BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

Staff investigation of the Oregon Universal Service Fund.

Docket No. UM 1481

OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

MOTION

Pursuant to OAR 860-014-0070(3), the Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA) respectfully moves to compel CenturyLink, Inc. ("CenturyLink") to produce information concerning broadband services responsive to OCTA's First Set of Data Requests Nos. OCTA-CTL-1, OCTA-CTL-3, and OCTA-CTL-4, and moves to change the date for initial testimony to December 10, 2012, and requests expedited consideration of this motion.

CERTIFICATION OF GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY DISPUTE

OCTA made a good-faith effort to resolve these matters informally by conferring in a series of telephone calls and email correspondence with counsel for CenturyLink that began on November 7, 2012, but the parties were unable to resolve the dispute that is the subject of this motion. On November 13, CenturyLink communicated a final decision to exclude broadband services from the information provided in response to the data requests.

Page 1 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

BACKGROUND FACTS

At issue in this motion is OCTA's First Set of Data Requests, specifically requests OCTA-CTL-1, OCTA-CTL-3, and OCTA-CTL-4. These requests sought annual reports filed with the Oregon Public Utility Commission (the Commission), line-counts (including broadband lines), and revenues (again, including broadband revenues). Generally, CenturyLink declined to provide responsive material relating to its broadband services.

Specifically, OCTA-CTL-1 provides as follows:

Provide the confidential version of each Annual Report Form O submitted by CenturyLink (including without limitation Qwest and other subsidiaries and affiliates) to the Oregon PUC, for each of the three years 2009, 2010, and 2011.

While CenturyLink provided some information, it noted:

CenturyLink has removed broadband information from Form L, section I of these reports as this information is not relevant and is beyond the scope of the issues set forth in the August 29, 2012 ALJ Ruling in this docket.

OCTA-CTL-3 provides as follows:

For 2011, provide CenturyLink's year-end line counts for the following services by wire center and ILEC study area (to the extent these data are not available at the wire center level, please provide the data at the lowest level of granularity available, such as rate center):

- A. Residential basic telephone service;
- B. Business basic telephone service;
- C. Residential broadband service provided by the company or its affiliates:
- D. Business broadband service provided by the company or its affiliates.

Page 2 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

DWT 20654864v1 0085000-001523

¹ Due to a typographical error, OCTA's First Set of Data Requests to CenturyLink included two distinct requests numbered OCTA-CTL-4. The request at issue, to which CenturyLink objected, is the first such request (the second such request is numbered OCTA-CTL-4-1 in CenturyLink's response).

While CenturyLink provided some information, it objected to both C and D in identical language, stating:

CenturyLink objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant information. In addition, it seeks information is not relevant and is beyond the scope of the issues set forth in the August 29, 2012 ALJ Ruling in this docket.

Finally, OCTA-CTL-4 provides as follows:

For 2011, provide CenturyLink's average revenue per line for the following line types and by wire center and ILEC study area (to the extent these data are not available at the wire center level, please provide the data at the lowest level of granularity available, such as rate center):

- A. Residential local voice service:
- B. Business local voice service;
- C. Residential broadband service provided by the company or its affiliates;
- D. Business broadband service provided by the company or its affiliates.

While CenturyLink provided some information, it objected to both C and D in identical language, stating:

CenturyLink objects to this request on the grounds that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and information. In addition, it seeks information is not relevant and is beyond the scope of the issues set forth in the August 29, 2012 ALJ Ruling in this docket.

OCTA's opening testimony in this docket is currently due on November 26, 2012.

ARGUMENT

A. The Scope of Permissible Discovery Is Broad

The scope of discovery in this proceeding is the broad one established by the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (ORCP). OAR 860-001-0540 provides that "[a] party may submit data

Page 3 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

requests to any other party, subject to the discovery rules in the ORCP." The ORCP, specifically allows discovery of "any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defense of any other party...."

"Relevant evidence" is in turn defined to mean "evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence."

The ORCP expressly provides that "[i]t is not ground for objection that the information sought will be inadmissible at the trial if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence."

For decades, Oregon law has shifted towards requiring disclosure even where relevancy is contested. See Oregon Orchards v. Ins. Co. of N.A., 239 Or 192, 198, 397 P2d 74 (1964) (noting the trend to require production of documents in order to determine relevancy, rather than denying as irrelevant before disclosing the documents). The Commission's rules emphasize that the standard protective order "allows the broadest possible discovery consistent with the need to protect confidential information."

B. Broadband Information Is Well-Within the Broad Scope Of Permissible Discovery

The subject of OCTA's Data Requests—including the information on CenturyLink's broadband services—easily meets the liberal standard for discovery. All the Data Requests are reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. A central issue in this docket is "[w]hat changes should be made to the existing OUSF related to the calculation, the

Page 4 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

DWT 20654864v1 0085000-001523

_

² See also OAR 860-001-0000 (providing that the "The Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (ORCP) also apply in contested case and declaratory ruling proceedings unless inconsistent with these rules, a Commission order, or an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) ruling.").

³ ORCP 36 B(1).

⁴ ORS 40.150.

⁵ ORCP 36 B(1).

⁶ OAR 860-001-0080.

collection, and the distribution of funds."⁷ Calculation of OUSF support must take into consideration whether a carrier actually *needs* support. Carrier revenues that can be used to offset the cost of providing service are relevant to that analysis.

Moreover, the relevance of broadband revenues to the future of the OUSF calculations and distributions is clear from the history of this docket. Staff's comments in response to the issues list in the earlier phase of UM 1481 explained that "DSL revenues or revenues derived from providing internet services," should be used to reduce support if OUSF support remains directed at carriers. Staff also commented that "as a principle, a company's total communications earnings should be consider when determining if a company needs support for serving a particular geographic area." The relevance of broadband revenues, such as DSL revenues, to the OUSF was also made clear by the Commission in its first triennial review of rural Local Exchange Carriers' OUSF funding in 2006, where it approved a Memorandum of Understanding, which set forth options for calculating future OUSF funding including "[i]mput[ing] DSL revenues as an additional OUSF offset"; and, "[a]djust[ing] the line counts to include DSL capable lines in the denominator to calculate cost per line."

CenturyLink's objections appear to be based on the false assumption that if the OUSF will not be repurposed to directly support broadband, then broadband metrics and revenues are irrelevant to CenturyLink's need for further support. That is simply not the case. If CenturyLink's broadband revenue is significant, then the Commission must be free to consider whether OUSF funding calculations and distributions should reflect that.

_

Page 5 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

⁷ Issues List Ruling, at 2.

⁸ In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff investigation of the Oregon Universal Service Fund, Staff's Comments, UM 1481, at Issue 29 (October 25, 2010).

⁹ *Id.*, at Issue 38.

¹⁰ In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff investigation of the Oregon Universal Service Fund, Order 06-297, UM 1017, Appendix A, at 7 (June 14, 2006).

OCTA, in order to meaningfully comment on how the OUSF should be calculated and distributed, must have access to the data CenturyLink is refusing to provide. Specifically, information on broadband services requested by Data Requests OCTA-CTL-1, OCTA-CTL-3 and OCTA-CTL-4 is needed for OCTA to evaluate options for calculating and distributing future OUSF funds, and potentially present testimony to the Commission, including projections as to how the size of the OUSF might be impacted by such changes to the calculation of support.

In sum, there is no basis for CenturyLink to continue to withhold the requested information.

C. Expedited Consideration and Extended Time for Opening Testimony Are Merited

Finally, in order to allow consideration of this motion, and to allow OCTA to review, analyze and incorporate material CenturyLink is ultimately compelled to produce, currently due on November 26 (just after the Thanksgiving holiday), OCTA requests expedited consideration for this motion, and further requests that the time for opening testimony be extended two-weeks, to December 10, 2012.

///

///

///

///

Page 6 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, OCTA respectfully requests that its motion to compel discovery be granted on an expedited basis, and that the time for opening testimony should be extended to December 10, 2012.

DATED this 14th day of November, 2012.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

В	v:		
┙.	<i>y</i> •		

MARK TRINCHERO, OSB #883221 Email: marktrinchero@dwt.com ALAN GALLOWAY, OSB #083290

Email: alangalloway@dwt.com Telephone: (503) 241-2300 Facsimile: (503) 778-5299 Of Attorneys for OCTA

Page 7 – OCTA'S MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK & MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UM 1481

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2012, the foregoing **OCTA MOTION TO COMPEL CENTURYLINK AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR OPENING TESTIMONY –EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED** was sent by UPS Overnight Mail to the Oregon Public Utilities Commission, 550 Capitol Street NE, #215, Salem OR 97310 and email to puc.filingcenter@state.or.us, and was served on the following persons by email:

Civil LILVIII D. 1 CO	
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Charles L. Best
OPUC Dockets	1631 NE Broadway #538
610 SW Broadway, Ste 400	Portland, OR 97232-1425
Portland, OR 97205	Chuck@charleslbest.com
Dockets@oregoncub.org	
Ater Wynne LLP	Qwest Corporation
Arthur A Butler	Carla Butler
601 Union Street, Ste 1501	310 SE Park Ave 11th Flr
Seattle, WA 98101-3981	Portland, OR 97205-3715
Aab@aterwynne.com	Carla.butler@centurylink.com
AT&T Communications of the Pacific	Comcast Business Communications LLC
Northwest Inc	Doug Cooley
David Collier	1710 Salem industrial Drive NE
645 E Plumb Lane / PO Box 11010	Salem, OR 97303
Reno, NV 89502	Doug_cooley@cable.comcast.com
David.collier@att.com	
Integra Telecom of Oregon Inc	OCTA
Douglas K Denney	Michael Dewey
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd, Ste 500	1249 Commercial St SE
Portland, OR 97232	Salem, OR 97302
Dkdenney@integratelecom.com	Mdewey@oregoncable.com
Verizon Communications NW, Inc.	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
Milt H. Doumit	Gordon Feighner
410 – 11th Ave. SE, Ste 103	610 SW Broadway, Ste 400
Olympia WA 98501	Portland, OR 97205
milt.h.doumit@verizon.com	Gordon@oregoncub.org
Law Office of Richard A Finnigan	WSTC
Richard A Finnigan	Adam Haas
2112 Black Lake Blvd SW	10425 SW Hawthorne Ln
Olympia, WA 98512	Portland, OR 97225
Rickfinn@localaccess.com	Adam.haas@warmspringstelecom.com

CenturyLink, Inc.	PUC StaffDepartment of Justice	
William E Hendricks	Jason W Jones	
902 Wasco St a0412	Business Activities Section	
Hood River, OR 97031	1162 Court St NE	
Tre.hendricks@centurylink.com	Salem, OR 97301-4096	
Tre.nendricks@centurynnk.com	Jason.w.jones@state.or.us	
GVNW Consulting Inc	Mcdowell Rackner & Gibson PC	
Carsten Koldsbaek	Adam Lowney	
PO Box 2330	419 SW 11th Ave, Ste 400	
Tualatin, OR 97062	Portland, OR 97205	
Ckoldsbaek@gvnw.com	Adam@mcd-law.com	
AT&T	Public Utility Commission of Oregon	
Cynthia Manheim PO Box 97061	Kay Marinos PO Box 2148	
Redmond, WA 98052	Salem, OR 97308-2148	
Cindy.manheim@att.com	kay.marinos@state.or.us	
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	AT&T Services, Inc.	
G. Catriona Mccracken	Sharon L. Mullin	
610 SW Broadway, Ste 400	400 W 15th St, Ste 930	
Portland, OR 97205	Austin, TX 78701	
Catriona@oregoncub.org	Slmullin@att.com	
Integra Telecom of Oregon Inc	Oregon Exchange Carrier Association	
J Jeffery Oxley	Craig Phillips	
6160 Golden Hills Dr	1104 Main St., #300	
Golden Valley, MN 55416-1020	Vancouver, wa 98660	
Jjoxley@integratelecom.com	Cphillips@oeca.com	
Mcdowell Rackner & Gibson PC	GVNW Consulting Inc	
Lisa F Rackner	Jim Rennard	
419 SW 11th Ave., Suite 400	PO Box 2330	
Portland, OR 97205	Tualatin, OR 97062	
Dockets@mcd-law.com	Jrennard@gvnw.com	
Verizon Corporate Counsel	Frontier Communications of America Inc	
Rudolph M Reyes	Kevin L Saville	
201 Spear Street, 7th floor	2378 Wilshire Blvd	
San Francisco, CA 94105	Mound, MN 55364	
Rudy.reyes@verizon.com	kevin.saville@ftr.com	
Verizon	GVNW Consulting Inc	
Richard B Severy	Jeffry H Smith	
2775 Mitchell Dr, Bldg. 8-2	PO Box 2330	
Walnut Creek, CA 94598	Tualatin, OR 97062	
Richard.b.severy@verizon.com	Jsmith@gvnw.com	
Comspan Communications Inc	Warm Springs Telecommunications	
Tim Spannring	Marsha Spellman	
278 NW Garden Valley Blvd	10425 SW Hawthorne Ln	
Roseburg, OR 97470	Portland, OR 97225	
Tims@comspancomm.com	Marsha.spellman@warmspringstelecom.com	

CenturyLink, Inc	Public Utility Commission of Oregon
Ron L Trullinger	Roger White
310 SW Park Ave 11th Flr	PO Box 2148
Portland, OR 97205	Salem, OR 97308
Ron.trullinger@centurylink.com	Roger.white@state.or.us
Frontier Communications Northwest Inc	Oregon Telecommunications Association
Renee Willer	Brant Wolf
20575 NW von Neumann Dr	777 13th St SE - Ste 120
Beaverton, OR 97006-6982	Salem, OR 97301-4038
Renee.willer@ftr.com	Bwolf@ota-telecom.org
Embarq Communications Inc	tw telecom of oregon llc
Barbara Young	Lyndall Nipps
902 Wasco St - orhdra0412	9665 Granite Ridge Dr - Ste 500
Hood River, OR 97031-3105	San Digeo CA 92123
Barbara.c.young@centurylink.com	lyndall.nipps@twtelecom.com
Ater Wynne LLP	
Joel Paisner	
601 Union Street SE Ste 1501	
Seattle WA 98101-2327	
jrp@aterwynne.com	

Dated this 14th day of November 2012 at Portland, Oregon.

Chris Pellechi Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP 1300 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 2400

Portland, OR 97201-5630