1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
2	OF OREGON
3	UM 1378
4	IN THE MATTER OF IDAHO POWER
5	
6	
7	
8	Pursuant to OAR-860-013-0031, Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or
9	"Company") hereby requests the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") issue
10	an Order authorizing Idaho Power to withdraw its Petition for a Partial Waiver of Competitive
11	Bidding Guidelines.
12	<u>Procedural History</u>
13	On April 17, 2008, Idaho Power filed its Petition for a Partial Waiver of the
14	Commissions Competitive Bidding Guidelines (the "Petition"). For the reasons addressed in
15	more detail later in this Motion, the Petition requested expedited consideration.
16	In its Petition, the Company described the events and risk factors that caused the
17	Company to accelerate its issuance of a Request for Proposals ("RFP") to acquire a base-
18	load resource to meet anticipated loads in 2012 ("RFP resource"). The RFP resource, which
19	is now limited to approximately 300 MW, replaces the 250 MW pulverized coal-fired
20	generating resource that had been scheduled for 2013 in the Company's acknowledged
21	2006 Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP").
22	In its Petition, the Company identified those areas in which its RFP would not be in
23	strict compliance with the Guidelines issued by the Commission in Order No. 06-446
24	("Competitive Bidding Guidelines"). The Company also explained how it had structured its
25	RFP so that it would be in substantial compliance with the Competitive Bidding Guidelines
26	and would provide a fair and cost-effective competitive bidding process. As a part of that

1 explanation, the Company described the extensive review process the Idaho Commission 2 would undertake.

During May and June 2008, Idaho Power responded to data requests from 4 Commission Staff and participated in a number of discussions with Staff to explain the 5 Company's filing and to provide Staff with additional information concerning the reasons 6 underlying the filing of the Petition.

At a meeting held in Salem on June 2, 2008, Idaho Power and Staff discussed the 8 timelines imposed on the Commission in the Guidelines and agreed it would be desirable for 9 the Commission to temporarily suspend further action on the Petition to allow Idaho Power 10 to address the RFP resource in the Company's upcoming filing of its 2008 update to the 11 Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP").

The Company subsequently filed a request with the Commission asking that the Commission suspend further action on the pending Petition to allow the Company and the Staff to review the 2008 update to the Company's IRP and determine whether or not the update would resolve the Staff's concerns that the RFP resource is not included in an acknowledged IRP. On July 3, 2008, Administrative Law Judge Michael Grant granted Idaho Power's motion to temporarily suspend further action in the docket. He noted that at the conclusion of the IRP review, Idaho Power will consult with the parties to this proceeding to establish a procedural schedule to complete the processing of this application.

The Passage of Time Has Rendered the Petition Moot

Idaho Power's purpose in asking for the waiver was to comply with the Commission's Competitive Bidding Guideline No. 2. In so doing, the Company wished to apprise the Commission of the Company's changing plans and to give the Commission an opportunity to review and suggest changes to the Company's RFP process. In particular, the Company had hoped to solicit recommendations for changes to the RFP process at an early stage so that the recommendations could be incorporated into the bid evaluation process.

20

In filing the Petition, Idaho Power also hoped to obtain the Commission's concurrence with the Company's position that strict compliance with the Competitive Bidding Guidelines would compromise the Company's ability to move quickly enough to secure a needed resource required to meet expected demand and therefore strict compliance with the Competitive Bidding Guidelines would not be in the best interest of customers.

Finally, it was the Company's desire to utilize the Petition to make it clear to the Commission that the Company was cognizant of the Commission's Competitive Bidding Guidelines in structuring its RFP process. The Company believes that its RFP process substantially complies with the Competitive Bidding Guidelines and the Company was hopeful that any additional steps the Company could take to alleviate any concerns that the Commission might have had with the Company's RFP process could be elucidated in the processing of the Waiver Petition.

Staff has now advised the Company that, based on its review of the 2008 update to the Company's IRP and the Company's responses to the Staff's data requests, Staff has concluded that the Company has not provided the amount of information and depth of analysis that is equivalent to the information the Staff would require to support acknowledgement of the RFP resource if it had been presented in a formal IRP review process.

In light of the Staff's position, the next step in the Company's pursuit of the Waiver
Petition would be a contested Commission case. A contested case will be time consuming
and expensive. Because the Company will be opening bids in its RFP process in October,
most of the benefits the Company had hoped to receive from the Petition process, i.e.,
suggested changes to the Company's RFP process, will not be realized. From the
Company's standpoint, the Petition has now become essentially moot.

25

26

Company Will Address the 2012 RFP Resource in the 2009 IRP

- 2 Idaho Power has begun the process of developing its 2009 IRP. The Company met
- 3 with its established IRP stakeholder group on August 7, 2008. The stakeholder group,
- 4 known as the IRP Advisory Council or "IRPAC" includes representatives of customers,
- 5 environmental groups, governmental agencies, and Staff from both the Idaho and Oregon
- 6 Commissions.

1

- 7 It is the Company's intention to utilize the 2009 IRP as the vehicle in which it obtains
- 8 Oregon acknowledgement of the 2012 base load resource. During the informal discussions
- 9 with Staff concerning the Waiver Petition, Staff made several suggestions as to how the
- 10 Company can more closely align its RFP process with the Guidelines. Idaho Power will
- 11 integrate these suggestions into its process to help ensure the fairness and efficiency of its
- 12 RFP. The Company therefore looks forward to working with Staff and the Commission to
- 13 demonstrate that its decision to use an RFP to acquire approximately 300 MWs of base-load
- 14 generation in 2012 is consistent with prudent resource planning principles.
- 15 ////
- 16 ////
- 17 ////
- 18 ////
- 19 ////
- 20 ////
- 21 ////
- 22 ////
- 23 ////
- 24 ////
- 25 ////
- 26 ////

Page 4 - MOTION TO WITHDRAW PETITION

1	<u>Conclusion</u>
2	For all of these reasons, Idaho Power Company respectfully requests that the
3	Commission issue its Order allowing Idaho Power to withdraw its Petition for Partial Waiver
4	of Competitive Bidding Guidelines.
5	Respectfully submitted this 26 of August 2008.
6	McDowell & Rackner PC
7	hisakai
8	Lisa F. Rackner
9	IDAHO POWER COMPANY
10	Barton L. Kline Senior Attorney
11	PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707
12	Attorneys for Idaho Power Company
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in Docket UM 2 3 1378 was served on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by e-mail 4 and first-class mail addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-know address(es) indicated 5 below. 6 7 Robert D. Kahn Peter J. Richardson Richardson & O'Leary **NW Independent Power Producers** 8 PO Box 7218 rkahn@nippc.org Boise, ID 83707 9 Peter@richardsonandoleary.com 10 Michael Weirich **Assistant Attorney General** 11 Department of Justice Regulated Utility & Business Section 12 1162 Court St NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 13 michael.weirich@doj.state.or.us 14 15 16 17 18 Attorney for Idaho Power Company 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

MOTION TO WITHDRAW PETITION

Page 6