# McDowell <br> Rackner \& <br> Gibson PC 

April 22, 2011

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 2148
Salem, OR 97308-2148

## Re: Docket No. UM 1050

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are an original and five copies of the Stipulation. The Joint Testimony in Support of Stipulation will be filed on Monday, April 25, 2011. Each party will file affidavits supporting their testimony by May 2, 2011.

A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached certificate of service.

Very truly yours,


Enclosure
cc: Service List

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2
3 4 and first-class mail addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) 5 indicated below. I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in Docket UM 1050 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by email

7 Gordon Feighner
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
Gordon@oregoncub.org
G. Catriona McCracken Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon catriona@oregoncub.org

John C. Sturm
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
John@oregoncub.org

Melinda J. Davison
Davison Van Cleve, PC
333 SW Taylor, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com
V. Denise Saunders

Portland General Electric
121 SW Salmon Street, 1 WTC1301
Portland, OR 97204
Denise.saunders@pgn.com
Michael Early
Industrial Customers of NW Utilities
1300 SW 5TH Ave. Ste. 1750
Portland, OR 97204-2446
mearly@icnu.org

Robert Jenks
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
bob@oregoncub.org
Raymond Myers
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
Ray@oregoncub.org
Irion Sanger
Davison VanCleve PC
333 SW Taylor, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
ias@dvclaw.com
Donald W. Schoenbeck
Regulatory \& CoGeneration Services Inc. 900 Washington St. Suite 780
Vancouver, WA 98660-3455
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
Patrick G. Hager
Portland General Electric
121 SW Salmon Street, 1 WTC0702
Portland, OR 97204
Pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
Steven Weiss
Northwest Energy Coalition
4422 Oregon Trail Ct. NE
Salem, OR 97305
steve@nwenergy.org

Stephanie S. Andrus Department of Justice
Regulated Utility \& Business Section 1162 Court St. NE
Salem, OR 97301-4096
Stephanie.andrus@state.or.us

DATED: April 22, 2011

Marc Hellman
Oregon Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 2148

Salem, OR 97308-2148
Marc.hellman@state.or.us


Of Attorneys for PacifiCorp
${ }^{4}$ The MSP Standing Committee was established by Section XIII.B of the Revised Protocol. The MSP Standing Committee monitors and discusses inter-jurisdictional allocation issues facing PacifiCorp and its customers and seeks resolution of these issues.
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5. A copy of the 2010 Protocol is attached to the Petition as Exhibit PPL/101. Appendix A to the 2010 Protocol contains the defined terms used within the 2010 Protocol. Capitalized terms used in this Stipulation are intended to have the same meaning as those used in the 2010 Protocol and as set forth in Appendix A.
6. Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Kirkpatrick entered a Prehearing Conference Memorandum on October 26, 2010, setting the schedule for consideration of the Petition. ALJ Kirkpatrick granted PacifiCorp's Stipulated Motion to Modify Schedule on December 29, 2011. Pursuant to the modified schedule, Staff, CUB, and ICNU filed reply testimony on January 27, 2011.
7. The parties to this docket convened a settlement conference on February 17, 2011. All parties were invited to participate. PacifiCorp, Staff, CUB, and ICNU participated in the settlement conference. The Parties met again on February 28, 2011 to discuss the status of this case and the procedural schedule.
8. The Parties agreed to engage in further settlement discussions prior to their filing rebuttal testimony. To that end, PacifiCorp filed a Second Stipulated Motion to Modify Schedule on March 1, 2011. ALJ Kirkpatrick adopted the modified schedule on March 16, 2011.
9. The Parties convened a second settlement conference on April 1, 2011. As a result of the settlement conferences, the Parties have reached a settlement resolving the issues in this case.

## AGREEMENT

10. The Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented. The Parties agree that this Stipulation will result in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.
11. Adoption of the 2010 Protocol: The Parties agree that the 2010 Protocol, as proposed by the Company and as modified by the provisions below, should be adopted for
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use in all PacifiCorp general rate case filings in Oregon that are filed on or before December 31, 2016. The Parties further agree that for all general rate case filings subsequent to December 31, 2016, PacifiCorp will utilize the Revised Protocol allocation methodology, absent formal action by the Commission to adopt an alternate allocation methodology for Oregon.
12. Calculation of the Hydro Embedded Cost Differential (ECD) and the Klamath Surcharge Adjustment: The Parties agree that, for ratemaking purposes, the two adjustments in the 2010 Protocol, Hydro ECD and Klamath Surcharge, will not be based on the six-year, fixed levelized approach as proposed in the Company's Petition. Instead, the adjustments will reflect test period cost elements for purposes of rate filings, and historic and pro forma cost elements for purposes of regulatory reporting. Attachment 1 to this Stipulation details the impact of the Stipulation on the 2010 Protocol and its accompanying Appendix A - Defined Terms. The Hydro ECD and Klamath Surcharge adjustments will be allocated to Oregon as illustrated in Attachment 2 to this Stipulation.

For the duration of the 2010 Protocol: a) the Company's general rate case filings filed with the Commission shall include calculations of the Company's Oregon revenue requirement under both the 2010 Protocol and the Revised Protocol; and b) the Company's annual results of operations with the Commission shall include calculations of the Company's Oregon allocated results of operations under both the 2010 Protocol and Revised Protocol. All such submittals shall include and adequately explain all adjustments, assumptions, work papers and spreadsheet models used by the Company in its calculations. While the Parties agree that a comparison to other allocation methodologies is not necessary for these purposes, the Parties reserve the right to request comparisons against the Modified Accord allocation methodology in the future. This in no manner impacts a Party's discovery rights. The Company agrees to maintain the capability to provide results under the Modified Accord methodology and the Parties agree to work in good faith to provide requested results in a
reasonable timeframe. The Parties agree that it is not necessary for the Company to maintain models related to the Hybrid allocation methodology.
13. Rate Protection Mechanisms: In order to mitigate risks associated with the potential rate impacts on Oregon customers, for all Company general rate case filings filed prior to December 31, 2016, the increase in the Oregon total revenue requirement (as finally determined by the Commission in each proceeding) as a result of the implementation of the 2010 Protocol shall be capped at 0.30 percent of the Company's Oregon revenue requirement calculated under the Revised Protocol (as modified in Attachment 2 to this Stipulation). Because the differences between Revised Protocol and the 2010 Protocol are related to the allocation of generation costs, in all cost of service studies performed by the parties, any change in Oregon's revenue requirement attributable to the cap will be applied to each customer class based on each class' relative share of marginal generation costs after it has been reconciled to the embedded revenue requirement.
14. Deferral of 2011 Forecast Difference: The Parties agree that PacifiCorp will file an application to defer a $\$ 2.3$ million credit to Oregon customers related to the forecast difference for calendar year 2011 between Oregon's revenue requirement under the 2010 Protocol and the Revised Protocol. The deferral application will be made concurrent with the filing of the Stipulation, and interest will accrue, consistent with Commission policy, at the Company's weighted average cost of capital until amortization of the balance is authorized by the Commission. The Parties agree that the credit should be allocated to all rate schedules based on each schedule's proportion of present generation revenues under Schedules 200 and 201, and amortization of the credit should begin no later than January 1, 2012. The allocation across rate schedules should be the same shape as the revenue allocation in the Company's Transition Adjustment Mechanism proceeding.
15. Analysis of Alternate Allocation Options: In anticipation of the expiration of the use of the 2010 Protocol after December 31, 2016, the Parties agree to engage in discussions
starting in 2013 with other interested persons and, as appropriate, in conjunction with the MSP Standing Committee and applicable workgroups regarding appropriate allocation options for 2017 and beyond. As part of these discussions the Company, in consultation with the other stakeholders, will perform cost causation studies related to classification and allocation of costs, including appropriate demand/energy weighting for generation costs, and a comprehensive evaluation of the costs and benefits of structural separation and other allocation options such as the Rolled-In allocation methodology. The Parties also agree to undertake preparatory discussions beginning in 2012.
16. Class 1 Demand-Side Management (DSM) Programs: The Parties agree that the emerging issues related to the allocation of Class 1 DSM programs are not yet ripe for Commission action. The Parties agree that additional analysis and discussion of these issues should be undertaken in the MSP Standing Committee workgroup, and the Parties will endeavor to participate in the workgroup efforts to the extent possible. The Parties shall encourage the workgroup to develop a proposed resolution on these issues by the next MSP Commissioners' Forum. The Parties understand that the Company may make a subsequent filing with the Commission to address this discrete issue. All Parties may take any position they deem appropriate in response to this filing, if it occurs. The Parties agree that the revenue requirement impact of changes adopted by the Commission in the future related to Class 1 DSM programs, if any, will not be limited by the rate protection mechanisms contained in Paragraph 13 of this Stipulation.
17. Intervenor Funding Agreement: To allow for full participation by CUB and ICNU in the ongoing MSP Standing Committee workgroup efforts, as well as the comprehensive multi-state effort outlined in Paragraph 15, the Parties support an additional Intervenor Funding Agreement (IFA). This additional IFA does not impact the current IFA approved in Order No. 07-564. Upon approval of this Stipulation, Parties agree to work cooperatively to develop an additional IFA. CUB and ICNU agree to bear the burden of supporting the
requested level of increased funding, and all requested budgets and recovery would remain subject to Commission approval. In the event the Commission rejects the request for an additional IFA, the Parties agree to meet to discuss alternatives for funding for CUB's and ICNU's participating in the MSP Standing Committee workgroup efforts and the comprehensive multi-state effort outlined in Paragraph 15.
18. Reservation of Rights: As provided for in Section XIII C of the 2010 Protocol, a party's initial support of the 2010 Protocol will not bind that party in the event that unforeseen or changed circumstances cause that party to conclude that the 2010 Protocol no longer produces just and reasonable results. Should the benefits or detriments to Oregon customers of the contemplated allocations in the 2010 Protocol, or any amended version of the 2010 Protocol recommended by the MSP Standing Committee, no longer produce results that are just, reasonable, and in the public interest, any party to this Stipulation may propose amendments to the 2010 Protocol or propose to the Commission that the Commission depart from its terms, so as to produce results that are just, reasonable, and in the public interest.
19. Notwithstanding the status of the 2010 Protocol as an inter-jurisdictional cost allocation method, if PacifiCorp, Staff, or CUB proposes a material change to the allocation methodology for Hydro-Electric Resources and Mid-Columbia Contracts, the proposed change will be consistent with the trade-off contained in the Revised Protocol between near-term negative impacts of Existing QF Contracts and long-term positive impacts of Mid-Columbia Contracts and the potential near-term costs and long-term benefits of Hydro-Electric Resources as described in Sections 4 and 5 of the 2004 Stipulation excerpted above.
20. Unless otherwise recommended by the MSP Standing Committee, as long as CUB, ICNU, and Staff continue to support the use of the 2010 Protocol or the Revised Protocol for purposes of establishing PacifiCorp's Oregon revenue requirement, PacifiCorp will not propose or advocate any material change in the Protocol provisions relating to HydroElectric Resources. Provided, however, the foregoing provision shall not prevent PacifiCorp
from complying with any Commission order. Staff, CUB, and ICNU reserve all rights to object to recommendations of the MSP Standing Committee.
21. This Stipulation will be offered into the record as evidence pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and any appeal, provide witnesses to sponsor this Stipulation at hearing, if needed, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the Stipulation.
22. If this Stipulation is challenged by any other party to this proceeding, the Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Parties reserve the right to cross-examine witnesses and put in such evidence as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented including the right to raise issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.
23. The Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document. If the Commission rejects all or any material portion of this Stipulation or imposes additional material conditions in approving this Stipulation, any Party shall have the rights provided in OAR 860-001-0350(9), including the right to present evidence and argument on the record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation, and shall be entitled to seek reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720.
24. By entering into this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than as specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.
25. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document.
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STAFF
CUB

By:
Date: $\qquad$

PACIFICORP

By:
Date: $\qquad$

# UM 1050 <br> ATTACHMENT 1 <br> to the Stipulation 

## Modifications to the 2010 Protocol

The following redline changes to Section IV A of the 2010 Protocol (Exhibit PPL/101, pages 36), and Appendix A - Defined Terms (Exhibit PPL/101, pages 17-18), detail the impact of the proposed modifications to the two adjustments in the 2010 Protocol (Stipulation Paragraph 12). The Hydro embedded cost differential adjustment and the Klamath Surcharge adjustment, will not be based on the six-year, fixed levelized approach. Instead, the adjustments will reflect test period cost elements for purposes of rate filings, and historic and pro forma cost elements for purposes of regulatory reporting.

## 2010 Protocol

## IV. Allocation of Resource Costs and Wholesale Revenues

## A. Regional Resources

Costs associated with Regional Resources will be assigned and allocated as follows:

1. Hydro-Endowment.
a. Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential

Adjustment. The Owned Hydro Embedded Cost
Differential Adjustment is calculated as follows:

- The Foreeasted Annual Embedded Costs - Hydro-

Electric Resources, less the Forecasted Annual
Embedded Costs - Pre-2005 Resources, multiplied by the normalized MWh's of output from the Hydro-Electric Resources.

- The caleulation is made using forecasted information contained in the Company's-Baseline Study (finalized in Mareh 2010) for calendar years 2011 through 2016.
- The forecasted differential is allocated on the DGP factor and the inverse amount is allocated on the SG factor to compute State specific amounts-for ealendar years 2011 through 2016.
- The net present value of the forecasted differential by State is set at a fixed dollar level that will be used for all Pacificorp rate proceedings filed prior to Jantary $1,2017$.
b. Mid-Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential Adjustment. The Mid-Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential Adjustment is calculated as follows:
- The Forecasted Annual Mid-Columbia Contracts Costs, less the Forecasted Annual Embedded Costs - Pre-2005 Resources, multiplied by the normalized MWh's of output from the MidColumbia Contracts (Mid-C less All Other).
- The calculation is made using forecasted information eontained in the Company's Baseline Study (finalized in March 2010) for calendar years 2011 through 2016.
- The forecasted allocation of Mid-Columbia Contracts to each State is established pursuant to Appendix F. The ferecasted-Mid-Columbia differential is allocated on the MC factor and the inverse amount is allocated on the SG factor to compute State specific amounts-for ealendar years 2011 through 2016.
- The net present value of the forecasted differential by State is set at a fixed dellar level that will be used for all PacifiCorp rate proceedings filed prior te Jantary-1,2017.

The results of the Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differentiat ealeulation and the Mid Columbia Contrae Embedded Cost Pifferential caleulation are added together and a levelized anmal value for the calendar years 2011 threugh 2016 time peried is ealeulated. The levelized Hydro Endowment is fixed for purposes of ratemaking for that time peried.
2. Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA). As part of future ratemaking proceedings, the Company will include the full impact of the KHSA as a system cost in unadjusted results.
a. Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge Adjustment. The Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge is re-allocated to Oregon ( 92 percent) and California ( 8 percent) as follows:

- Each State's initial allocated share of the Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge is reversed and assigned to Oregon and California on a situs basis. The calculation is made using forecasted- annual information contained in the Company's results of operations. Baseline Study (finalized in March 2010) for calendar years 2011 through 2016.
- The net present value of the forecasted adjustment by State is set at a fixed dollar level that will be used for all PacifiCorp rate proceedings filed prior to Jantary 1 ,

2017. The levelized anmal value for the catendar years 2011 through 2016 time period will be used for purposes of ratemaking for that time period.

## 2010 Protocol - Appendix A

## Defined Terms

"Forecasted-Annual Embedded Costs - Hydro-Electric Resources" means PacifiCorp's total ferecasted-test period ${ }^{\prime}$ nommalized anntal-production costs eontanned in the Company's Baseline Study, for eatendar years 2011 through 2016, expressed in dollars per MWh, associated with Hydro-Electric Resources as recorded in the FERC Accounts listed in Appendix E to the 2010 Protocol.
"Foreeasted-Annual Embedded Costs - Pre-2005 Resources" means PacifiCorp's total forecastedtest period nomalized amwat production costs of Pre2005 Resources eontained in the-Company's Baseline Study, for-ealendar years 2011 thretgh 2016, expressed in dollars per MWh, other than costs associated with Hydro-Electric Resources, and Mid-Columbia Contracts, as recorded in the FERC Accounts listed in Appendix E to the 2010 Protocol.
"Foreensted-Annual Mid-Columbia Contract Costs" means the total forecasted test period ${ }^{\prime}$ net costs incurred by PacifiCorp eontained in the Company's Baseline Study, for calendar years 2011 through 2016, expressed in dollars per MWh, under the Mid-Columbia Contracts.
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Attachment 2 to the Stipulation

## Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original |  | Current Definition |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 |  |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | n Factor |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | 5 | S | S |
|  | Firm | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Non-firm | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | 100 MW Hydro Extension | SG | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Seasonal Contracts | SSGC | SSGC | N/A | N/A |
| 556 | System Control \& Load Dispatch |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other Expenses | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 557 | Other Expenses |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | N/A | S | S | S |
|  | Other Expenses | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Cholla Transaction | N/A | SGCT | SGCT | SGCT |
|  | Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge | N/A | $s$ | SG | SG |
|  | Embedded Cost Differential Endowments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Company Owned Hydro ECD (Hydro less All Other) | DGP | DGP | N/A | N/A |
|  | Company Owned Hydro ECD (All Other less Hydro) | SG | SG | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mid-Columbia Contract ECD (Mid C less All Other) | MC | MC | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mid-Columbia Contract ECD (All Other less Mid C) | SG | SG | N/A | N/A |
|  | Existing QF Contracis ECD (QF less- All Other) | S | 5 | N/A | N/A |
|  | Existing QF Contracts ECD (All Other less QF) | SG | SG | N/A | N/A |
|  | Fixed-L.evelized 2010 Protocol Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hydro Endowment | N/A | N/A | S | N/A |
|  | Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge Re-allocation | N/A | N/A | S | N/A |
|  | Non-Levelized 2010 Protocol Embedded Cost Differential and Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
|  | Company Owned Hydro ECD (Hydro less Pre-2005 All Other) | N/A | N/A | DGP | N/A |
|  | Company Owned Hydro ECD (Pre-2005 All Other less Hydro) | N/A | N/A | SG | N/A |
|  | Mid-Columbla Contract ECD (Mid C less Pre-2005 All Other) | N/A | N/A | MC | N/A |
|  | Mid-Columbia Contract ECD (Pre-2005 All Other less Mid C) | N/A | NIA | SG | N/A |
|  | Klamath Dam Removal Surcharge Re-allocation | N/A | N/A | $s$ | N/A |
| TRANSMISSION EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 560-564, 566-573 Transmission O\&M |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transmission Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 565 | Transmission of Electricity by Others |  |  |  |  |
|  | Firm Wheeling | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Non-Firm Wheeling | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 580-598 | Distribution O\&M |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Other Distribution | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
| CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 901-905 | Customer Accounts O\&M |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | $s$ | S | S |
|  | Total System Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| CUSTOMER SERVICE EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 907-910 | Customer Service O\&M |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diract assigned - Jurisdiction | S | s | S | S |
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Attachment 2 to the Stipulation

## Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Originai | Current Definition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protacol | Protocoi | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | $n$ Factor |  |
|  | Total System Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| SALES EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 911-916 | Sales Expense O\&M |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | s |
|  | Total System Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| ADMINISTRATIVE \& GEN EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 920-935 | Administrative \& General Expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | General | so | So | So | So |
|  | FERC Regulatory Expense | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| DEPRECIATION EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 403SP | Steam Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steam Plants | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Peaking Plants* | SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
|  | Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
| 403NP | Nuclear Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nuclear Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 403HP | Hydro Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 40308 | Other Production Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other Production Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Peaking Plants* | N/A | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
| 403 TP | Transmission Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transmission Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 403 | Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land \& Land Rights | S | S | S | $s$ |
|  | Structures | S | S | S | S |
|  | Station Equipment | S | S | S | S |
|  | Storage Battery Equipment | N/A | S | $s$ | S |
|  | Poles \& Towers | S | S | S | S |
|  | OH Conductors | S | S | S | S |
|  | UG Conduit | S | $s$ | S | S |
|  | UG Conductor | S | S | S | $s$ |
|  | Line Trans | S | S | S | S |
|  | Services | S | S | S | S |
|  | Meters | S | S | S | S |
|  | Inst Cust Prem | S | S | S | S |
|  | Leased Property | S | S | S | S |
|  | Street Lighting | S | S | S | S |
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Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol
Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original |  | Current Defin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | Factor |  |
| 403GP | General Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distribution | S | S | S | S |
|  | Steam Plants | SG. | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Peaking Plants* | SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
|  | Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mining | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | General SO | So | so | So | So |
| 403MP | Mining Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Remaining Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| AMORTIZATION |  | . |  |  |  |
| 404GP | Amort of LT Plant - Capital Lease Gen |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| 404SP | Amort of LT Plant - Cap Lease Steam |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steam Production Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 4041P | Amort of LT Plant - Intangible Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distribution | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | General | So | So | so | so |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | Cholla* | N/A | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
| 404MP | Amort of LT Plant - Mining Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 404HP | Amortization of Other Electric Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 405 | Amortization of Other Electric Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s | $s$ | S | $s$ |
| 406 | Amortization of Plant Acquisition Adj |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 407 | Amort of Prop Losses, Unrec Plant, etc |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Trojan | TROJP | TROJP | TROJP | TROJP |

Attachment 2 to the Stipulation

## Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original | Current Definition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | n Factor |  |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 408 | Taxes Other Than Income |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Property | GPS | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  | System Taxes | So | So | So | So |
|  | Misc Energy | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Misc Production | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| DEFERRED ITC |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41140 | Defarred Investment Tax Credit - Fed |  |  |  |  |
|  | iTC | DGU | DGU | DGU | DGU |
| 41141 | Deferred Investment Tax Credit - idaho |  |  |  |  |
|  | ITC | DGU | DGU | DGU | DGU |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |
| 427 | Interest on Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Interest Expense | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| 428 | Amortization of Debt Disc \& Exp |  |  |  |  |
|  | interest Expense | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| 429 | Amortization of Premium on Debt |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Expense | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| 431 | Other Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Expense | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| 432 | AFUDC - Borrowed |  |  |  |  |
|  | AFUDC | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| Interest a Dividends |  |  |  |  |  |
| 419 | Interest \& Dividends |  |  |  |  |
|  | interest \& Dividends | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41010 | Deferred Income Tax - Federal-DR. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s | S | S | S |
|  | Electric Plant in Service | DITEXP | DITEXP | DITEXP | DITEXP |
|  | Pacilic Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Properiy Tax related | GPS | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  | Trojan | TROJP | TROJD | TROJD | TROJD |
|  | Distribution | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
|  | Mining Piant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Bad Debt | N/A | BADDEBT | baddebt | baddebt |
|  | Tax Depreciation | N/A | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR |
|  | Cholla* | N/A | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
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SCHEDULE-M ADDITIONS
SCHMAF
Additions - Flow Through

## UM 1050

Attachment 2 to the Stipulation Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original |  | Current Definitio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Roilled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Allocatio | n Factor |  |
| SCHMAP | Additions - Permanent |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | N/A | S | S | S |
|  | Mining related | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Production / Transmission | N/A | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Depreciation | N/A | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP |
| SCHMAT | Additions - Temporary |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Contributions in aid of construction | CIAC | CIAC | CIAC | CIAC |
|  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  | Trojan | TROJP | TROJD | TROJD | TROJD |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Property Tax | GPS | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Depreciation | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP |
|  | Distribution | N/A | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
|  | Production, Other | N/A | SGCT | SGCT | SGCT |
| SCHEDULE M M | ONS |  |  |  |  |
| SCHMDF | Deductions - Flow Through |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| SCHMDP | Deductions - Permanent |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct asslgned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Mining Related | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
| SCHMDT | Deductions - Temporary |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Bad Debt | BADDEBT | BADDEBT | BADDEBT | BADDEBT |
|  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining related | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Property Tax | GPS | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Depreciation | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR |
|  | Distribution | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
|  | Customer Related | N/A | CN | CN | CN |
|  | Cholla* | N/A | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
| State Income Ta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40911 | State income Taxes | IBT | CALCULATED** | CALCULATED** | CALCULATED** |
| 40911 | Renewable Energy Tax Credit | N/A | SG | SG | SG |
| 40910 | FIT True-up | S | S | S | S |
| 40910 | Renewable Energy Tax Credit | SG | SG | SG | SG |

## UM 1050

Attachment 2 to the Stipulation Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

## Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original | Current Definition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  |  |  |  |


| Steam Production Plant |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 310-316 |  |  |  |

## UM 1050

## Attachment 2 to the Stipulation

Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol
Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original | Current Definition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $2010$ | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Allo | Factor |  |
| INTANGIBLE PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301 | Organization |  |  |  |  |
|  | Difect assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
| 302 | Franchise \& Consent |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 303 | Miscellaneous Intangible Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distribution | S | S | S | S |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Production / Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Peaking Plants* | SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
|  | Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Mining | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
| 303 | Less Non-Utility Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | $s$ |
| Rate Base Additions |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | Plant Held For Future Use |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | $s$ |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 114 | Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 115 | Accum Provision for Asset Acquisition Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | S |
|  | Production Plant | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 120 | Nuclear Fuel |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nuclear Fuel | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 124 | Weatherization |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | s | s | S |
|  | General | so | so | so | so |
| 182W | Weatherization |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | S |
| 186W | Weatherization |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | $s$ | S | S |
| 151 | Fuel Stock |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steam Production Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Cholla* | N/A | SSECH | N/A | N/A |

## UM 1050

Attachment 2 to the Stipulation
Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol
Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original | Current Definition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | Factor |  |
| 152 | Fuel Stock - Undistributed |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steam Production Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 25316 | DG\&T Worklng Capital Deposit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 25317 | DG\&T Working Capital Deposit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 25319 | Provo Working Capital Deposit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 154 | Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | General | So | So | SD | So |
|  | Production-Common | SNPPS | SNPPS | SG | SG |
|  | Hydro | SNPPH | SNPPH | SG | SG |
|  | Distribution | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
|  | Production, Other | N/A | SNPPO | SG | SG |
| 163 | Stores Expense Undistributed |  |  |  |  |
|  | Genera! | so | so | so | So |
| 25318 | Provo Working Capital Deposit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Provo Working Capital Deposit | SNPPS | SNPPS | SG | SG |
| 165 | Prepayments |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | S |
|  | Property Tax | GPS | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | General | So | So | So | so |
| 182M | Misc Regulatory Assets |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | General | So | So | So | So |
|  | Cholla Transaction | SSGCH | SGCT | SGCT | SGCT |
| 186M | Misc Deferred Debits |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | s |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | General | So | So | so | so |
|  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Production - Common | SNPPS | SNPPS | SG | SG |
| Working Capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| cWC | Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiclion | S | S | S | S |
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## UM 1050
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## Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  |  | Original |  | Current Defini |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
|  | ACCT | DESCRIPTIDN |  | Allo | I Factor |  |
| 252 |  | Customer Advances for Construction |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | $s$ | S | S |
|  |  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| 25398 |  | SO2 Emissions | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
| 25399 |  | Dther Deferred Credits |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  |  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | General | N/A | SD | so | So |
|  |  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 254 |  | Regulatory Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Regulatory Liabillities | N/A | S | S | S |
|  |  | Regulatory Liabilities | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
|  |  | Insurance Provision | N/A | so | So | So |
| 190 |  | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |
|  |  | Bad Debt | BADDEBT | BADDEBT | baddebt | baddebt |
|  |  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  |  | General | SD | so | so | so |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  |  | Trojan | TROJP | TRDJD | TRDJD | TROJD |
|  |  | Distribution | N/A | SNPD | SNPD | SNPD |
|  |  | Mining Piant | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
| 281 |  | Accumulated Deferred income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| 282 |  | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | S | $s$ |
|  |  | Depreciation | DITBAL | DITBAL | DITBAL | DITBAL |
|  |  | Hydro Pacific | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  |  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  |  | General | so | So | So | So |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | SNP | SNP | SNP | SNP |
|  |  | Trojan | TROJP | TROJP | TROJP | TROJP |
|  |  | Depreciation | N/A | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR | TAXDEPR |
|  |  | Depreciation | N/A | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP | SCHMDEXP |
|  |  | System Gross Plant | N/A | GPS | GPS | GPS |
|  |  | Contribution in Ald of Construction | N/A | CIAC | CIAC | CIAC |
|  |  | Cholla* | N/A | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | Mining | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
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Unclassified Dist Sub Plant - Acct 300

## UM 1050

## Attachment 2 to the Stipulation <br> Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original |  | Current Definit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | $n$ Factor |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s | $s$ | s | s |
| GENERAL PLAN | M DEPR |  |  |  |  |
| 108GP | General Plant Accumulated Depr |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distribution | S | $s$ | S | S |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Production / Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Peaking Plants* | SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
|  | Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | General SO | so | so | So | So |
|  | Mining Plant | N/A | SE | SE | SE |
| 108MP | Mining Plant Accumulated Depr. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |
| 108MP | Lass Centralia Situs Dapreciation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | s | S | S |
| 1081390 | Accum Depr - Capital Lease |  |  |  |  |
|  | General | so | so | so | so |
| 1081399 | Accum Depr - Capital Lease |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct as signed - Jurisdiction | S | S | S | S |

ACCUM PROVISION FOR AMORTIZATION
111SP Accum Prov for Amort-Steam
Steam Plants
Peaking Plants*
Cholla*

| SG | SG | SG | SG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
| SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |

111GP
Accum Prov for Amort-General

| Distribution | S | S | S | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| Production / Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| Peaking Plants* | SSGCT | SSGCT | N/A | N/A |
| Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
| Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
| General SO | SO | SO | SO | SO |

111HP
Accum Prov for Amort-Hydro

| Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |

## UM 1050

## Attachment 2 to the Stipulation Allocation Factors Used in the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

Comparison of Allocation Factors in Appendix B to the Revised Protocol and 2010 Protocol

|  |  | Original |  | Current Defini |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revised | Revised | 2010 | Rolled |
| FERC |  | Protocol | Protocol | Protocol | In |
| ACCT | DESCRIPTION |  | Alloc | F Factor |  |
| 1111P | Accum Prov for Amort-Intangible Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distribution | S | S | S | S |
|  | Pacific Hydro | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | Production, Transmission | SG | SG | SG | SG |
|  | General | So | So | So | so |
|  | Mining | SE | SE | SE | SE |
|  | Customer Related | CN | CN | CN | CN |
|  | Cholla* | SSGCH | SSGCH | N/A | N/A |
| 1111P | Less Non-Utility Plant |  |  |  |  |
|  | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | $s$ | S | $s$ | S |
| 111399 | Accum Prov for Amort-Mining |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining Plant | SE | SE | SE | SE |

Notes:

* Peaking plants and Cholla are no longer allocated on seasonal factors in the 2010 Protocol $\ldots$... they are included in Steam Plants, Other Production Plant, and Production / Transmission categories.
${ }^{* *}$ Rather than allocated to jurisdictions using the Income Before Tax factors, state income taxes are calculated by applying the blended statutory state and local tax rate to taxable income by jurisdiction.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Test period costs will vary based on the test period and the costs that are used by parties to calculate the Company's revenue requirement. Test period costs would therefore reflect adjustments made by parties to the Company's filed case. The final test period costs that would be used to set rates would be based on the test period and costs approved by Commission order.

