February 6, 2006

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center P.O. Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

> Re: In the Matter of MidAmerican Energy Holding Company Application for Authorization to Acquire Pacific Power & Light, dba PacifiCorp: Docket UM 1209.

Enclosed please find an original and five copies of the Brief filed on behalf of the Community Action Directors of Oregon and the Oregon Energy Coordinators Association by Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson in the above-captioned Docket.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

/s/ Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson

Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson

Enclosures

cc: Service List

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1209

In the Matter of MIDAMERICAN ENERGY HOLDING COMPANY & PACIFICORP,)) Brief of Thomas James (Jim)) Abrahamson on behalf of the Community) Action Directors of Oregon and the) Oregon Energy Coordinators Association
Application for Authorization to Acquire Pacific Power & Light, dba PacifiCorp)))

1 This brief is submitted on behalf of the Community Action Directors of Oregon 2 and the Oregon Energy Coordinators Association (CADO-OECA) who are intervenors in 3 this Docket relating to MEHC Energy Holdings Company's (MEHC) application for 4 authorization to acquire PacifiCorp. It is submitted by Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson 5 who has filed testimony in this Docket and whose qualifications can be found in CADO-6 OECA Exhibit 101. Descriptions of the Community Action Directors of Oregon 7 (CADO) and the Oregon Energy Coordinators Association (OECA) can be found in 8 CADO-OECA 100 and 102. 9 This brief is submitted in response to the Commission's Bench Request that was

issued on January 18, 2005. This brief is submitted pursuant to OAR 860-012-0007 and contains no legal argument. Rather, this brief contains the presentation of factual argument related to this Docket from the perspective of CADO / OECA.

13

12

10

Issues Identified in This Docket Addressed by CADO / OECA

2	On November 1, 2005 Judge Smith issued a ruling in this Docket that established		
3	the issues to be consi	dered in this case. This issues list incorporated all of the concerns	
4	and issues raised by CADO / OECA during our oral comments. The issues included in		
5	Judge Smith's ruling that reflect CADO / OECA issues are:		
6	Issue 1-a-i:	Infrastructure and Resource Investments – Effect of Oregon	
7		Ratepayers.	
8	Issue 1-b-iv:	Renewable Resources and Energy Efficiency – Proposed Demand	
9		Side Management study.	
10	Issue 3-c:	Customer Service – Assistance to low-income customers.	
11	Issue 3-d:	Customer Service – Public purpose funding under SB 1149.	
12	Issues 5-a:	Other Effects – Relocation of headquarters or personnel.	
13			
14	CADO / OECA's Position on Potential Harms and Proposed Remedies		
15	CADO / OECA presented oral comments in this Docket to the Commission on		
16	October 25, 2005 and filed direct testimony on November 21, 2005. CADO-OECA		
17	discussed four areas of potential harms to PacifiCorp's Oregon customers, particularly		
18	8 low-income customers, from this proposed acquisition. These areas were:		
19	The Risk of Unnecessarily High Future Rates		
20	 Potential Lack of Sensitivity to the Importance of SB 1149 		
21	PacifiCorp Leadership's "Center of Gravity" and the Future of Local Jobs		
22	Potentially Unnecessary Multi-State DSM Study and the Risks to Oregon's Low		
23	Income Weat	herization Programs	

In our November 21, 2005 direct testimony (CADO / OECA 100) we proposed four remedies for these identified harms. In this testimony we made a direct correlation between the potential harms, our proposed remedies, and the issues identified in Judge Smith's November 1, 2005 ruling. Our proposed remedies are summarized as follows:

- MEHC should provide \$2.0 million of shareholder funds per year for three years to help reduce the energy burden of its low-income residential customers.
 - MEHC should make an explicit commitment to support the permanent continuation of SB 1149 including both the public purpose charge a portion of which funds the Energy Conservation Helping Oregonians (ECHO) fund that is used for weatherization projects for low-income households AND the separate meter charge that funds the Oregon Energy Assistance Program low-income bill payment assistance program. MEHC should also make a commitment to support changes in SB 1149 that protect low-income Oregonians from the fluctuations in real purchasing power of these funds caused by increases in electricity prices.
 - MEHC should commit to there being no net loss of jobs in Oregon as a result of their acquisition of PacifiCorp. MEHC should also provide a strong statement of support for, and the continued evolution of, a corporate culture that has been sensitive over the years to Pacific Northwest environmental, renewable resource and low-income issues.
 - The value of Applicants proposed Demand Side Management study should be
 closely scrutinized, especially in light of the value that \$1.0 million in shareholder
 funds could provide if applied toward helping to ease low-income customer's
 energy burdens. It appears that this study may be unnecessary and duplicative. If

the applicants are determined to pursue such a study, then CADO-OECA representatives should be directly included in the efforts as a way of incorporating "low-income agencies" as suggested in MEHC's Supplemental Direct Testimony.

The UM 1209 Settlement Process and the December 23, 2005 Stipulation

CADO / OECA were active participants in all aspects of the settlement process in this Docket. We were directly involved in the associated give and take of the settlement process. As a result of this participation, we are a party to the Stipulation that was filed on December 23, 2005. The elements of the Stipulation addressing CADO / OECA's list of potential harms, and the related remedies, are:

44 a) MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to conducting a company-defined thirdparty market potential study of additional DSM and energy efficiency
opportunities within PacifiCorp's service areas. The objective of the study will be
to identify opportunities not yet identified by the company and, if and where
possible, to recommend programs or actions to pursue those opportunities found
to be cost effective. The study will focus on opportunities for deliverable DSM
and energy efficiency resources rather than technical potentials that may not be
attainable through DSM and energy efficiency efforts. On-site solar and combined
heat and power programs may be considered in the study. During the three-month
period following the close of the transaction, MEHC and PacifiCorp will consult
with DSM advisory groups and other interested parties to define the proper scope
of the study. The findings of the study will be reported back to DSM advisory

1	groups, commission staffs, and other interested stakeholders and will be used by
2	the Company in helping to direct ongoing DSM and energy efficiency efforts.
3	The study will be completed within fifteen months after the closing on the
4	transaction, and MEHC shareholders will absorb the first \$1 million of the costs
5	of the study.
6	
7	O 23) MEHC and PacifiCorp agree to include representatives of both
8	Community Action Directors of Oregon and Oregon Energy Coordinators
9	Association in the list of interested parties participating in the DSM study under
10	Commitment 44.
11	
12	47) MEHC understands that having adequate staffing and representation in each
13	state is not optional. MEHC understands its importance to customers, to
14	regulators and to states. MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to maintaining adequate
15	staffing and presence in each state, consistent with the provision of safe and
16	reliable service and cost-effective operations.
17	
18	O 2 a) The corporate headquarters of PacifiCorp will remain in Oregon. MEHC
19	commits to maintaining a balance of corporate and senior management positions
20	between Oregon and Utah. To achieve such balance, MEHC may decide as
21	appropriate to locate a few corporate and senior management positions, and
22	associated staff, in Utah, if cost effective.
23	

By September 1, 2007, MEHC and PacifiCorp will file a plan with the Commission that explicitly sets forth: (1) corporate and senior management positions (and associated corporate personnel positions) that have been identified for location in Oregon and Utah; (2) the timeframe for implementing different stages of the plan; and (3) an economic analysis supporting the cost effectiveness of the plan. MEHC will promptly implement the plan pursuant to the timeframe. If corporate or senior managers, and related staff, are relocated from Oregon to Utah under the Plan, the costs of relocation will not be included in Oregon rates. O 2 b) PacifiCorp and MEHC will ensure that senior management personnel located in Oregon continue to have authority to make decisions on behalf of PacifiCorp pertaining to (1) local Oregon retail customer service issues related to tariff interpretation, line extensions, service additions, DSM program implementation and (2) customer service matters related to adequate investment in and maintenance of the Oregon sub-transmission and distribution network and outage response. Such decisions will be subject to normal and prompt corporate approval procedures, senior executive approval and board approval, as appropriate. MEHC and PacifiCorp will include a description of the implementation of this commitment in the filing required in paragraph a). **O 7**) MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to \$142.5 million (total company amount) of offsetable rate credits as reflected in Appendix 2 and as described in the following Commitments 0 8 through 0 12. These rate credits will be reflected in rates on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the effective date of new rates as determined by the Commission in a general rate case. The rate credits will terminate on December 31, 2010, to the extent not previously offset, unless otherwise noted. The rate credits in Commitments 0 8 and 0 12 are subject to deferred accounting as specified therein. Where total company values are referenced, the amount allocated to Oregon will equal the Oregon-allocated amount using Commission-adopted allocation factors.

O 12 a) MEHC and PacifiCorp commit that PacifiCorp's total company A&G costs will be reduced by \$6 million annually based on the A&G categories, assumptions, and values contained in Appendix 3 titled, "UM 1209 A & G Stretch". The amount of the total company rate credit is \$6 million per year. This commitment expires December 31, 2010. Beginning with the first month after the close of the transaction, Oregon's share of the \$0.5 million monthly rate credit will be deferred for the benefit of customers and accrue interest at PacifiCorp's authorized rate of return. This Commitment is in lieu of Commitments 22 and U 23 from the Utah settlement, and a state must choose between this Commitment 0 12 and Commitments 22 and U 23.

O 19) MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to support renewal of the 3% public purpose charge under ORS 757.612 beyond 2011, provided that the Commission supports the renewal, the report to the legislature required under ORS 757.617 concludes that the Energy Trust continues to operate in a reasonable manner, and the legislation renewing the 3% public purpose charge is not included in a bill that

MEHC and PacifiCorp otherwise deem objectionable. Additionally, with respect to potential modifications to the 3% public purpose charge arising from the 2007 report to the legislature required under ORS 757.617, MEHC and PacifiCorp agree to oppose any modifications that reduce the public purpose charge to less than 3% annually. In addition, provided the report to the legislature concludes the Energy Trust has operated in a reasonable manner and the Commission renews its contract with the Energy Trust, MEHC and PacifiCorp will support the Energy Trust.

O 20) MEHC and PacifiCorp acknowledge that the \$10 million low-income bill payment assistance under ORS 757.612 does not expire in 2011. MEHC and PacifiCorp will oppose any reduction in the current amount of the fund.

O 21) MEHC commits to provide shareholder funding to hire a consultant to study and design for possible implementation of an arrearage management project for low-income customers that could be made applicable to Oregon and other states that PacifiCorp serves. PacifiCorp will provide a resource for facilitation of a working group to oversee the project. The study shall commence no later than 180 days after close of the transaction and be completed, through the issuance of a formal report to the Commission, no later than 365 days after close of the transaction. MEHC recognizes that such a program may have to be tailored to best fit the unique low-income environment of each individual state. The project will be developed by PacifiCorp in conjunction with the relevant regulatory and

governmental agencies, low-income advocates, and other interested parties in each state that is interested in participating. The goals for the project will include reducing service terminations, reducing referral of delinquent customers to third party collection agencies, reducing collection litigation and reducing arrearages and increasing voluntary customer payments of arrearages. The costs of this study will be at least \$66,000 on a total company basis paid for by shareholders. If less than six states participate, the amount of the shareholder funds will be reduced proportionally. O 22) MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to a total contribution level for Oregon low income bill payment assistance in the amount of \$400,000 annually, for a five year period beginning July 1, 2006. The contributions may be comprised of contributions from corporate, employee, other sources, and customer donations. The corporate contribution will be recorded in non-utility accounts. Before the end of the five-year period, MEHC and PacifiCorp commit to work with lowincome advocates and customer groups to evaluate additional contributions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Conclusion

2	CADO / OECA contend that the Stipulation filed in this Docket on December 23		
3	2005 sufficiently mitigates the risks and concerns that CADO / OECA have raised in this		
4	proceeding. We submit that the stipulated agreement reached among the various parties		
5	meets the net benefits test required for approval of this application. We ask that the		
6	Commission approve this application as modified by the agreed upon Stipulation.		
7			
8	Dated this 6th day of February, 2006		
9			
10	Respectfully Submitted,		
11			
12	/s/ Thomas James Abrahamson		
13			
14	Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson		
15	Oregon Energy Partnership Coordinator		
16	Community Action Directors of Oregon		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 6, 2006, I delivered an original and five copies of the foregoing Brief of THE COMMUNITY ACTION DIRECTORS OF OREGON and the OREGON ENERGY COORDINATORS ASSOCIATION in Docket UM 1209 to the Commission's office in Salem. In addition, I served this brief on all parties whom have an email address on the official service list, and by U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid, to those parties who do not have an email address on the official service list for UM 1209.

/s/ Thomas James Abrahamson

Thomas James (Jim) Abrahamson Oregon Energy Partnership Coordinator Community Action Directors of Oregon

NW ENERGY COALITION	RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS
219 FIRST ST STE 100	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
SEATTLE WA 98104	RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS
steve@nwenergy.org	121 SW SALMON STREET, 1WTC0702
steve invenergy.org	PORTLAND OR 97204
	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF	JIM ABRAHAMSON CONFIDENTIAL
AMERICA	COMMUNITY ACTION DIRECTORS OF
PO BOX 37	OREGON
SAN CLEMENTE CA 92674-0037	P.O. BOX 7964
uwua@redhabanero.com	SALEM OR 97303-0208
uwua@reanaoanero.com	jim@cado-oregon.org
DOUGLAS L ANDERSON	SUSAN ANDERSON
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY HOLDINGS	CITY OF PORTLAND OFFICE OF
CO	SUSTAINABLE DEV
302 S 36 ST STE 400	721 NW 9TH AVE SUITE 350
OMAHA NE 68131	PORTLAND OR 97209-3447
danderson@midamerican.com	susananderson@ci.portland.or.us
ADAM S ARMS CONFIDENTIAL	EDWARD BARTELL
MCKANNA BISHOP JOFFE & SULLIVAN	KLAMATH OFF-PROJECT WATER
LLP	USERS INC
1635 NW JOHNSON ST	30474 SPRAGUE RIVER ROAD
PORTLAND OR 97209	SPRAGUE RIVER OR 97639
aarms@mbjlaw.com	SFRAGUL RIVER OR 97039
aarins@inojiaw.com	
CURTIS G BERKEY	CHARLTON H BONHAM
ALEXANDER, BERKEY, WILLIAMS &	TROUT UNLIMITED
WEATHERS, LLP	828 SAN PABLO AVE
2000 CENTER STREET, SUITE 308	SUITE 208
BERKELEY CA 94704	ALBANY CA 94706
cberkey@abwwlaw.com	cbonham@tu.org
MAGGIE BRILZ	JOANNE M BUTLER
IDAHO POWER COMPANY	IDAHO POWER COMPANY
PO BOX 70	PO BOX 70
BOISE ID 83707-0070	BOISE ID 83707-0070
mbrilz@idahopower.com D KEVIN CARLSON CONFIDENTIAL	jbutler@idahopower.com PHIL CARVER CONFIDENTIAL
DEPT OF JUSTICE - GENERAL	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
COUNSEL DIVISION	625 MARION ST NE STE 1
1162 COURT ST NE	SALEM OR 97301-3742
SALEM OR 97301-4096	philip.h.carver@state.or.us
d.carlson@doj.state.or.us	printprinour for @ state.or.us
RALPH CAVANAGH CONFIDENTIAL	BRYAN CONWAY
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE	PO BOX 2148
COUNCIL	SALEM OR 97309-2148
111 SUTTER ST FL 20	bryan.conway@state.or.us
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104	<u> </u>
rcavanagh@nrdc.org	
JOHN CORBETT	JOAN COTE CONFIDENTIAL
YUROK TRIBE	OREGON ENERGY COORDINATORS
PO BOX 1027	ASSOCIATION
KLAMATH CA 95548	2585 STATE ST NE
jconiet209 (tardktidecasener	SALEM OR 97301 Page 2
1~ Attivities Characteristics	cotej@mwvcaa.org
CHRIS CREAN CONFIDENTIAL	MELINDA J DAVISON
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	CONFIDENTIAL

ROBERT DENHAM MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN, LLP 355 SOUTH GRAND AVE 35TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90071 robert.denham@mto.com