1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC	UTILITY COMMISSION						
2	OF O	REGON						
3	UE 180/UE 181/UE 184							
4	In the Matters of							
5	Portland General Electric Company	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF						
6	Request for a General Rate Revision (UE 180)							
7 8	Annual Adjustments to Schedule 125 (2007 RVM Filing) (UE 181)	REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION						
9	Request for a General Rate Revision relating to the Port Westward Plant (UE 184).							
10	Pursuant to OAR 860-013-0031, staff of	f the Public Utility Commission of Oregon						
11	("staff") asks the Administrative Law Judge ("A	ALJ") to reconsider her December 8, 2006 Ruling						
12	excluding an attachment to the Staff Opening Brief from the record. The attachment at issue is							
13	an excel spread sheet illustrating the adjustments that staff made to Portland General Electric							
14	Company's ("PGE") cost of debt estimate after PGE revised its estimate of the amount of debt it							
15	would issue in 2007. PGE revised this estimate, and its overall cost of debt estimate, in its							
16	sursurrebuttal testimony, only five calendar days before the hearing in this matter. <sup>1</sup>							
17	In the alternative, staff requests that the	ALJ certify the question of the propriety of the						
18	exclusion to the Commission under OAR 860-0	012-0035(1)(i), on the ground that exclusion of the						
19	attachment may result in substantial detriment t	o the public interest and undue prejudice to staff.						
20	Staff relies on the following in support of these	requests.						
21								
22								
23	<sup>1</sup> The AT Lexcluded two attachments from the S	Staff Opening Brief The other attachment						
24	showed Treasury Rates on November 14, 2006, and was attached to staff's brief in connection with staff's request to the ALJ to take official notice of Treasury Rates on November 14, 2006.							
25								
26	decision excluding it.							
Page	1 - MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ATTACHMENT FROM RECORD AND 1	F DECEMBER 8, 2006 RULING EXCLUDING REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION						

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 378-6322 / Fax: (503) 378-5300

SSA/ssa/GENS2308

## Procedural history.

1

2	On August 4	2006, PGE filed	supplemental d	lirect testimony i	undating its	cost of debt
<u>~</u>	On Mugust T,	2000, I OL IIIcu	supplemental d	in cet testimony	upuanng no i	cost of acot

- 3 estimate. PGE estimated a 6.83 percent cost of debt, based in part on its stated intention to issue
- 4 \$100 million of debt around April 2007. Staff made several adjustments to PGE's proposed cost
- 5 of debt in its own direct testimony. PGE disagreed with staff's adjustments in its rebuttal
- 6 testimony. PGE's cost of debt estimate remained at 6.83 percent.
- 7 In sursurrebuttal testimony, PGE witnesses modified PGE's cost of debt estimate,
- 8 testifying that PGE intended to issue \$300 million of debt in 2007, rather than the \$100 million
- 9 anticipated during its direct, supplemental direct, and rebuttal testimony. <sup>2</sup> The PGE witnesses
- 10 testified that these planned issuances resulted in a different cost of debt estimate, 6.73 percent,
- 11 rather than 6.83 percent, and a different proposed capital structure. The new capital structure
- included 53 percent equity, rather than the approximately 56 percent equity proposed in previous
- 13 testimony.<sup>3</sup>
- PGE's new cost of debt estimate does not include the adjustments recommended by staff
- in its direct testimony. Accordingly, PGE's cost of debt estimate includes \$300 million of
- improperly priced 2007 debt, rather than \$100 million.
- In its opening post-hearing brief, staff recalculated its cost of debt estimate applying the
- adjustments it described in its direct testimony for the previously planned \$100 million debt
- 19 issuance to the newly proposed \$300 million of 2007 debt. Staff modified its cost of debt
- 20 estimate from 6.3 percent to 6.21 percent. Staff attached a spread sheet to its opening brief
- 21 illustrating the adjustments.<sup>4</sup> As staff made clear in its reply brief, it does not believe this
- 22 recalculation is "evidence."<sup>5</sup>
- <sup>23</sup> PGE/2700, Hager-Valach/4.
- <sup>3</sup> PGE/2700, Hager-Valach/4-5.
- 25 Staff Opening Brief, Att B.
- <sup>5</sup> Staff Reply Brief at 19 (staff stating that it does not believe that its re-calculation of its cost of debt estimate constitutes new evidence).
- Page 2 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECEMBER 8, 2006 RULING EXCLUDING ATTACHMENT FROM RECORD AND REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION SSA/ssa/GENS2308

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 378-6322 / Fax: (503) 378-5300

1	After the parties submitted their post-hearing briefs, the ALJ issued a Ruling excluding
2	"from the record" the spread sheet illustrating staff's adjustments to the recently disclosed debt
3	issuances. The ALJ did not explain why she excluded the spread sheet from the record. No
4	motion to exclude the spread sheet was pending.
5	Argument
6	To the extent the ALJ may have excluded the spread sheet on the basis that it is untimely
7	evidence, the factual underpinning of the ruling, that the spread sheet is new evidence, is
8	incorrect for the reasons discussed above. <sup>6</sup> The spread sheet illustrates adjustments that the
9	Commission could do, and in fact, should do, in response to PGE's recent revelations regarding
10	debt it plans to issue in 2007. The spread sheet does not provide the Commission with a new
11	theory for disallowance, or new information to which PGE is entitled to respond. Instead, the
12	spread sheet illustrates the adjustments staff described in direct testimony, but that now apply to
13	the \$300 million of debt that PGE now plans to issue in 2007.
14	To the extent the ALJ's ruling is based on PGE's argument that the spread sheet "is a
15	multitude of unexplained numbers," the ruling would also be unfounded. Row 8 of the spread
16	sheet is the pertinent row for purposes of this motion. This row shows staff's adjustments to
17	PGE's planned debt issuances in 2007. Staff applied the same adjustments to both issuances that
18	it had applied to the previously-planned \$100 million issuance, and in fact, combined the
19	issuances into one for purposes of analysis. The pertinent adjustments for this motion are staff's
20	1) recalculation of the internal rate of return ("IRR") for each issuance included in PGE's cost of
21	debt, 2) replacing the "average" gross proceeds that PGE used in its estimate with the actual
22	amount of planned issuances, and 3) re-pricing pro forma debt assuming a maturity of ten years
23	
24	<sup>6</sup> Staff suggested to the ALJ that she admit the re-calculation into the record in the event she
25	disagreed with staff's conclusion the recalculation is not new evidence. Staff did not mean to suggest that it believed such admission is necessary. This proposal was merely an alternative to
2.	staff's primary position that the recalculation of its cost of debt estimate is not new evidence

Page 3 - MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECEMBER 8, 2006 RULING EXCLUDING ATTACHMENT FROM RECORD AND REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION SSA/ssa/GENS2308

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 378-6322 / Fax: (503) 378-5300

2 to this pleading. It includes comments that help identify where on the spread sheet staff's 3 adjustments can be seen. 4 Staff is prejudiced by the exclusion of the spread sheet, and the exclusion is detrimental 5 to the public interest. Essentially, if the Commission ignores staff's re-calculation, PGE could be allowed to modify its case in sursurrebuttal testimony without worry that its modifications will 6 7 be subject to scrutiny or adjustment by any other party. This is unfair to other parties. It also 8 could be detrimental to the public interest because presumably, PGE could obtain a higher cost 9 of debt than it is entitled to, simply because it modified its cost of debt estimate after the time for 10 other parties to submit testimony had passed. 11 Here, staff recommends that the Commission accept PGE's testimony regarding its new intention to issue \$300 million in debt in 2007, rather than \$100 million. However, staff asks 12 13 that the Commission incorporate this additional debt issuance into PGE's cost of debt using the 14 same methodology that staff proposes for the 2007 debt that PGE included in the cost of debt 15 estimate provided to the Commission in its direct, supplemental direct, and rebuttal testimony. 16 Staff acknowledges that the ALJ does not necessarily intend to include PGE's estimate of 17 the 2007 debt in PGE's cost of debt without adjustment. Specifically, she noted in her December 18 8 Ruling that the Commission may direct PGE to adjust its cost of debt to reflect staff's 19 adjustments at the time PGE makes its compliance filing in this case. However, it may be difficult for PGE to make these adjustments without referring to staff's spread sheet. While the 20 21 theory and methodology staff used to recalculate its cost of debt estimate, using \$300 million, 22 rather than \$100 million, of 2007 debt are the same, the adjustments themselves are not identical. 23 This is for the simple reason that the adjustments address completely different issuances. 24 25 <sup>7</sup> Staff Opening Brief, Att B. 26

and a corresponding and updated Treasury rate. Another version of the spread sheet is attached

1

Page 4 -

SSA/ssa/GENS2308

ATTACHMENT FROM RECORD AND REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION Department of Justice

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECEMBER 8, 2006 RULING EXCLUDING

1	Similarly, in the event the Commission concludes, as it should, that staff's recalculation						
2	is not new evidence; the Commission may have difficulty re-creating the adjustments. The						
3	Commission could do so by referring to staff's testimony. However, the effort would likely take						
4	many hours.						
5	In sum, the ALJ erred in excluding staff's spread sheet. The spread sheet is not new						
6	evidence. It illustrates staff's adjustments to PGE's cost of debt, which PGE modified after the						
7	time for submitting testimony had passed. The theory underlying staff's adjustments, and the						
8	methodology, is in staff's direct testimony. The Commission, or PGE, could re-create these						
9	adjustments, but such a process would be time-consuming and difficult without the blue print for						
10	staff's analysis provided in the spread sheet.						
11							
12	DATED this 14th day of December 2006.						
13	Respectfully submitted,						
14	HARDY MYERS						
15	Attorney General						
16	/s/Stephanie S. Andrus						
17	Stephanie S. Andrus, #92512 Assistant Attorney General						
18	Of Attorneys for Public Utility Commission of Oregon						
19	Commission of Oregon						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							

Page 5 - MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECEMBER 8, 2006 RULING EXCLUDING ATTACHMENT FROM RECORD AND REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION SSA/ssa/GENS2308

		17	2,	7 2	\$ 13	-1	10	ဖ	<b>co</b>	S	7 0	ກປ	F #	· cu	N			٤		
		G21196 G21123	G21195	G21193	G21184	G21185	G21186	G40027			2	91501	Citato	G11516	G11515	G11514		(B)	Ledger	
		P C	B CB	e Ce Ce	g g	PCB	PCB	Notes	FMB		FMB	Series MTN	T WIG	ME	FMB	FMB		Ô	Type	
Total Debt	Loss on Reacquired Debt	Troj Ser 19908-Fixed Coyote 96 Float	Trojan 90A Fixed	Trojan 858 Fixed	Colstrip 988 Fixed	Clstrp 98A Fixed	Brdmn 98A Fixed	7.875% Series	Pro forma series	6.26% Series		N 931% Series	6 9750/ Spring	5.625% Senes	5.279% Series	5.6675% Series		(0)	cription	
		15-Dec-90 01-Dec-96	86-Inf-10	01-Jul-98	28-May-98	28-May-98	28-May-98	13-Mar-00	15-Jun-07	01-Apr-06	01-Apr-06	12-Aug-91	04.41.0.03	04-Aug-03	08-Apr-03	28-Oct-02		(E)	Date	Issue
		15-Dec-14 01-Dec-31	01-Aug-14	01-Jun-10	30-Apr-33	30-Apr-33	01-May-33	15-Mar-10	15-Jun-17	01-Apr-31	01-Apr-36	11-Aug-21	01-01-01-22	9	0 521,542/50,000,000)/3	2 521,342/50,000,000 +	for \$300m issuance = (408,842/50,000,000 +	(E)	10	Maturity
		24 35 3.		25				ĺ				33 S					ance = 000,000 +	<u> </u>		
Ş		7.125% 3.500%		4.800%				J	7			9.310%		2750%		5.080% \$		´ =	Pro	Gross
\$1,244,050,000	Г	\$5,100,00 Sprea \$5,800,00 provid	\$9,600,00 Treas	\$16,700,00 Treasury + Spread	\$21,000,000	\$97,880,000	\$23,600,000	149,250,000	\$300,000,000	\$100,000,000	\$175,000,000 /	\$20,000,000	850 000 000	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$100,660,000	Valach/4, lines 8-10	on PGE/2700, Haper-	Proceeds Iss	
\$10,448,808		d = 100bps (highe fed by JP Morgan	ury = 4.565 (Offic	ury + Spread	\$76,420	\$355,835	\$85,850	\$1,472,800	\$2,903,050	\$750,000	\$1,125,000	\$176.577	(655) 945	\$400,042	\$406,842	\$817,683	0	er (K)	″لـ	DD&E C
\$11,042,568		\$5,100,00) Spread = 100bps (highest estimate of the all-in cost \$5,800,00) provided by JP Morgan in PGE's response to Staff DR 622.	\$9,600,00 Treasury = 4.565 (Official notice of Nov. 14, 2006 rate)		\$438,143	\$1,617,373	\$1,267,030	\$1,266,000	\$0	88	\$0		c Hager-Valach/1	/ \$ 00000 011 0	/ issuance fe	/ Calculated		•	of Refunded Issue	Call Premium & Unamort. DD&E
\$11,042.588 \$1,222.558,604 \$1,244,050,000 \$1,222,558,604	\$0	n cost 766 laff DR 622. 550	_	800	\$20,485,437	\$95,826,792	\$22,247,120	\$146,511,200	\$297,096,950	\$99,250,000	\$173,875,000		ch/1	s K14-K16 based on PGE 2701.	issuance fees (as a percentage)	Calculated using the average	[1-4-34]		eeds	Net
\$1,244,050,000		\$5,100,000 \$5,800,000	\$9,600,000	\$16,700,000	\$21,000,000	\$97,800,000	\$23,600,000	\$149,250,000	\$300,000,000	\$100,000,000	\$175,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$50,000,000			100,000,000		ŝ	Outstanding	Face Amount
\$1,222,558,604		\$4,936,766 \$5,640,650	\$9,311,249	\$16,335,008	\$20,485,437	\$95,826,792	\$22,247,120	\$146,511,200	\$297,096,950	\$99,250,000	\$173,875,000	\$19.823,423	\$47 531 840	\$47,544,349	\$49,591,158	\$99,182,317	Š	2 (T	standing	Net
100.00%		0.410%	0.772%	1.342%	1.688%	7.861%	1.897%	11.997%	24.115%	8.038%	14.067%	1.608%	4.019%	4.019%	4,019%	8.038%	Concession	32	Weight	Face Amount
6.1984%		0.030%	0.043%	0.068%			0.105%		1.583%	0.489%	0.855%	discussed in the motion	on recalculations	Recalculated IRR based	0.259%	0.596%	3		,,,	PGE s
		7.4123% 3.6395%	5.5358%	4.9534%	5.6106%	5.3278%	5.5742%	8.1468%	5.6932%	6.3201%	6,3583%	he motion	Š	IRR based	5.1858%	5,1858%		Ø	Cost	Staff Embedded

1	CERTIFICAT	TE OF SERVICE						
2								
3	I certify that on December 14, 2006, I served the foregoing Motion upon all parties of							
4	record in this proceeding by electronic mail and by mailing a copy by postage prepaid first class							
5	mail or by hand delivery/shuttle mail to the par	ties accepting paper service.						
6	JIM DEASON - CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY AT LAW	CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT ET AL TAMARA FAUCETTE						
7	1 SW COLUMBIA ST - STE 1600 PORTLAND OR 97258-2014 jimdeason@comcast.net	1001 SW 5TH AVE STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204 tfaucette@chbh.com						
8								
9	ROBERT VALDEZ PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148	CHAD M STOKES 1001 SW 5TH - STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204						
10	bob.valdez@state.or.us	cstokes@chbh.com						
11	AF LEGAL & CONSULTING SERVICES ANN L FISHER - CONFIDENTIAL	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON LOWREY R BROWN - CONFIDENTIAL						
12	ATTORNEY AT LAW PO BOX 25302 PORTLAND OR 97298-0302	UTILITY ANALYST 610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205						
13	energlaw@aol.com	lowrey@oregoncub.org						
14	BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY KURT J BOEHM - CONFIDENTIAL	JASON EISDORFER - CONFIDENTIAL ENERGY PROGRAM DIRECTOR						
15	ATTORNEY 36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510	610 SW BROADWAY STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205						
1.6	CINCINNATI OH 45202	jason@oregoncub.org						
16	kboehm@bkllawfirm.com	COMMUNITY ACTION DIRECTORS OF OR						
17	MICHAEL L KURTZ - CONFIDENTIAL 36 E 7TH ST STE 1510	JIM ABRAHAMSON - CONFIDENTIAL COORDINATOR PO BOX 7964						
18	CINCINNATI OH 45202-4454 mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com	SALEM OR 97301						
19	BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION	jim@cado-oregon.org						
1.7	GEOFFREY M KRONICK LC7 - CONFIDENTIAL	CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY INC						
20	PO BOX 3621 PORTLAND OR 97208-3621	WILLIAM H CHEN REGULATORY CONTACT						
21	gmkronick@bpa.gov	2175 N CALIFORNIA BLVD STE 300 WALNUT CREEK CA 94596						
	CRAIG SMITH	bill.chen@constellation.com						
22	PO BOX 3621L7 PORTLAND OR 97208-3621	DANIEL W MEEK ATTORNEY AT LAW						
23	cmsmith@bpa.gov	DANIEL W MEEK - CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY AT LAW						
24	BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES INC	10949 SW 4TH AVE						
24	JAMES T SELECKY <b>- CONFIDENTIAL</b> 1215 FERN RIDGE PKWY - STE 208	PORTLAND OR 97219 dan@meek.net						

Page 1 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - UE 180

ST. LOUIS MO 63141 jtselecky@consultbai.com

25

26

2	333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com
3	EPCOR MERCHANT & CAPITAL (US) INC
4	LORNE WHITTLES MGR - PNW MARKETING 1161 W RIVER ST STE 250
5	BOISE ID 83702  whittles@epcor.ca
6	GRESHAM CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
7	DAVID R RIS SR. ASST. CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF GRESHAM
8	1333 NW EASTMAN PARKWAY GRESHAM OR 97030
9	david.ris@ci.gresham.or.us
0	GRESHAM CITY OF JOHN HARRIS -CONFIDENTIAL TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS
1	SUPERINTENDENT 1333 NW EASTMAN PKWY
12	GRESHAM OR 97030 john.harris@ci.gresham.or.us
3	KAFOURY & MCDOUGAL LINDA K WILLIAMS - CONFIDENTIAL
4	ATTORNEY AT LAW 10266 SW LANCASTER RD
15	PORTLAND OR 97219-6305 linda@lindawilliams.net
16	LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES ANDREA FOGUE - CONFIDENTIAL
17	SENIOR STAFF ASSOCIATE PO BOX 928
18	1201 COURT ST NE STE 200 SALEM OR 97308
9	afogue@orcities.org
20	MCDOWELL & ASSOCIATES PC KATHERINE A MCDOWELL ATTORNEY
21	520 SW SIXTH AVE - SUITE 830 PORTLAND OR 97204
22	katherine@mcd-law.com
23	W NORTHWEST ECONOMIC RESEARCH INC LON L PETERS - CONFIDENTIAL
24	607 SE MANCHESTER PLACE PORTLAND OR 97202
25	lpeters@pacifier.com

**DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC** 

S BRADLEY VAN CLEVE - CONFIDENTIAL

1

# NORTHWEST NATURAL ELISA M LARSON - CONFIDENTIAL ASSOCIATE COUNSEL 220 NW 2ND AVE

PORTLAND OR 97209 elisa.larson@nwnatural.com

**NORTHWEST NATURAL** 

ALEX MILLER - CONFIDENTIAL DIRECTOR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS 220 NW SECOND AVE PORTLAND OR 97209-3991 alex.miller@nwnatural.com

### **PACIFICORP**

LAURA BEANE MANAGER - REGULATORY 825 MULTNOMAH STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 laura.beane@pacificorp.com

W

PORTLAND CITY OF - OFFICE OF CITY ATT BENJAMIN WALTERS - CONFIDENTIAL DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY 1221 SW 4TH AVE - RM 430 PORTLAND OR 97204 bwalters@ci.portland.or.us

# W PORTLAND CITY OF - OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION

RICHARD GRAY STRATEGIC PROJECTS MGR/SMIF ADMINISTRATOR 1120 SW 5TH AVE RM 800 PORTLAND OR 97204 richard.gray@pdxtrans.org

#### W

### PORTLAND CITY OF ENERGY OFFICE

DAVID TOOZE SENIOR ENERGY SPECIALIST 721 NW 9TH AVE -- SUITE 350 PORTLAND OR 97209-3447 dtooze@ci.portland.or.us

### **PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC**

PGE - OPUC FILINGS RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

DOUGLAS C TINGEY - CONFIDENTIAL 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

26

1	PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP HARVARD P SPIGAL 222 SW COLUMBIA ST STE 1400	SEMPRA GLOBAL LINDA WRAZEN 101 ASH ST HQ8C
2.	PORTLAND OR 97201-6632 hspigal@prestongates.com	SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017 lwrazen@sempraglobal.com
3	SEMPRA GLOBAL THEODORE E ROBERTS	SMIGEL ANDERSON & SACKS SCOTT H DEBROFF
4	101 ASH ST HQ 13D SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017 troberts@sempra.com	RIVER CHASE OFFICE CENTER 4431 NORTH FRONT ST HARRISBURG PA 17110
5	ti obei ts@sempi a.com	sdebroff@sasllp.com
6		
7		(11)
8		Meoma Lane
9		Legal Secretary Department of Justice
10		Regulated Utility & Business Section
11		- · · · · ·
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 3 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE – UE 180