareholders.
22 While clear retail conditions are important, the bottom line is that the extent to which the
23 merged company can get additional revenue from its customers is dependent on the extent to
24 which competition limits its ability to increase rate unilaterally. TRACER members believe
25 that the only real protection they have is maintaining a healthy competitive market.

26 **C. The Merging Companies Have Not Committed to Sufficient Conditions To
27 Prevent A Degradation Of Wholesale Service Quality As A Result Of The
28 Merger.**

29 **1. The Proposed Merger Also Poses A Significant Risk Of Harm To
30 Wholesale Customers And To Local Competition In Oregon**

31 ¹⁹ Cross Examination of John Jones, Tr. Vol. 1 (12-16-10), p. 106, ln. 16 to p. 108, ln. 22.

1 As pointed out by Dr. Ankum, most Oregon CLECs are dependent on Qwest and
2 CenturyLink for interconnection and other essential wholesale services.²⁰ Moreover, because
3 they compete with the CLECs operating in their territories, the merging companies have a
4 strong incentive to undermine their wholesale CLEC customers by increasing wholesale rates,
5 diminishing service quality, reducing resources devoted to wholesale customers, or eliminating
6 wholesale offerings on which CLECs depend.²¹ It is beyond dispute that the proposed merger
7 creates additional incentives on the part of the merged company and its operating subsidiaries
8 to discriminate against and disadvantage dependent competitors. Since a strong, viable
9 competitive market is TRACER members' only real protection against unwarranted price
10 increases/exercise of incumbents' market power, it is essential that there be strong and
11 meaningful wholesale conditions imposed on the merger.

12 TRACER is particularly concerned about the risks to service quality resulting from this
13 transaction. As discussed at length in the direct testimony of Dr Ankum, a number of recent
14 large wireline acquisitions have resulted in severe service quality degradation: (1) Hawaiian
15 Telecom's acquisition of Verizon Hawaii; (2) FairPoint's acquisition of Verizon operations in
16 northern New England; and (3) Frontier's acquisition of 4.8 million Verizon lines in 14 states.²²
17 TRACER is concerned that a similar deterioration of service might develop following the
18 transfer of Qwest to CenturyLink, for two primary reasons.

19 The first is discussed above: the pressure to finance the implementation of the merger.
20 While price increases for retail customers may be one source of funds for the merger
21 implementation, another source could easily be cost cutting in the form of reduced resources,
22 including capital investment and manpower devoted to plant maintenance and customer
23 service, particularly in the wholesale arena. Naturally this would lead to a deterioration of
24

25 ²⁰ Joint CLECs/1, Ankum/13, Ins. 12-14.

²¹ *Id.* at Ins. 14-17.

²² Joint CLECs/1, Ankum/25-36.

1 service performance.

2 The second reason for concern is the incompatibility of the Qwest and CenturyLink
3 operating support systems. To achieve the forecasted synergies, CenturyLink will have to
4 integrate its protocols and IT systems with those of Qwest. This integration comes on top of
5 the already complex integration of the Embarq systems with those of CenturyTel. As noted in
6 the quoted section of the CenturyTel S-4 filing, CenturyLink has conceded that this integration
7 could present several difficulties. Past experience has demonstrated that these difficulties can
8 result in degraded service performance and excessive costs.

9 **2. The Staff And Integra Settlements Are Inadequate To Protect Against**
10 **Harm To Wholesale Customers And Local Competition; Additional**
11 **Conditions Are Required.**

12 TRACER concurs with the arguments of the Joint CLECs that the Staff and Integra
13 Settlements fail to adequately address the potential harm of the proposed transaction to
14 wholesale customers and to local competition. TRACER also generally agrees with the
15 additional conditions recommended by the Joint CLECs to address this potential harm to the
16 public interest. Accordingly, TRACER will not repeat the Joint CLECs' arguments.

17 In addition, TRACER is particularly concerned that the Staff/Integra Settlements do not
18 go far enough in protecting against a degradation of wholesale service quality. That is because
19 a degradation of wholesale service quality can not only affect end-users that take service from
20 competitive providers, but it can adversely affect local competition as a whole. From an end-
21 user's perspective it doesn't make any real difference who is responsible for a service quality
22 problem. The end user doesn't really care. What is important is that there is a problem and
23 that it be fixed as soon as possible. If the poor service quality can be avoided by taking service
24 from the incumbent, then the competitive provider isn't really a viable option. If competitive
25 providers cannot be seen as viable options because wholesale service quality from the
incumbent declines, all retail customers suffer. Without strong and viable competitors, there

1 will be no restraint on the incumbent from raising prices or letting its own service quality
2 decline.

3 TRACER believes it is critical that there be a performance assurance plan that actually
4 tracks changes in wholesale service quality in the Qwest territory and compares post-merger
5 wholesasle service quality with wholesale service quality before the merger. Further, any
6 performance assurance plan should include a self-executing set of penalties that act as a
7 disincentive for the merged company to try to achieve synergies by allowing wholesale service
8 quality to decline. TRACER believes the Joint CLECs' proposal for an alternative wholesale
9 performance assurance plan, the "APAP" meets these criteria and should be adopted as a
10 condition of approving the merger.

11 While the Staff Settlement requires the Merged Company to meet or exceed the average
12 wholesale performance provided by Qwest to the CLECs for a certain time following the
13 merger and to conduct a root cause analysis if wholesale service quality deteriorates, these are
14 not sufficient incentives for the merged company to maintain wholesale service quality levels
15 post-merger. First, the comparison wouldn't even be between pre-merger and post-merger
16 service quality.

17 Second, the root cause provision (Staff Settlement Condition 34(b)) does not provide
18 any self-effectuating incentives so that, if/when post-merger wholesale service quality
19 deterioration occurs, the merged company is properly motivated to resolve these problems
20 immediately and without the need for additional litigation and disputes.²³ The root cause
21 provision that requires the merged company to determine why service quality problems are
22 occurring and to develop a plan to rectify them is of little benefit to CLECs and their end users
23 who will be experiencing service-affecting problems and disruptions.²⁴

24 Moreover, it is inappropriate to shift the burden of proving harm to the CLECs as

25 ²³ *Id.* at p. 44, lns. 1-22.

²⁴ *Id.*

1 CenturyLink and Qwest argue.²⁵ It is the applicants' burden to demonstrate that the merger
2 will not harm the public interest.

3 It is not in the public interest to approve the merger based on a commitment from the
4 merged company to simply investigate merger-related wholesale service quality problems as
5 they occur and propose a plan to fix them; instead, the proposed merger should not be approved
6 unless there are sufficient assurances that wholesale service quality deterioration does not occur
7 in the first place. The APAP is an essential self-effectuating mechanism to ensure that during
8 the synergy period the merged company's performance in the legacy Qwest ILEC territory does
9 not deteriorate as compared to pre-merger performance. If the merged company acts as
10 CenturyLink and Qwest say it will, and there is no degradation in service, then the merged
11 company will not be subjected to any payments or penalties. Only if the merged company fails
12 to live up to representations that CenturyLink and Quest have made to the Commission will the
13 APAP provisions be triggered.

14 Based on the record in this proceeding, the Commission should adopt the Joint CLECs'
15 proposed APAP condition and require that it remain in place for the duration of the synergy
16 period, whether that be three years, five years, or longer. As CenturyLink has acknowledged,
17 there is a risk that the projected synergies will not be as great as estimated, or be delayed longer
18 than expected, or perhaps not materialize at all.²⁶ The key is that the APAP remain in place as
19 long as the incentive to cut costs at the expense of wholesale service quality exists.

20 TRACER acknowledges that Qwest and CenturyTel have raised a number of questions
21 about how the Joint CLECs' proposed APAP would work. While TRACER believes those
22 questions have largely been answered, it recommends that the more appropriate course for the
23 Commission would be to require that an appropriate self-executing wholesale performance
24 assurance plan be implemented as a condition for approving the merger. The Commission then

25 ²⁵ Rebuttal Testimony of Michael G. Williams, Exhibit MGM-1RT, at p. 20, ln. 22 to p. 21, ln. 3.

²⁶ CenturyLink LEC Form S-4, dated July 16, 2010, at 15-17, included in Staff/102, Dougherty/16-17.

1 should open an expedited docket to more fully evaluate the issues that have been raised about
2 the Joint CLECs' APAP and make corrections, if needed. Alternative plans could also be
3 considered. The bottomline is that the goal of that docket should be to adopt a specific
4 wholesale performance assurance plan that would provide proper incentives for the merged
5 company to prevent service quality degradation.

6 **III. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

7
8 Based on the foregoing, TRACER urges the Commission to adopt the proposed
9 clarifications to the retail conditions in the Staff Settlement discussed herein in addition to the
10 conditions set forth in the testimonies and brief of the Joint CLECs.

11 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of January, 2011.

12 ATER WYNNE LLP

13
14
15 By: 

16 Arthur A. Butler WSBA #: 04678 (*pro hac vice*)
17 Joel R. Paisner OSB # 100580
18 601 Union Street, Suite 1501
19 Seattle, WA 98101-3981
20 Telephone: (206) 623-4711
21 Facsimile: (206) 467-8406
22 E-mail: aab@aterwynne.com
23 Attorneys for TRACER
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 25th day of January, 2011, served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document upon parties of record, by email to all parties and by U.S. Mail to the parties who have not waived paper service:

On Behalf Of Level 3, 360networks:

Lisa F. Rackner
McDowell, Rackner & Gibson PC
Suite 400
419 SW 11th Avenue
Portland OR 97205

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 595-3928
☒ Email (lisa@mcd-law.com)

On Behalf Of 360networks:

Michel L. Singer Nelson
360 Networks
Suite 600
370 Interlocken Boulevard
Broomfield CO 80021

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (303) 854-5100
☒ Email (michel.nelson@360.net)

On Behalf Of Integra:

Gregory Merz
Gray, Plant, Mooty, Mooty & Bennett, P.A.
500 IDS Center
80 South Eight Street
Minneapolis MN 55402

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (612) 632-4257
☒ Email (gregory.merz@gpmlaw.com)

On Behalf Of Covad Communications:

Katherine K. Mudge
Covad Communications Company
2nd Floor
7000 N Mopac Expressway
Austin TX 78731

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (512) 514-6520
☒ Email (kmudge@covad.com)

On Behalf Of Commission Staff:

Michael Dougherty
Oregon Public Utility Commission
PO Box 2148
Salem OR 97308-2148

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 373-7752
☒ Email (michael.dougherty@state.or.us)

On Behalf Of Charter Fiberlink:

Michael R. Moore
Charter Communications
12405 Powerscourt Drive
St. Louis MO 63131

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (314) 965-6640
☒ Email (michael.moore@chartercom.com)

On Behalf Of Parker Communications:

Edwin B. Parker
Parker Communications
PO Box 402
Gleneden Beach OR 97388

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (edparker@teleport.com)

On Behalf Of PriorityOne Telecommunications:

Kelly Mutch
PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc.
808 Adams Avenue
PO Box 758
LaGrande OR 97850-6462

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (541) 975-5700
☒ Email (kmutch@p1tel.com)

On Behalf Of Level 3:

Adam Lowney
McDowell, Rackner & Gibson PC
Suite 400
419 SW 11th Avenue
Portland OR 97205

Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 595-3928
☒ Email (adam@mcd-law.com)

On Behalf Of Sprint Nextel:

Kristin L. Jacobson
Sprint Nextel
Suite 1500
201 Mission Street
San Francisco CA 94105

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (kristin.l.jacobson@sprint.com)

1 **On Behalf Of Sprint Nextel:**

2 Kenneth Schifman
3 Sprint Nextel
4 6450 Sprint Parkway
5 Overland KS 66251

6 *Confidentiality Status:*

_____ Hand Delivered
_____ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
_____ Overnight Mail (UPS)
_____ Facsimile
_____ x Email (kenneth.schifman@sprint.com)

7 **On Behalf Of Sprint Nextel:**

8 Diane C. Browning
9 Sprint Nextel
10 6450 Sprint Parkway
11 Overland KS 66251

12 *Confidentiality Status:*

_____ Hand Delivered
_____ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
_____ Overnight Mail (UPS)
_____ Facsimile
_____ x Email (diane.c.browning@sprint.com)

13 **On Behalf Of 360networks:**

14 Penny Stanley
15 360 Networks
16 11th Floor
17 2401 4th Avenue
18 Seattle WA 98121

19 *Confidentiality Status:*

_____ Hand Delivered
_____ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
_____ Overnight Mail (UPS)
_____ Facsimile (206) 239-4365
_____ x Email (penny.stanley@360.net)

20 **On Behalf Of CenturyLink:**

21 Rhonda Kent
22 CenturyTel
23 805 Broadway Street
24 Vancouver WA 98660-3277

25 *Confidentiality Status:*

_____ Hand Delivered
_____ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
_____ Overnight Mail (UPS)
_____ Facsimile
_____ x Email (rhonda.kent@centurylink.com)

26 **On Behalf Of T-Mobile:**

27 Dave Conn
28 T-Mobile USA, Inc.
29 12920 SE 38th Street
30 Bellevue WA 98006-1350

31 *Confidentiality Status:*

_____ Hand Delivered
_____ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
_____ Overnight Mail (UPS)
_____ Facsimile (425) 383-3640
_____ x Email (dave.conn@t-mobile.com)

1 **On Behalf Of Northwest Communications Council:**

2 Frank G. Patrick
3 Corporate Lawyers PC
4 PO Box 231119
5 Portland OR 97281

6 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 245-1448
☒ Email (fgplawpc@hotmail.com)

7 **On Behalf Of Parker Telecommunications, City of
8 Lincoln City:**

9 Douglas R. Holbrook
10 Holbrook & Seifert LLC
11 PO Box 2087
12 Newport OR 97365

13 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (541) 265-2131
☒ Email (doug@lawbyhs.com)

14 **On Behalf Of Lincoln County, Oregon:**

15 Wayne Belmont
16 Lincoln County Legal Counsel
17 Room 110
18 225 West Olive Street
19 Newport OR 97365

20 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (541) 265-4176
☒ Email (wbelmont@co.lincoln.or.us)

21 **On Behalf Of Tillamook County:**

22 William K. Sargent
23 1134 Main Avenue
24 Tillamook OR 97141

25 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 842-8862
☒ Email (wsargent@oregoncoast.com)

On Behalf Of Charter Fiberlink:

Brian Nixon
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Suite 200
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20006

Confidentiality Status: Public

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (202) 973-4499
☒ Email (briannixon@dwt.com)

On Behalf Of City of Lincoln City:

David Hawker
City of Lincoln City
801 SW Highway 101
Lincoln City OR 97367

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (davidh@lincolncity.org)

On Behalf Of Communication Connection:

Charles Jones
Communication Connection
Suite B
14250 NW Science Park Drive
Portland OR 97229

Confidentiality Status: Public

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (charlesjones@cms-nw.com)

On Behalf Of Pacific Northwest Payphone:

Randy Linderman
Pacific Northwest Payphone
PMB 300
2373 NW 185th Avenue
Hillsboro OR 97124-7076

Confidentiality Status: Public

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (rlinderman@gofirestream.com)

On Behalf Of Northwest Public Communications Council:

Greg Marshall
Northwest Public Communications Council
#310
2737 NW 185th Avenue
Hillsboro OR 97124

Confidentiality Status: Public

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email: gmarshall@corbantechologies.com

On Behalf Of QSI Consulting, Inc.:

Patrick L. Phipps
QSI Consulting, Inc.
3504 Sundance Drive
Springfield IL 62711

Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☐ Email

1 **On Behalf Of Warm Springs Telecommunications:**

2 Adam Haas
3 Converge Communications, Incorporated
4 10425 SW Hawthorne Lane
5 Portland OR 97225

6 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 297-1081
☐ Email
☒ (adamhaas@convergecomm.com)

7 **On Behalf Of Charter Fiberlink OR, XO, twtc, Covad,**
8 **PriorityOne:**

9 Mark P. Trinchero
10 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
11 Suite 2300
12 1300 SW Fifth Avenue
13 Portland OR 97201-5630

14 *Confidentiality Status: Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 778-5299
☒ Email (marktrinchero@dwtt.com)

15 **On Behalf Of United Telephone Company of the**
16 **Northwest:**

17 Barbara Young
18 CenturyTel
19 ORHDRA0305
20 902 Wasco Street
21 Hood River OR 97031-3105

22 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (541) 387-9753
☐ Email
☒ (barbara.c.young@centurylink.com)

23 **On Behalf Of Qwest:**

24 Alex M. Duarte
25 Qwest Corporation
11th Floor
310 SW Park Avenue
Portland OR 97205

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 242-8589
☒ Email (alex.duarte@qwest.com)

26 **On Behalf Of CenturyLink:**

27 William E. Hendricks III
28 CenturyTel
29 805 Broadway Street
30 Vancouver WA 98660-3277

31 *Confidentiality Status: Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (tre.hendricks@embarq.com)

On Behalf Of Warm Springs Telecommunications:

Marsha Spellman
Converge Communications, Incorporated
10425 SW Hawthorne Lane
Portland OR 97225

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 297-1081
☒ Email (marsha@convergecomm.com)

On Behalf Of Sprint Nextel and T-Mobile:

Judith A. Endejan
Graham & Dunn, PC
Pier 70
2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300
Seattle WA 98121-1128

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (206) 340-9599
☒ Email (jendejan@grahamdunn.com)

On Behalf Of Qwest:

Mark S. Reynolds
Qwest Corporation
Room 3206
1600 7th Avenue
Seattle WA 98191

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (206) 346-7289
☒ Email (mark.reynolds3@qwest.com)

On Behalf Of Commission Staff:

Bryan Conway
Oregon Public Utility Commission
PO Box 2148
Salem OR 97308-2148

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 373-7752
☒ Email (bryan.conway@state.or.us)

On Behalf Of Department of Justice:

Jason W. Jones
Oregon Department of Justice
General Counsel Regulated Utility & Business
1162 Court Street NE
Salem OR 97301

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 378-5300
☒ Email (jason.w.jones@state.or.us)

1 **On Behalf Of Northwest Communications Council:**

2 Richard Stevens
3 Centel Communications, Inc.
4 PO Box 25
5 Goldendale WA 98620-0025

6 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (509) 773-6113
☒ Email (rstevens@gorge.net)

7 **On Behalf Of CUB:**

8 Robert Jenks
9 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
10 Suite 400
11 610 SW Broadway
12 Portland OR 97205

13 *Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 274-2956
☒ Email (bob@oregoncub.org)

14 **On Behalf Of Charter Fiberlink:**

15 K.C. Halm
16 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
17 Suite 200
18 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
19 Washington DC 20006

20 *Confidentiality Status: Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (202) 973-4487
☒ Email (kchalm@dwt.com)

21 **On Behalf Of Level 3 Communications:**

22 Rick Thayer
23 Level 3 Communications, LLC
24 1025 El Dorado Boulevard
25 Bloomfield CO 80021-8869

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (720) 888-5134
☒ Email (rick.thayer@level3.com)

26 **On Behalf Of Level 3:**

27 Wendy L. McIndoo
28 McDowell, Rackner & Gibson PC
29 Suite 400
30 419 SW 11th Avenue
31 Portland OR 97205

32 *Confidentiality Status: Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 595-3928
☒ Email (wendy@mcd-law.com)

On Behalf Of Integra:

Karen L. Clauson
Integra Telecom, Inc.
6160 Golden Hills Drive
Golden Valley MN 55416

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (763) 745-8459
☒ Email (klclauson@integratelecom.com)

On Behalf Of CenturyLink:

Charles L. Best
Suite 538
1631 NE Broadway
Portland OR 97232-1425

Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (chuck@charleslbest.com)

On Behalf Of XO Communications:

Rex Knowles
XO Communications Services, Inc.
Suite 400
7050 Union Park Avenue
Midvale UT 84047

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (801) 951-2133
☒ Email (rex.knowles@xo.com)

On Behalf Of tw telecom of oregon llc:

Lyndall Nipps
tw telecom
Suite 500
9665 Granite Ridge Drive
San Diego CA 92123

Confidentiality Status: Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☒ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile
☒ Email (lyndall.nipps@twtelecom.com)

On Behalf Of CenturyLink:

John Felz
CenturyTel
A0412
902 Wasco Street
Hood River OR 97031-3105

Confidentiality Status:

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (541) 387-9753
☒ Email (john.felz@embarq.com)

1 **On Behalf Of CUB:**

2 Gordon Feighner
3 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
4 Suite 400
5 610 SW Broadway
6 Portland OR 97205

7 *Confidentiality Status:*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 274-2956
☒ Email (gordon@oregoncub.org)

8 **On Behalf Of CUB:**

9 Kevin Elliott Parks
10 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
11 Suite 400
12 610 SW Broadway
13 Portland OR 97205

14 *Confidentiality Status: Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 274-2956
☒ Email (kevin@oregoncub.org)

15 **On Behalf Of CUB:**

16 G. Catriona McCracken
17 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
18 Suite 400
19 610 SW Broadway
20 Portland OR 97205

21 *Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential*

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 274-2956
☒ Email (catriona@oregoncub.org)

22 **On Behalf Of CUB:**

23 Raymond Myers
24 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
25 Suite 400
610 SW Broadway
Portland OR 97205

Confidentiality Status: Highly Confidential

☐ Hand Delivered
☐ U.S. Mail (first-class, postage prepaid)
☐ Overnight Mail (UPS)
☐ Facsimile (503) 274-2956
☒ Email (ray@oregoncub.org)

DATED this 25th day of January 2011, at Seattle, Washington.


