

CHEYENNE AGUILERA Direct (503) 290-3627 cheyenne@mrg-law.com

May 13, 2020

#### **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Attention: Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201 High Street SE, Suite 100 P.O. Box 1088 Salem, Oregon 97308-1088

# Re: Docket UE 376 – In the Matter of Idaho Power Company's 2019 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up

Attention Filing Center:

Attached for filing in the above-captioned docket is the Joint Explanatory Brief in support of the Stipulation.

Please contact this office with any questions.

Sincerely

Cheyenne Aguilera Office Manager

Attachment

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

## UE 376

In the Matter of

# JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

2019 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up.

This brief explains and supports the Stipulation filed in this proceeding on May 13, 2020,
among Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or "Company"), the Oregon Citizens' Utility Board
("CUB"), and Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff") (together, the "Stipulating
Parties"). The Stipulation resolves all issues related to Idaho Power's 2019 Annual Power
Supply Expense True-Up ("True-Up"). This True-Up implements the Company's Power Cost
Adjustment Mechanism ("PCAM") by calculating the deviation between actual net power supply
expenses ("NPSE") and those expenses recovered through the Combined Rate.

# I. BACKGROUND

## A. Idaho Power's PCAM.

8 In Order No. 08-238, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") approved 9 Idaho Power's PCAM.<sup>1</sup> As part of the Commission-approved PCAM, Idaho Power must file a 10 True-Up each February that calculates the difference between the actual NPSE incurred by the 11 Company in the relevant PCAM year (January through December) and the expenses recovered 12 for that period through the Combined Rate.<sup>2</sup> NPSE deviations that are eligible, as determined

<sup>1</sup> Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket No. UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008). The PCAM was amended by Order No. 09-373. Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket No. UE 195, Order No. 09-373 (Sept. 18, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order No. 08-238 at 2-3. The "Combined Rate" is determined annually in the Company's Annual Power Cost Update ("APCU") proceeding. The Combined Rate has two components—an "October Update" and a "March Forecast." The October Update contains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized and unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast

by the terms of Order No. 08-238, are added to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up
Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account") at the end of each 12-month period ending
in December along with 50 percent of the annual interest calculated at the Company's
authorized cost of capital.<sup>3</sup> To be eligible for inclusion in the True-Up Balancing Account, the
NPSE deviation must fall outside the NPSE deadband<sup>4</sup> and pass the earnings test described in
Order No. 08-238.<sup>5</sup>

#### B. The 2019 True-Up.

7 On February 25, 2020, Idaho Power filed its True-Up reflecting the NPSE deviation for 8 calendar year 2019.<sup>6</sup> The Company's initial filing used the most recent Oregon Results of 9 Operations ("ROO") report available at the time of the filing—the ROO for 2018.<sup>7</sup> The NPSE 10 deviation was negative \$15,225,136.12 on a system-wide basis, or negative \$704,923.80 on an 11 Oregon-allocated basis.<sup>8</sup> Using the 2018 ROO produced an upper NPSE deadband of \$2,584,287 and lower NPSE deadband of negative \$1,292,143.<sup>9</sup> The upper deadband applies 12 13 when actual NPSE is greater than NPSE recovered in rates and the lower deadband applies 14 when actual NPSE is less than NPSE recovered in rates. Here, the lower deadband applies 15 because the actual NPSE was less than the NPSE recovered in rates. Because the Oregon-

<sup>8</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/4–5.

contains the Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions. The rates from the October Update and March Forecast are combined into the "Combined Rate," which is effective on June 1 of each year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 3-4. The NPSE deadband is based upon the Company's capital structure and the rate base, measured on an Oregon basis, from the most recent Oregon ROO report. The Oregon Allocated Power Cost Deviation is compared to the positive and/or negative deadbands. A positive deviation (actual NPSE greater than those recovered through the Combined Rate) constitutes an excess power supply expense. This expense is first reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 250 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the Combined Rate) is a power supply expense savings. This savings is reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 125 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* If the Company's earnings are within 100 basis points of its authorized ROE for the previous year ending December 31, then no amounts will be added to the True-Up Balancing Account even if the NPSE deviation falls outside the NPSE deadbands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Idaho Power/100-109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/6.

allocated NPSE deviation of negative \$704,923.80 was greater than the lower deadband of
 negative \$1,292,143, the Company's initial filing determined that nothing should be added to
 the True-Up Balancing Account.<sup>10</sup>

4 The Company's initial filing also addressed the difference between the actual operations 5 and maintenance ("O&M") costs incurred because of the Company's participation in the 6 Western Energy Imbalance Market ("EIM") and the EIM expenses included in rates.<sup>11</sup> This 7 initial filing also discussed the impact of Order No. 11-086, which addressed the appropriate treatment of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Energy Credits ("REC").<sup>12</sup> Consistent with 8 9 Order Nos. 11-086 and 17-185, Idaho Power proposed that these proceeds be applied as a credit to the True-Up Balancing Account.<sup>13</sup> The Company also proposed to update the level of 10 11 amortization collection associated with intervenor funding.<sup>14</sup>

On March 24, 2020, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention. On April 1, 2020, Administrative
 Law Judge Christopher J. Allwein held a prehearing conference at which the parties to this
 docket agreed upon a limited procedural schedule.<sup>15</sup>

15 On April 15, 2020, in accordance with Order No. 09-373, the Company filed supplemental 16 testimony that calculated the NPSE deadbands and Oregon-allocation factor using the 17 Company's 2019 ROO report. The 2019 ROO produced an upper deadband of \$2,672,533 and 18 a lower deadband of negative \$1,336,267.<sup>16</sup> Applying the Oregon allocation percentage from 19 the 2019 ROO produced an NPSE deviation of negative \$689,698.67.<sup>17</sup> This amount remained

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/7; *Re Application of Idaho Power Company to Sell RECs*, Docket No. UP 269, Order No. 11-086 (Mar. 15, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/8–9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Prehearing Conference Memorandum (Apr. 1, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

greater than the lower deadband of negative \$1,336,267.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, the use of the 2019 ROO
did not alter the determination that nothing should be added to the True-Up Balancing Account.
Pursuant to the agreed upon schedule, Staff, CUB, and the Company participated in a
settlement conference on April 28, 2020. As a result of the settlement discussions, the
Stipulating Parties have agreed to the terms of the Stipulation.

#### II. DISCUSSION

#### A. Terms of the Stipulation.

6 In the Stipulation, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company has appropriately 7 calculated the NPSE amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this 8 calculation complies with the terms of Order Nos. 08-238 and 09-373.<sup>19</sup> Thus, the Stipulating 9 Parties agree that the Company will not add any NPSE deviation amounts to the True-Up 10 Balancing Account for 2019.<sup>20</sup>

The Stipulating Parties also agree that the 2019 net proceeds from the sale of RECs should be applied as a credit to the True-Up Balancing Account, consistent with Order No. 11-086,<sup>21</sup> and that Idaho Power will update the level of amortization collection associated with intervenor funding amounts as well as include amortization of deferred O&M start-up expenses associated with the Company's participation in the EIM. Idaho Power will begin amortization and collection in rates through Schedule 56 of \$37,383 in intervenor funding amounts and \$23,842 in deferred EIM O&M start-up expenses.

18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Stipulation at ¶ 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Stipulation at ¶ 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Stipulation at ¶ 9.

## B. The Stipulation Will Result in Just and Reasonable Rates.

1 The Commission has adopted stipulations that are supported by competent evidence in 2 the record, appropriately resolve the issues in a case, and result in just and reasonable rates.<sup>22</sup> 3 When evaluating the rates, the Commission examines "the reasonableness of the overall 4 rates."<sup>23</sup> Here, the Stipulation satisfies these standards.

5 First, the Stipulation is supported by the record, which includes the Company's testimony 6 and exhibits describing exactly how it calculated the deviation between actual NPSE incurred 7 by the Company in 2019 (January through December) and the expenses recovered for that period through the Combined Rate.<sup>24</sup> Staff and CUB conducted a thorough investigation of the 8 9 Company's testimony and exhibits and participated in the settlement conference. As a result of 10 their investigation, Staff and CUB concluded that there were no issues in the Company's filing 11 that warranted filing responsive testimony. Although Staff and CUB have not filed testimony, 12 the Stipulating Parties agree that the record in this case is sufficient to support a finding that the 13 Stipulation is reasonable and should be adopted.

Second, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company appropriately calculated the amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies with the terms of Orders Nos. 08-238 and 09-373.<sup>25</sup> The Company's filed case reflects correct calculations that conform to Commission precedent and the resulting rates are just and reasonable and fall within the "range of reasonableness" for resolution of these issues.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Re PacifiCorp's 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Docket No. UE 207, Order No. 09-432 at 6 (Oct. 30, 2009) ("The Commission concludes that the Stipulation is an appropriate resolution of all primary issues in this docket."); *Re PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate Revision*, Docket No. UE 210, Order No. 10-022 at 6 (Jan. 26, 2010) ("When considering a stipulation, we have the statutory duty to make an independent judgment as to whether any given settlement constitutes a reasonable resolution of the issues."); *Re PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate*, Docket No. UE 217, Order No. 10-473 at 7 (Dec. 14, 2010) ("We have reviewed the Stipulation, and find that it will result in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Re Application of Portland General Electric Co. for an Investigation into Least Cost Plant Retirement,* Docket No. DR 10 et al., Order No. 08-487 at 7-8 (Sept. 30, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Idaho Power/100-109; Idaho Power/200-204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Stipulation at ¶ 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Re US West, Docket No. UM 773, Order No. 96-284 at 31 (Nov. 1, 1996).

## C. Treatment of REC Proceeds.

1 The Stipulating Parties agree that the \$234,708.83 in 2019 net proceeds from the sale of 2 RECs should be applied as a credit to the True-Up Balancing Account, consistent with 3 Order No. 11-086.<sup>27</sup>

# D. Staff Analysis Supporting the Stipulation.

In reviewing the filing, Staff investigated the Company's calculation of the net power supply
expense, the proceeds of REC sales, EIM start-up costs, and of intervenor funding.

6 This was informed by the Company's responses to Staff's 12 data requests, which focused 7 on the Company's REC management plan and internal procedures for selling RECs, the 8 sources and quantities of RECs generated by the Company, the sharing of revenues and costs 9 related to REC sales, and EIM start-up costs incurred by the Company.

10 Staff found that the filing complied with all previous Commission orders. In its investigation,

11 Staff found no issues that would warrant an adjustment to the resulting numbers. Because of

12 this, Staff believes the Stipulation results in fair, just, and reasonable rates.

## E. CUB's Analysis Supporting the Stipulation.

13 CUB believes the Stipulation will result in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable. In its 14 review of the filling, CUB found no issues with the Company's calculation of NPSE, and finds 15 that the Company has complied with all relevant orders in this proceeding. CUB supports the 16 Stipulation as a reasonable resolution of the issues in this case.

## III. CONCLUSION

- 17 For all the above reasons, the Stipulating Parties request that the Commission approve
- 18 the Stipulation and the resulting rates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Idaho Power/203; Stipulation at ¶ 9; Order No. 11-086 at 2 ("Idaho Power will record all net proceeds from the sale of RECs in a regulatory liability account to accrue interest at the Company's authorized cost of capital. Net REC proceeds shall be applied as a credit to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-up Balancing Account as part of the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) after all variances (including deadbands and earnings review), less 10 percent of proceeds which may be retained by the Company.").

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: May 13, 2020.

#### MCDOWELL RACKNER GIBSON PC

Wan Jouney

Adam Lowney Attorney for Idaho Power

IDAHO POWER COMPANY Lisa Nordstrom Lead Counsel PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF Stephanie Andrus Attorney for Staff Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301

OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD Michael Goetz Staff Attorney Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400 Portland, OR 97205