# **CARRIER-TO-CARRIER AGREEMENT CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete all applicable parts of this form and submit it with related materials when filing a carrier-to-carrier agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252 and OAR 860-016-0000 et al. The Commission will utilize the information contained in this form to determine how to process the filing.

	PAR	RTIES	Request	ing Carrier			Affected Carrier	
Name:	Verizon Northwest Inc.					_	NexTel West Corp.	
Addres	s:	P.O. Box 1100				_	Attn: Mr. Bob Edgerly	
		Beave	rton, OR	97076		_	2003 Edmund Halley Dr.	
						_	Reston, VA 20191	
2.	PRIN	MARY CON	TACT PER	SON FOR PE	ROCESSING IN	NFORMA	TION:	
Name:		Renee Wi	ler/Verizo	on		Phone:	503/645-7907	
Addres	s:					Fax:	503/629-0592	
		Beaverton, OR 97075				E-Mail:	renee.willer@verizon.com	
3.	TYPE OF FILING (Check all that apply. For example, parties seeking to adopt a previously approved agreement with new negotiated amendments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.)  Adoption: Adopts interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission.  Parties to prior agreement &							
	-		nterconnect	n new negotiate	t previously appr	oved by th	he Commission.	
	Partic	es to prior agr	nterconnect	n new negotiate	t previously appr	oved by tl	he Commission.	
	Partic Appr	es to prior agree	nterconnect eement et ARB	n new negotiate	t previously appr	roved by tl	he Commission.	
	Partic Appr	es to prior agree	nterconnect eement et ARB	n new negotiate	t previously appr	roved by tl	he Commission.	
	Partic Appr	es to prior agree roved in Dockers filing adopt a	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments	ion agreement  , Order N to base agreen	No(s).	roved by the second sec	he Commission.	
	Partic Appr Does	es to prior agreeroved in Dockes filing adopt a NO YES, appr	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doo	n new negotiate tion agreement , Order N to base agreen	No(s).	approved , Order N	by the Commission?	
	Partic Appr Does	es to prior agreeoved in Dockes filing adopt a NO YES, approved Agreement: S	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doo eeks approv	n new negotiate tion agreement to base agreence the ARB	No(s).  ment previously appropriate agreement	approved , Order N	by the Commission?	
	Partic Appr Does	es to prior agreeroved in Dockes filing adopt a NO YES, appropriate YES, a	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre	, Order Notes to base agreente cket ARB al of new negotiate.	No(s).  ment previously appropriated agreemen the same partie	approved , Order N	by the Commission?  No(s).  s previously approved by the Commission?	
	Partic Appr Does New Does	es to prior agreeroved in Dockers filing adopt a NO YES, appropriate YES,	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre	, Order N to base agreen  cket ARB al of new nego ement between	No(s)  nent previously a potiated agreemen in the same partie	approved of the control of the contr	by the Commission?  No(s).	
	Partic Appr Does New Does Ame:	es to prior agreeoved in Dockos filing adopt a NO YES, appropriate YES, ap	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre roved in Do	n new negotiate tion agreement , Order M to base agreent eket ARB al of new negotiate ement between	No(s).  ment previously appropriated agreement in the same parties agreement	oved by the approved of the ap	by the Commission?  No(s) s previously approved by the Commission?  No(s)	
_	Partic Appr Does New Does Ame:	es to prior agreeoved in Dockes filing adopt a NO YES, appropriate original agreeoved agree original agreeoved in Dockes	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre roved in Do nds an existi ement was n	n new negotiated ion agreement of the base agreement between the b	No(s).  The previously approved the previously a previously approved the previously approv	oved by the approved of the ap	by the Commission?  No(s) s previously approved by the Commission?  No(s)	
_	Partic Appr Does New Does Amer If the	es to prior agreeroved in Dockers filing adopt a NO YES, appropriate NO YES, appropriate NO YES, appropriate YES, appropriate NO, decis	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre roved in Do ds an existi ement was n ion pending	n new negotiated ion agreement ion agreement to base agreement ion base agreement between ion between ion carrier-to-categotiated, has in Docket AR	No(s)	approved I  , Order I  att.  es that was  —, Order I  t. d by Comi	by the Commission?  No(s)	
_	Partic Appr Does New Does Ame:	es to prior agreeroved in Dockers filing adopt a NO YES, approved YES, approveDES, approveDES, approveDES, approveDES, approveDE	nterconnect eement et ARB mendments roved in Doc eeks approv lace an agre roved in Do eds an existi ement was n ion pending	n new negotiated ion agreement ion agreement ion agreement ion base agreement ion base agreement between ion base agreement between ion base agreement ion base agree	notiated agreement the same parties agreement it been approved the same parties arrier agreement of the same parties arrier agreement and the same parties are same as a same parties are same parties are same as a same parties are same as a same parties are same p	oved by the approved of the ap	by the Commission?  No(s)	

#### **AMENDMENT NO. 1**

## to the

### INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

#### between

# VERIZON NORTHWEST INC., F/K/A GTE NORTHWEST INCORPORATED

and

## **NEXTEL WEST CORP.**

### FOR THE STATE OF

## OREGON

This Amendment No. 1 (this "Amendment") is effective June 14, 2001 ("Amendment Effective Date"), by and between Verizon Northwest Inc., f/k/a GTE Northwest Incorporated, a Washington corporation ("Verizon"), and Nextel West Corp., a Delaware corporation ("Nextel"). (Verizon and Nextel may hereinafter be referred to, each individually, as a "Party," and, collectively, as the "Parties").

# WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Verizon and Nextel are Parties to an Interconnection Agreement under Sections 251 and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934 (the "Act") for Oregon which was effective February 16, 1999 (the "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2001, in the Order on Remand and Report and Order, *In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic,* FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98 and 99-68 ("Order"), the Federal Communications Commission affirmed its prior determination that Internet traffic is not subject to reciprocal compensation under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act, but exercised its authority under Section 201 of the Act to establish a transitional plan for intercarrier compensation for Internet traffic; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Order, Verizon has elected to offer an optional reciprocal compensation rate plan for traffic subject to Section 251(b)(5) of the Act, under which such traffic exchanged between Verizon and a local exchange carrier or CMRS

provider in a given state will be subject to compensation at the same rate applicable to intercarrier compensation for Internet traffic in that state under the terms of the Order; and

WHEREAS, Nextel has elected to amend the Agreement to accept the optional reciprocal compensation rate plan for traffic subject to Section 251(b)(5) of the Act being offered by Verizon;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual agreements set forth herein, the Parties agree to amend the Agreement as follows:

- 1. <u>Amendment to Agreement</u>. Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, the Agreement is amended as follows:
  - 1.1Notwithstanding any other provision of the Agreement, the following provisions shall apply to and be a part of the Agreement:
    - 1.1.1 Reciprocal Compensation Rates:
      - 1.1.1.1 Appendix C of the Agreement is amended by deleting from the section "Local Transport and Termination Rates" Paragraph A, "Transport and Termination Rate," and replacing Paragraph A with the following:
        - "A. Local Traffic Transport and Termination Rate

June 14, 2001 through December 13, 2001 -- \$0.0015 per minute of use;

December 14, 2001 through June 13, 2003 -- \$0.0010 per minute of use; and

June 14, 2003 and thereafter -- \$0.0007 per minute of use."

- 1.1.1.2 The rates provided for in Section 1.1.1.1 above shall apply to the Parties in an equal and symmetrical manner.
- 1.1.1.3 The reciprocal compensation rates (including, but not limited to, per minute of use rates) billed by Nextel to Verizon shall not exceed the reciprocal compensation rates (including, but not limited to, per minute of use rates) billed by Verizon to Nextel.

- 1.1.1.4 The rates provided for in Section 1.1.1.1 above shall apply until such time as they are replaced prospectively by new rates as may be approved or allowed into effect from time to time by the Commission pursuant to FCC orders and FCC regulations, or by the FCC, subject to a stay or other order issued by any court of competent jurisdiction.
- 1.1.2 Reciprocal compensation shall not apply to traffic that is not subject to reciprocal compensation under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act.
- 1.1.3 "Internet Traffic" means any traffic that is transmitted to or returned from the Internet at any point during the duration of the transmission.
- 1.1.4 Local Traffic does not include any Internet Traffic.
- 1.1.5 Reciprocal compensation shall not apply to Internet Traffic.
- 1.1.6 The Parties' rights and obligations with respect to any intercarrier compensation that may be due in connection with their exchange of Internet Traffic shall be governed by the terms of the Order and other applicable FCC orders and FCC regulations.
- 1.1.7 The determination of whether traffic is Local Traffic or Internet Traffic shall be performed in accordance with Paragraphs 8 and 79, and other applicable provisions, of the Order (including, but not limited to, in accordance with the rebuttable presumption established by the Order that traffic delivered to a carrier that exceeds a 3:1 ratio of terminating to originating traffic is Internet Traffic, and in accordance with the process established by the Order for rebutting such presumption before the Commission).
- 1.1.8 A Party shall not be obligated to pay any intercarrier compensation for Internet Traffic that is in excess of the intercarrier compensation for Internet Traffic that such Party is required to pay under the Order and other applicable FCC orders and FCC Regulations.
- 2. <u>Termination</u>. If the Order is stayed, vacated or modified, in whole or in part, by the FCC or another governmental entity of competent jurisdiction, each Party shall have the right to terminate this Amendment by written notice to the other Party. The termination shall be effective upon receipt of the notice of termination by the other Party. In the event of such termination of this Amendment, the language of the Agreement, on a prospective basis, effective with the effective date of the termination, shall revert to the language of the Agreement (including any other amendments to the Agreement entered into by the Parties on, before or after the Amendment Effective Date) as it would have existed if this

Amendment had not been entered into by the Parties. The provisions of this Section 2 shall be in addition to and not in limitation of any other provisions of the Agreement (including, but not limited to, Article III, Section 32, "Changes in Legal Requirements," and Article III, Section 40, "Subsequent Law") that might apply if the Order is stayed, vacated or modified.

- 3. <u>Scope of Amendment</u>. Except to the extent set forth in Section 1 of this Amendment, the rates, charges and other provisions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect after the Amendment Effective Date. Nothing in this Amendment shall be deemed to amend or extend the term of the Agreement. The dates shown in Section 1.1.1.1 are not intended to modify the term of the Agreement or to affect either Party's right to exercise any right of termination it may have under the Agreement.
- 4. <u>Conflict Between this Amendment and the Agreement</u>. This Amendment shall be deemed to revise the rates, charges and other provisions of the Agreement to the extent necessary to give effect to the rates, charges and other provisions of this Amendment. In the event of a conflict between a rate, charge or other provision of this Amendment and a rate, charge or other provision of the Agreement, this Amendment shall govern.
- 5. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Amendment may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be duly executed and delivered by their duly authorized representatives as of the Amendment Effective Date.

NEXTEL WEST CORP.	VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.		
By:	By:		
Printed:	Printed: <u>Jeffrey A. Masoner</u>		
Title:	Title: Vice-President - Interconnection Services Policy & Planning		