McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC

KATHERINE McDowell Direct (503) 595-3924 katherine@mcd-law.com

October 29, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 2148
Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re:

UE 216 – PacifiCorp's 2011 Transition Adjustment Mechanism Schedule 201, Cost-Based Supply Service

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in the captioned docket are the original and one copy of PacifiCorp's Motion for Direction on Implementation of Docket UM 1355 Order. A copy of this filing was served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

Very trally yours

Katherine McDowell

cc: Service List

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1

•			
2	I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in		
3	UE 216 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by email addressed		
4	to said person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.		
5	5		
6	Kelcey Brown Public Utility Commission of Oregon	Jason W. Jones, Assistant AG Department of Justice	
7	Salem, OR 97308-2148	1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.orus	
8	Rolody, brown & class, or all	Peter J. Richardson	
9	Richardson & O'Leary	Richardson & O'Leary peter@richardsonandoleary.com	
10) grog@noraccoonancoon,		
11	1 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Robert Jenks Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon bob@oreg oncub. org	
12	2		
13	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	G. Catriona McCracken Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Catriona@oregoncub.org	
14	4		
15	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Kevin Higgins Energy Strategies LLC khiggins@energystrat.com	
16	3		
17	7 REI Consulting Inc	Irion A. Sanger Davison Van Cleve 333 SW Taylor, Ste. 400	
18	8343 Roswell Rd.	Portland, OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com	
19			
20	Greg Bass Sempra Energy Solutions LLC		
21	gbass@semprasolutions.com	1	
22	2	7/1	
23	DATED: October 29, 2010		
24	4	Katherine McDowell	
25	5	/	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON **UE 216**

3

4

1

2

In the Matter of:

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER 5 2011 Transition Adjustment Mechanism Schedule 201, Cost-Based Supply 6

Service

7

MOTION FOR DIRECTION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF **DOCKET UM 1355 ORDER**

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

8

9

11

14

15

17

18

21

22

23

Pursuant to OAR 860-013-0031, PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (the Company)

10 submits this Motion for Direction on Implementation of Docket UM 1355 Order (Motion) to the

Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The Company requests that the

12 Commission provide direction on how the Company should implement the Docket UM 1355

13 order into PacifiCorp's Transition Adjustment Mechanism (TAM). See Re Public Utility

Commission of Oregon Investigation into Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric

Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Order No. 10-414 (Oct. 22, 2010). Specifically, the

16 Company proposes that the Commission direct the Company to either: (1) implement the

Commission's decision in UM 1355 in the 2012 TAM; or (2) reflect the UM 1355 order in the

2011 TAM by permitting a special net power cost (NPC) update on December 1, 2010.

19 Unfortunately, such an update would occur after the Final Update required by the TAM

20 Guidelines adopted in Order No. 09-274 and modified in Order No. 09-432 (TAM Guidelines)

and would not be reflected in the calculation of the transition adjustment resulting from the

Final Update. Given the complexity of the potential implementation of the UM 1355 Order in

the 2011 TAM, PacifiCorp requests that the Commission expedite its consideration of this

24 Motion.

25

1.	BACKGROUND

Α.	UE	216	Stip	ulation

1

3	On July 7, 2010, the parties filed the Stipulation that resolved the issues in this docket.			
4	On September 16, 2010, the Commission approved the Stipulation in Order No. 10-363. Re			
5	PacifiCorp's 2011 Transition Adjustment Mechanism Schedule 201, Cost-Based Supply			
6	Service, Docket UE 216, Order No. 10-363 (Sept. 16, 2010). Paragraph 11 of the Stipulation			
7	states:			
8	The Company agrees to reflect the final Commission decision in			
9	Docket UM 1355 in the 2011 TAM if the decision is timely and issued prior to the Indicative Filing. The Parties agree that the			
10	adopted schedule in UM 1355, including the proposed Commission decision date, would result in a timely order.			
11	Commoder according date, wedita recall in a timely craci.			
12	The proposed Commission decision date in the adopted UM 1355 schedule was October 15,			
13	2010; the Commission's decision in UM 1355 was issued on October 22, 2010.			
14	Conditioning the incorporation of the UM 1355 decision into the 2011 TAM on the			
15	timing of the Commission's decision in UM 1355 was important to the Company for two			
16	reasons. First, Schedule 201 rates go into effect on January 1, 2011 and the Company needs			
17	time to incorporate the Commission's changes into the calculation of Schedule 201 rates.			
18	Prior to rates going into effect, the Stipulation calls for two updates—an Indicative Filing on			
19	November 8, 2010 and a Final Update on November 15, 2010. Stipulation at 3. The			
20	October 15 date was determined in part based on the ability of PacifiCorp to incorporate the			
21	UM 1355 order into these updates.			
22	Second, the Commission's direct access statutes and rules set forth deadlines for the			
23	Company's actions in implementing the annual direct access election period. The procedures			
24	for the PacifiCorp's direct access election period include the following key steps: (1) pre-			
25	election period mailing to customers eligible for direct access; (2) publication of the Transition			
26	Adjustment through posting of indicative prices at least five days prior to the election period,			

- 1 ORS 757.609(2); OAR 860-038-0275(3); (3) an election period beginning on November 15,
- 2 OAR 860-038-0275(1); (4) an election period of 5 days, OAR 860-038-0275(2); and
- 3 (5) customer submission of a Direct Access Service Request to PacifiCorp by December 12,
- 4 Tariff Rule 21, page 7. When entering into the Stipulation and assisting in the development of
- 5 a schedule in UM 1355, PacifiCorp considered the fact that an order in UM 1355 would need
- 6 to be issued with enough time for PacifiCorp to incorporate the Commission's decision into
- 7 transition adjustment calculations.
- 8 The Company is in the midst of the first step in the direct access election period. The
- 9 Company has printed all of its direct access materials and is now mailing these materials to
- 10 customers eligible for direct access. See Re. PacifiCorp's 2009 Transition Adjustment
- 11 Mechanism Schedule 200, Cost-Based Supply Service, Docket UE 199, PacifiCorp's Motion
- 12 for Waiver of OAR 860-038-0275(1) and Submission of Amended Stipulation at 2 (Oct. 29,
- 13 2008). For this reason, the Company cannot now delay the direct access election period for
- 14 2010.

15 B. UM 1355 Order

- 16 Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Allan Arlow convened a prehearing conference in
- 17 Docket UM 1355 on June 25, 2010. At that conference, the parties agreed to and ALJ Arlow
- adopted a schedule that set October 15, 2010 as the anticipated decision date for the
- 19 Commission's order. See Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation into
- 20 Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Prehearing
- 21 Conference Report (June 29, 2010). As the UE 216 parties agreed in the Stipulation, the
- 22 October 15 deadline would provide sufficient time for PacifiCorp to implement the final order in
- 23 the 2011 TAM.
- Thereafter, Staff filed a motion to amend the schedule, which ALJ Arlow granted on
- 25 August 6, 2010. See Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation into Forecasting
- 26 Forced Outage Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Ruling (Aug. 6, 2010).

- 1 The new schedule pushed back every scheduled date, with the exception of the anticipated
- 2 date of the Commission's final order, which remained October 15, 2010. In granting Staff's
- 3 motion, ALJ Arlow specifically noted that ICNU did not oppose the motion because Staff
- 4 represented that the proposed schedule would not cause a delay in the Commission's ability
- 5 to issue a final order by October 15, 2010.
- 6 Staff then filed another motion to amend the schedule on August 27, 2010. That same
- 7 day, the ALJ granted the motion and adopted a new briefing schedule. Re Public Utility
- 8 Commission of Oregon Investigation into Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric
- 9 Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Ruling (Aug. 27, 2010). Again, the modified schedule did
- 10 not change the anticipated due date for the Commission's final order.
- 11 Throughout the scheduling process, PacifiCorp expressed concerns that
- 12 implementation of the Commission's UM 1355 decision in the 2011 TAM would be difficult
- 13 because the TAM scheduled allowed for only two weeks between the Commission's
- 14 anticipated decision in UM 1355—October 15, 2010—and the November 1 contract lock-down
- when the Company fixes the power cost data and begins calculating the Indicative Filing due
- on November 8. See e.g., Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation into
- 17 Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Cross-
- 18 Examination Hearing, Tr. 47, II. 15-23 (Aug. 23, 2010). Moreover, the Company indicated in
- 19 UM 1355 that if the Commission's proposed collar mechanism, set forth in Order No. 09-479,
- 20 was materially modified it would be difficult or impossible to implement the Commission's
- 21 UM 1355 order in the 2011 TAM. See Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation
- 22 into Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket UM 1355,
- 23 PacifiCorp's Opening Brief at 8 (Sept. 8, 2010).
- The Commission ultimately issued its final order in UM 1355 on October 22, 2010.
- 25 See Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation into Forecasting Forced Outage
- 26 Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket UM 1355, Order No. 10-414 (Oct. 22, 2010). The

Commission's final order made material changes to the Commission's Order No. 09-479 1 2 collar. Id. 3 II. DISCUSSION 4 The Commission Could Direct PacifiCorp to Implement the UM 1355 Decision in Α. the 2012 TAM. 5 6 Under paragraph 11 of the UE 216 Stipulation, the Company agreed to reflect the 7 UM 1355 decision in the 2011 TAM if the decision is "timely," a term that the parties defined 8 by express reference to the proposed October 15, 2010 Commission decision date in the 9 UM 1355 schedule. As the Company stated throughout the scheduling process, a decision 10 after October 15 would jeopardize the Company's ability to implement the Commission's 11 UM 1355 decision in the 2011 TAM. See e.g., Re Public Utility Commission of Oregon 12 Investigation into Forecasting Forced Outage Rates for Electric Generating Units, Docket 13 UM 1355, Cross-Examination Hearing, Tr. 47, II. 15-23 (Aug. 23, 2010). The Company also 14 stated that if the Commission's proposed collar mechanism, set forth in Order No. 09-479, 15 was materially modified it would be difficult or impossible to implement the Commission's 16 UM 1355 decision in the 2011 TAM. 17 The Commission's UM 1355 order adopted material changes to the Commission's 18 previously-proposed collar mechanism. Specifically, the Commission's final order requires 19 that all outages be capped at 28 days in the historical average used as a replacement value 20 for outliers. Order No. 10-414 at 5. The Commission also adopted adjustments to the

for outliers. Order No. 10-414 at 5. The Commission also adopted adjustments to the capacity deration methodology and heat rate curves. Order No. 10-414 at 6-8. Because of the labor-intensive nature of these requirements, the Company cannot implement them in time to meet the Indicative Filing and Final Update deadlines set forth in the Stipulation.

Additionally, the Commission encouraged PacifiCorp to confer with other parties to explore modeling alternatives to these adjustments. PacifiCorp cannot engage in these discussions unless implementation occurs in the 2012 TAM, instead of the 2011 TAM.

Because the Commission's decision was issued one week after the October 15 date			
that the parties in UE 216 proceeding agreed was timely, the UE 216 Stipulation does not			
appear to require the Company to reflect the UM 1355 decision in the 2011 TAM. Moreover,			
implementing the Commission's order in UM 1355 as contemplated in the Indicative Filing and			
Final Update in the 2011 TAM is not now possible. For these reasons, and to allow for pre-			
filing discussions and a more orderly implementation process, the Commission could direct			
the Company to incorporate the UM 1355 decision in the 2012 TAM.			
B. Alternatively, the Commission Could Direct a Special Update to Allow the			
Company to Incorporate the UM 1355 Order in Schedule 201 Rates, but the			
Special Update Would Follow the Transition Adjustment Calculation.			
While the Company could still incorporate the Commission's UM 1355 order into the			
calculation of rates in Schedule 201 to be effective January 1, 2011, such an update could not			
be completed until after the Final Update set forth in the TAM Guidelines and scheduled for			
November 15 pursuant to the Stipulation. The Company estimates that the earliest it can			
complete this additional update is December 1, 2010. Because it is now too late to			
reschedule the November direct access election window, this schedule would preclude			
reflection of the UM 1355 decision in the transition adjustment calculation. The Company has			
concerns about updating NPC in this manner after the direct access election period. For this			
reason, and because such an update is not authorized by the TAM Guidelines or the UE 216			
Stipulation, the Company requests Commission direction on whether to make this special			
update to the 2011 TAM.			
III. CONCLUSION			
The Company respectfully requests that the Commission provide direction to the			
Company on whether it should incorporate the UM 1355 order in the 2012 TAM or in the 2011			

TAM through a special NPC update. Because the Commission's order on this Motion is

25

1	necessary to meet upcoming deadline	s, the Company requests expedited review of the
2	Motion.	
3		
4	DATED: October 29, 2010.	McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC
5		
6		Katherine McDowell
7		
8		Attorneys for PacifiCorp
9		PACIFICORP
10		Jordan A. White
11		Senior Counsel Pacific Power
12		1407 W. North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116
13		Phone: (801) 220-2279 Facsimile: (801) 220-4615
14		1 400//// (001) 220 1010
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		