

 $\bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ$

SHARON COOPER Direct (503) 595.3923 sharon@mcd-law.com

May 14, 2015

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 1088 Salem, OR 97308-1088

Re: UE 295 – Idaho Power Company's 2014 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up

Attention Filing Center:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced docket is an electronic copy of the Stipulation and Joint Explanatory Brief.

Please contact me with any questions.

Very truly yours, Sharon/Cooper

Sharon Cooper Legal Assistant

Attachments

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	
2	UE 295	
3		
4	In The Matter of	STIPULATION
5	IDAHO POWER COMPANY	
6	2014 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up.	
7		
8		
9	This Stipulation resolves all issues between the parties related to Idaho Power	
10	Company's ("Idaho Power" or "Company") 2014 Annual Power Supply Expense T rue-Up	
11	("True-Up") filed pursuant to Order No. 08-238, ¹ as amended by Order No. 09-373. ² This	
12	True-Up implements the Company's Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism ("PCAM") by	
13	calculating the deviation between actual net power supply expenses ("NPSE") and those	
14	expenses recovered through the Combined Rate.	
15	PART	ÏES
16	1. The parties to this Stipulation are S	taff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon
17	("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Orego	n ("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the
18	"Stipulating Parties"). No other party intervened	in this docket.
19	BACKG	ROUND
20	2. As part of the Public Utility Com	mission of Oregon ("Commission") approved
21	PCAM, each February Idaho Power must file a	True-Up that calculates the difference between
22	the actual NPSE incurred by the Company in the relevant PCAM year (January through	
23		
24 -		
25	¹ Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Au Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr.	uthority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment 28, 2008).

^{26 &}lt;sup>2</sup> Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 09-373 (Sept. 18, 2009).

December) and the expenses recovered for that period through the Combined Rate.³ NPSE deviations that are eligible, as determined by the terms of Order No. 08-238, are added to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account") at the end of each 12 month period ending in December along with 50 percent of the annual interest calculated at the Company's authorized cost of capital.⁴ To be eligible for inclusion in the True-Up Balancing Account, the NPSE deviation must fall outside the NPSE deadband⁵ and pass the earnings test described in Order No. 08-238.⁶

On February 20, 2015, Idaho Power filed its True-Up reflecting the NPSE 3. 8 deviation for calendar year 2014. The Company's initial filing used the most recent Oregon 9 Results of Operations ("ROO") report available at the time of the filing-the ROO for 2013. 10 The NPSE deviation was \$9.2 million on a system-wide basis, or \$403,000 (after offset for 11 SO₂ Allowance sales) on an Oregon allocated basis-meaning that the Company under-12 recovered its actual NPSE.⁷ The NPSE deadbands, calculated using the 2013 ROO, were 13 \$2.7 million (upper) and negative \$1.3 million (lower).⁸ The Oregon-allocated NPSE deviation 14 was within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2013 ROO, i.e., the actual NPSE 15 deviation of \$403,000 was less than the upper deadband of \$2.7 million. Therefore, the 16

17

^{18 &}lt;sup>3</sup> Order No. 08-238 at 3.

⁴ Id.

¹⁹ ⁵ *Id.* The NPSE deadband is based upon the Company's capital structure and the rate base, measured on an Oregon basis, from the most recent Oregon ROO report. The Oregon Allocated Power Cost Deviation is compared to the positive and/or negative deadbands. A positive deviation (actual NPSE greater than those recovered through the Combined Rate) constitutes an excess power supply expense. This expense is first reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 250 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the Combined Rate) is a power supply expense savings. This savings is reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 125 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis).

²³

 ⁶ *Id.* If the Company's earnings are within 100 basis points of its authorized ROE for the previous year
 ending December 31, then no amounts will be added to the True-Up Balancing Account even if the NPSE deviation falls outside the NPSE deadbands.

⁷ Idaho Power/100, Waites/4-5, 7.

^{26 &}lt;sup>8</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/6.

Page 2 - STIPULATION: UE 295

Company's initial filing determined that \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing
 Account.⁹

4. This initial filing also discussed the impact of Order No. 11-086, which addressed the appropriate treatment of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Energy Credits ("REC").¹⁰ Pursuant to that order these proceeds are applied to the power cost deferral currently in amortization.

5. On March 10, 2015, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention. On March 17, 2015, Administrative Law Judge Patrick Power held a prehearing conference at which the parties to this docket agreed upon a limited procedural schedule, based on the likelihood that the parties would settle this matter.¹¹

As required by Order No. 09-373, on April 15, 2015, the Company filed 11 6. supplemental testimony that calculated the NPSE deadband using the Company's 2014 ROO 12 report, which was finalized subsequent to the initial February filing. The use of the 2014 ROO 13 resulted in changes to the upper and lower power supply expense deadband values. The 14 upper deadband changed to \$2.8 million and the lower deadband changed to negative \$1.4 15 million.¹² The use of the 2014 ROO also changed the Oregon allocation percentage used to 16 calculate Oregon's share of the NPSE deviation, which resulted in an NPSE deviation of 17 \$406,000 (after offset for SO₂ Allowance sales).¹³ However, these changes did not affect the 18 amount proposed to be included in the True-Up Balancing Account because the Oregon-19 allocated NPSE deviation was still within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2014 20

- 21
- 22

¹¹ See Prehearing Conference Memorandum (Mar. 17, 2015).

²⁵ ¹² Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

Page 3 - STIPULATION: UE 295

⁹ Idaho Power/100, Waites/6-7.

 ¹⁰ Re Application of Idaho Power Company to Sell RECs, Docket UP 269, Order No. 11-086 (Mar. 17, 2011).

^{26 &}lt;sup>13</sup> Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

1 ROO.¹⁴ Thus, after updating the analysis using the 2014 ROO the Company determined that
2 \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing Account.

7. Pursuant to the agreed upon schedule Staff, CUB, and the Company participated
in a settlement conference on April 28, 2015. As a result of the settlement discussions, the
Stipulating Parties have agreed as follows:

6

AGREEMENT

8. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company has correctly calculated the amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies with the terms of Orders Nos. 08-238 and 09-373. Thus, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company will not add any amounts to the True-Up Balancing Account for 2014.

9. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented. The Stipulating Parties agree that the adjustments and the rates resulting from the Stipulation are fair, just, and reasonable.

15 10. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence 16 pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation 17 throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this 18 Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the 19 settlements contained herein.

11. If this Stipulation is challenged by any other party to this proceeding, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.

26 ¹⁴ Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

Page 4 - STIPULATION: UE 295

1 12. The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated 2 document. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any 3 material condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating 4 Party reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument 5 on the record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating 6 Parties shall be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720 7 in any manner that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.

8 13. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have 9 approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by 10 any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those 11 specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to 12 have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any 13 other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.

14. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart 15 shall constitute an original document.

This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below suchStipulating Party's signature.

- 18 ///
- 19 ///
- 20 ///
- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26 ///

Page 5 - STIPULATION: UE 295

1		
2		CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
3	STAFF	CITZENS UTLITE BOARD
4	Ву:	Ву:
5	Date:	Date:
6		
7		
8	By:	
9	Date: 5/13/15	
10	<i>ž</i>	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
Page 6	- STIPULATION: UE 295	

1.		
2		CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
3	STAFF	CHIZENS UTILITY BOARD
4	By: 1/1/2	Ву:
5	Date: \$ 5/13/15	Date:
6	IDAHO POWER	
7		
8	Ву:	
9	Date:	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
Page 6	- STIPULATION: UE 295	

1		
2	Ve ula V lan lar	
3	STAFF	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
4	Ву:	By:
5	Date:	Date: 5/13/15
6		
7	IDAHO POWER	
8	By:	
9	Date:	
10		
diana diana		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
Page 6	- STIPULATION: UE 295	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	
2	UE 295	
3		
4	In The Matter of	JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF
5	IDAHO POWER COMPANY	
6		
7	2014 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up.	
8		
9	This brief explains and supports the S	tipulation filed in this proceeding on May 14, 2015,
10	among Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or "Company"), the Citizens' Utility Board of	
11	Oregon ("CUB"), and Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff") (together, the	
12	"Stipulating Parties"). The Stipulation resolves all issues raised by the Stipulating Parties	
13	related to Idaho Power's 2014 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up ("True-Up"). This	
14	True-Up implements the Company's Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism ("PCAM") by	
15	calculating the deviation between actual net power supply expenses ("NPSE") and those	
16	expenses recovered through the Combined Rate.	
17	I. BACKGROUND	
18	A. Idaho Power's PCAM.	
19	In Order No. 08-238, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") approved	
20	Idaho Power's PCAM. ¹ As part of the Commission-approved PCAM, each February Idaho	
21	Power must file a True-Up that calculates the difference between the actual NPSE incurred by	
22	the Company in the relevant PCAM year (January through December) and the expenses	
23		
24		

 ¹ Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008). The PCAM was amended by Order No. 09-373. Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 09-373 (Sept. 18, 2009).

recovered for that period through the Combined Rate.² NPSE deviations that are eligible, as determined by the terms of Order No. 08-238, are added to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account") at the end of each 12 month period ending in December along with 50 percent of the annual interest calculated at the Company's authorized cost of capital.³ To be eligible for inclusion in the True-Up Balancing Account, the NPSE deviation must fall outside the NPSE deadband⁴ and pass the earnings test described in Order No. 08-238.⁵

8 **B.** The 2014 True-Up.

9 On February 20, 2015, Idaho Power filed its True-Up reflecting the NPSE deviation for 10 calendar year 2014.⁶ The Company's initial filing used the most recent Oregon Results of 11 Operations ("ROO") report available at the time of the filing—the ROO for 2013.⁷ The NPSE 12 deviation was \$9.2 million on a system-wide basis, or \$403,000 (after offset for SO₂ Allowance 13 sales) on an Oregon allocated basis—meaning that the Company under-recovered its actual

14

18 Rate," which is effective on June 1 of each year.

² Order No. 08-238 at 3. The "Combined Rate" is determined annually in the Company's Annual Power Cost Update ("APCU") proceeding. The Combined Rate has two components—an "October Update" and a "March Forecast." The October Update contains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized and unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions. The rates from the October Update and March Forecast are combined into the "Combined

³ Id.

 ⁴ *Id.* The NPSE deadband is based upon the Company's capital structure and the rate base, measured
 on an Oregon basis, from the most recent Oregon ROO report. The Oregon Allocated Power Cost
 Deviation is compared to the positive and/or negative deadbands. A positive deviation (actual NPSE)

²¹ greater than those recovered through the Combined Rate) constitutes an excess power supply expense. This expense is first reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 250 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the

of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the Combined Rate) is a power supply expense savings. This savings is reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 125 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis).

 ⁵ Id. If the Company's earnings are within 100 basis points of its authorized ROE for the previous year
 ending December 31, then no amounts will be added to the True-Up Balancing Account even if the

NPSE deviation falls outside the NPSE deadbands.

²⁵ ⁶ See Idaho Power/100-104, 107.

^{26 &}lt;sup>7</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/2.

NPSE.⁸ The upper NPSE deadband, calculated using the 2013 ROO, was \$2.7 million.⁹ This 1 deadband applies when actual NPSE is greater than NPSE recovered in rates. The lower 2 NPSE deadband was negative \$1.3 million and applies when actual NPSE is less than NPSE 3 recovered in rates.¹⁰ Because the NPSE deviation indicated that the actual NPSE was 4 greater than the NPSE recovered in rates, the upper deadband was applied. The Oregon-5 allocated NPSE deviation was within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2013 ROO, 6 *i.e.*, the actual NPSE deviation of \$403,000 was less than the upper deadband of \$2.7 million. 7 Therefore, the Company's initial filing determined that \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up 8 Balancing Account.¹¹ 9

This initial filing also discussed the impact of Order No. 11-086, which addressed the appropriate treatment of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Energy Credits ("REC").¹² Pursuant to that order these proceeds are applied to the power cost deferral currently in amortization.¹³

On March 10, 2015, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention. On March 17, 2015, Administrative Law Judge Patrick Power held a prehearing conference at which the parties to this docket agreed upon a limited procedural schedule, based on the likelihood that the parties would settle this matter.¹⁴

As required by Order No. 09-373, on April 15, 2015, the Company filed supplemental testimony that calculated the deadband using the Company's 2014 ROO report, which was

21 ⁸ Idaho Power/100, Waites/4-5, 7.

- ¹⁰ Idaho Power/100, Waites/6.
- 23 ¹¹ Idaho Power/100, Waites/6-7.
- 24 ¹² Idaho Power/100, Waites/7; *Re Application of Idaho Power Company to Sell RECs*, Docket UP 269, Order No. 11-086 (Mar. 17, 2011).

¹³ Idaho Power/100, Waites/7.

26 ¹⁴ See Prehearing Conference Memorandum (Mar. 17, 2015).

Page 3 - JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF: UE 295

^{22 &}lt;sup>9</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/6.

finalized subsequent to the initial February filing.¹⁵ The use of the 2014 ROO resulted in 1 changes to the upper and lower power supply expense deadband values resulting in an upper 2 deadband of \$2.8 million and a lower deadband of negative \$1.4 million.¹⁶ The use of the 3 2014 ROO also changed the Oregon allocation factor used to determine the Oregon allocated 4 NPSE deviation, resulting in an NPSE deviation of \$406,000 (after offset for SO₂ Allowance 5 sales).¹⁷ Applying the new deadband values to the new NPSE deviation, however, did not 6 change the ultimate result-the Oregon-allocated power supply deviation of \$406,000 was still 7 less than the upper deadband of \$2.8 million.¹⁸ Thus, after updating the analysis using the 8 2014 ROO the Company determined that \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing 9 Account.¹⁹ 10

Pursuant to the agreed upon schedule Staff, CUB, and the Company participated in a settlement conference on April 28, 2015. As a result of the settlement discussions, the Stipulating Parties have agreed to the terms of the Stipulation.

14

II. DISCUSSION

15 A. Terms of the Stipulation.

In the Stipulation the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company has correctly calculated the amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies with the terms of Order Nos. 08-238 and 09-373.²⁰ Thus, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company will not add any amounts to the True-Up Balancing Account for 2014.²¹

1 B. The Stipulation Will Result in Just and Reasonable Rates.

The Commission will adopt a stipulation if it is supported by competent evidence in the record, appropriately resolves the issues in a case, and results in just and reasonable rates.²² When evaluating the rates, the Commission examines "the reasonableness of the overall rates."²³ Here, the Stipulation satisfies these standards.

First, the Stipulation is supported by the record, which includes the Company's testimony 6 and exhibits describing exactly how it calculated the deviation between actual NPSE incurred 7 by the Company in 2014 (January through December) and the expenses recovered for that 8 period through the Combined Rate.²⁴ Staff and CUB conducted a thorough investigation of 9 the Company's testimony and exhibits and participated in the settlement conference. As a 10 result of their investigation, Staff and CUB concluded that there were no issues in the 11 Company's filing that warranted filing responsive testimony. Although Staff and CUB have not 12 filed testimony, the Stipulating Parties agree that the record in this case is sufficient to support 13 a finding that the Stipulation is reasonable and should be adopted. 14

- 15 Second, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company correctly calculated the amount 16 of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies with the 17 terms of Orders Nos. 08-238 and 09-373.²⁵ Because the Company's filed case reflects
- 18
- 19

²⁴ Idaho Power/100-104; Idaho Power/200-204.

²⁶ ²⁵ Stipulation ¶ 8.

 ^{20 &}lt;sup>22</sup> See Re PacifiCorp's 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 207, Order No. 09-432 at 6 (Oct. 30, 2009) ("The Commission concludes that the Stipulation is an appropriate resolution of all primary issues in this docket."); Re PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate Revision, Docket UE 210,

Order No. 10-022 at 6 (Jan. 26, 2010) ("When considering a stipulation, we have the statutory duty to make an independent judgment as to whether any given settlement constitutes a reasonable resolution of

the issues."); Re PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate, Docket UE 217, Order No. 10-473 at 7 (Dec. 14, 23 2010) ("We have reviewed the Stipulation, and find that it will result in rates that are fair, just, and

reasonable.").

 ²⁴ ²³ Re Application of Portland General Electric Co. for an Investigation into Least Cost Plant Retirement,
 ²⁵ Docket DR 10 et al., Order No. 08-487 at 7-8 (Sept. 30, 2008).

1 correct calculations that conform to Commission precedent, the resulting rates are just and

2 reasonable and fall within the "range of reasonableness" for resolution of these issues.²⁶

3 Staff and CUB's analyses and bases for support are further detailed below.

4

C. Staff Analysis Supporting the Stipulation.

5 Staff conducted a thorough review of the Company's inputs, and also verified the 6 calculations in the initial True-up filing. Staff verified the changes in rate base and the Oregon 7 allocation percentage, and the resulting deadbands, contained in the April supplemental filing, 8 with the 2014 ROO. Staff concludes that the 2014 True-up is correctly calculated based on 9 the requirements set forth in Orders No. 08-238 and 09-373.

10 D. CUB's Analysis Supporting the Stipulation.

11 CUB thoroughly examined the filing and participated in the settlement conference on 12 April 28, 2015. Based on its examination of the filing and its participation in the settlement 13 conference, CUB believes that the power cost variance is clearly within the deadband and that 14 there are no adjustments that could reasonably be applied that would result in a variance that 15 is outside of the deadband. The Company's calculations are consistent with the PCAM 16 requirements, and CUB therefore concludes that there should be no adjustment to rates.

- 17 ///
- 18 ///
- 19 ///
- 20 ///
- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///

^{26 &}lt;sup>26</sup> See Re US West, Docket UM 773, Order No. 96-284 at 31 (Nov. 1, 1999).

1	III. CONC	LUSION
2	For all of the above reasons, the Stipu	lating Parties request that the Commission
3	•••	
4		spectfully submitted,
5		DOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON PC
6		10- Joury
7		a F. Rackner
8		Attorneys for Idaho Power
9	Lis	HO POWER COMPANY a Nordstrom
10	PC	ad Counsel 9 Box 70
11	Во	ise, ID 83707
12		BLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF
13	Att	orney for Staff egon Department of Justice
14	11	52 Court Street NE lem, OR 97301-4096
15		
16	So	IZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON mmer Templet
17	Cit	aff Attorney izens' Utility Board of Oregon
18		0 SW Broadway, Ste. 400 rtland, OR 97205
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	L	
25	j	
26	6	
Page	e 7 - JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF: UE 295	