

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF OREGON**

**UE \_\_\_\_\_**

In the Matter of the Revised Tariff Schedules	)	MOTION OF PORTLAND GENERAL
for Electric Service in Oregon filed by	)	ELECTRIC COMPANY TO
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	)	CONSOLIDATE WITH DOCKET
COMPANY	)	UE 180
	)	

Pursuant to OAR 860-014-0025 and for the reasons set forth below, Portland General Electric Company (“PGE”) respectfully requests that the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) or Commission issue a ruling consolidating this docket with docket UE 180.

Docket UE 180 is a general rate case filed by PGE on March 15, 2006. That case is based on a normalized future test period of calendar year 2007. Part of the rate revision requested in that docket is due to the expected commercial operation of the Port Westward combined cycle combustion turbine generation plant (“Port Westward”) PGE is currently constructing. In UE 180, PGE requested that any rate change resulting from that docket be implemented in January 2007, except for the costs associated with Port Westward. In docket UE 180 PGE requested that the Commission decide the cost changes associated with Port Westward and allow PGE to file compliance tariffs affecting the revenue requirement change when the plant begins commercial operation, expected to be March 1, 2007. PGE believes its request in docket UE 180 for Port Westward costs is consistent with past practice of this Commission, and the governing law. Notwithstanding this, at least one party to UE 180 has made claims that this approach is somehow deficient. PGE does not agree, but to avoid any

potential arguments, PGE has filed tariffs in this docket that include the additional incremental revenue requirements associated with the commercial operation of the Port Westward Plant.

This docket, then, deals with the same costs and issues that have been presented in UE 180. The proposed revenue requirement after Port Westward comes on-line is the same as those proposed in UE 180. PGE has incorporated in its filing in this docket the testimony filed in UE 180. Consolidating the dockets will prevent duplicative efforts in these very closely related dockets. Consolidating the dockets will also not delay the proceedings in UE 180. The parties in UE 180 have agreed to a schedule, and that schedule has been adopted by the ALJ, to deal with all the issues in that docket, including the Port Westward costs and ratemaking treatment.

For the reasons set forth above, PGE respectfully requests that this docket be consolidated with Docket UE 180, and that this docket be handled on the schedule adopted in Docket UE 180. Further, PGE requests that intervenors in UE 180 be deemed intervenors in this docket.

DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of April 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ DOUGLAS C. TINGEY

Douglas C. Tingey, OSB No. 04436  
Portland General Electric Company  
121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC1300  
Telephone: (503) 464-8926  
Fax: (503) 464-2200  
E-Mail: doug.tingey@pgn.com