## BEFORE THE OREGON PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

**UE 178** 

In the Matters of OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF directing:

Portland General Electric Company (PGE)

To file tariffs establishing automatic adjustment clauses under the terms of SB 408.

**ISSUE LIST OF** 

KEN LEWIS and UTILITY REFORM PROJECT

- 1. If the utility's earnings for the tax year at issue were above its authorized rate of return on investment, should the utility be allowed to surcharge ratepayers for a deficit in income taxes charged v. income taxes actually paid by the utility?
- 2. The surcharge itself will increase the utility's rate of return on investment. What is the limit on resulting rate of return above which the utility should not be allowed to impose the surcharge?
- 3. If such a limit is applied, should the foregone surcharge then be applied in a later period, when rate of return is less?
- 4. The surcharge itself will increase the utility's rate of return on investment. To which period should the surcharge be applied?
  - a. The period (year) during which the utility charged less for income taxes than it actually paid?
  - b. The period during which the utility would surcharge ratepayers?
- 5. What is the appropriate earnings review period?
  - a. The period (year) during which the utility charged less for income taxes than it actually paid?

- The period during which the utility would surcharge ratepayers? b.
- 6. What would be the appropriate earnings review period different, if the utility had charged ratepayers more for income taxes than it actually paid?
- 7. What is the threshold rate of return on investment above which surcharging ratepayers for a deficit in income taxes charged v. income taxes actually paid would be unfair and unreasonable?
  - Would it be an absolute rate of return threshold? a.
  - b. Would it be an absolute change to the utility's authorized rate of return?
- 8. What is the threshold rate of return on investment below which amortizing excess income tax charges back to ratepayers would be unfair and unreasonable?
  - Would it be an absolute rate of return threshold? a.
  - b. Would it be an absolute change to the utility's authorized rate of return?
- 9. If a utility has been allowed to surcharge ratepayers for a deficit in income taxes charged in the past, should it be allowed, under any circumstances, to avoid amortizing excess income tax charges back to ratepayers?

Dated: December 23, 2008 Respectfully Submitted,

> DANIEL W. MEEK OSB No. 79124

> > 10949 S.W. 4th Avenue Portland, OR 97219 503-293-9021 voice

503-293-9099 fax

dan@meek.net

29

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify I FILED the foregoing ISSUE LIST OF KEN LEWIS and **UTILITY REFORM PROJECT** by e-mail upon the OPUC, followed by mail of the original and 8 copies this date to the Oregon Public Utility Commission, and further I certify that I served a copy by placing a true copy in a sealed envelope and deposited in the U.S. Postal Service at Portland, Oregon, with first class postage prepaid, to:

DOUGLAS C TINGEY PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204	MELINDA J DAVISON DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204
PGE Rates & Regulatory Affairs 121 SW Salmon 1WTC0702 Portland, OR 97204	
Linda K. Williams Attorney 10266 S.W. Lancaster Road Portland, OR 97219	JASON W JONES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096

I further emailed said document to the entire email service list as shown this day on the OPUC web site:

pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com gordon@oregoncub.org bob@oregoncub.org jason.w.jones@state.or.us catriona@oregoncub.org dan@meek.net linda@lindawilliams.net mail@dvclaw.com dockets@oregoncub.org doug.tingey@pgn.com

Dated: December 23, 2008

Daniel W. Meek