1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION		
2	OF OREGON		
3	UE 173		
4	In the Matter of		
5	PACIFICORP	STAFF'S CLOSING BRIEF	
6	Application for Approval of Power Cost		
7	Adjustment Mechanism Company's Oregon Annual Revenues		
8			
9	Staff of the Public Commission of Oreg	on ("Staff") submits its response to the other	
10	parties' arguments regarding the effect and impact of Order No. 05-1261 on this proceeding.		
11	ICNU argues that Order No. 05-1261 strengthens the conclusion that the PCAMs		
12	proposed by PacifiCorp, Staff, and CUB should be rejected because it contends that none of the		
13	proposed PCAMs meet the standards for hydro-related PCAMs, and the standards for		
14	comprehensive PCAMs should be higher. ICNU Reply Brief at 9.		
15	CUB urges the Commission not to apply its UE 165 Order to a comprehensive power		
16	cost adjustment mechanism because such a mechanism was not before the Commission in		
17	UE 165. CUB also argues the design criteria for a hydropower, as opposed to a comprehensive,		
18	mechanism should be different. CUB Reply Brief at 2.		
19	PacifiCorp does not believe that Order No. 05-1261 is applicable to this proceeding, but		
20	indicates, that "for purposes of this case, the Company would accept the application of the		
21	Commission's two-part test in lieu of being denied any relief." PacifiCorp Reply Brief at 2.1		
22	Staff believes that Order No. 05-1261 is	s applicable to this proceeding in several	
23	important respects. The Commission's two-deadband design is directly transferable to the		
24	evaluation of comprehensive PCA mechanisms	. The second deadband on return on equity	
25			
26	¹ Staff believes that PacifiCorp has misinterpreted the Co PacifiCorp incorrectly states that the first determination is reasonable range. Staff believes the first test is whether		

Page 1 - STAFF'S CLOSING BRIEF GENP0810

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 378-6322 FAX: (503) 378-6322

1	(ROE) prevents unreasonable recovery or refund. See Staff Reply Brief at 10. The second
2	deadband on ROE does not necessarily mean that the size of the first deadband on net power
3	costs should be reduced. The two deadbands serve separate purposes. The ROE deadband
4	prevents unreasonable recovery or refund and the net power cost deadband excludes a reasonable
5	range of normal variation for triggering the PCA mechanism. ²
6	Staff does not believe that the Commission's distinction between extraordinary events
7	and unusual events is as wide as some parties would make it seem. The underlying purpose of
8	the deadband on net power costs is to exclude normal variation in net power costs from
9	triggering the PCA mechanism. Staff understands that long-term operation of PCA mechanisms

triggering the PCA mechanism. Staff understands that long-term operation of PCA mechanisms allows offsetting events to be reflected in customer rates and, therefore, provides an opportunity to use a more-inclusive recovery threshold (i.e., a narrower deadband on net power costs). Staff has supported the principle of long-term operation throughout this proceeding.³ However, in its reply brief, Staff indicated that it does not believe that long-term operation, or the degree of company control over net power costs, should be the primary determinates of the size of the deadband on net power costs. Staff believes that these are secondary considerations that may be used to adjust, or fine-tune, the deadband on net power costs and the sharing percentage on amounts falling outside the deadband. Staff believes that the Commission's distinction between extraordinary events and unusual events should be seen as a reflection of this type of refinement and should not be seen as establishing a different kind of standard. The primary consideration is

Staff's final recommendations to the Commission in this proceeding are:

the permanent allocation of net power cost risk to customers and the implications of that

 Adopt Staff's reasonable risk reduction, neutral cost recovery, and equal treatment criteria for comprehensive PCA mechanisms.

25 2 Normal variation means variation occurring in the

allocation for setting the utility's ROE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Normal variation means variation occurring in the normal course of business, not normally distributed variation or symmetrically distributed variation.

³ Staff also notes that the criterion of revenue neutrality has been thoroughly debated in this proceeding.

1	 Adopt the long-term operation comprehensive PCA mechanism 	criterion from Order No. 05-1261 for ms.	
2	 Apply the two-deadband approach from, and the implicit prevention of unreasonable recovery/refund criterion, from Order No. 05-1261 for 		
4	comprehensive PCA mechanism		
5		• Adopt Staff's interim PCA mechanism and instruct PacifiCorp, Staff, CUB and ICNU to collaboratively develop a permanent PCA mechanism that satisfies the	
6	Commission's criteria for comprehensive PCA mechan		
7	DATED this 27 th day of January 20	06.	
8		Respectfully submitted,	
9		HARDY MYERS	
10		Attorney General	
11			
12		/s/David B. Hatton David B. Hatton, #75151	
13		Assistant Attorney General	
14		Of Attorneys for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Page 3 - STAFF'S CLOSING BRIEF GENP0810

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 27th day of January 2006, I served the foregoing upon the parties, hereto by the method/s indicated below:

LOWREY R BROWN CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON 610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 lowrey@oregoncub.org	HAND DELIVERX U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX)X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
DATA REQUEST RESPONSE CENTER PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 800 PORTLAND OR 97232 datarequest@pacificorp.com	HAND DELIVER X U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX) X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
MELINDA J DAVISON DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC 333 SW TAYLOR, STE. 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com	HAND DELIVER X U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX) X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
JASON EISDORFER CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON 610 SW BROADWAY STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 jason@oregoncub.org	HAND DELIVER X U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX) X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
RANDALL J FALKENBERG RFI CONSULTING INC PMB 362 8351 ROSWELL RD ATLANTA GA 30350 consultrfi@aol.com	HAND DELIVER X U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX) X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
MAURY GALBRAITH PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148 maury.galbraith@state.or.us	X HAND DELIVER U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX)X_ ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)
D DOUGLAS LARSON PACIFICORP ONE UTAH CENTER 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 2300 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111 doug.larson@pacificorp.com	HAND DELIVER X U.S. MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL TELECOPY (FAX) X ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)

KATHERINE A MCDOWELL STOEL RIVES LLP 900 SW FIFTH AVE STE 1600 PORTLAND OR 97204-1268 kamcdowell@stoel.com

	HAND DELIVER
X	U.S. MAIL
	OVERNIGHT MAIL
	TELECOPY (FAX)
Χ	ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)

Neoma A. Lane_

Neoma A. Lane Legal Secretary Department of Justice Regulated Utility & Business Section