## Unresolved Issues List for Division 28 Submitted by the Oregon Joint Use Association August 21

## 860-028-0020 – Definitions for Pole and Conduit Attachments

- 1. Carrying charges adjusted for inflation when using old data (860-028-0020(3))
- 2. Clarification is needed as to what rate of return is being described. Is this the company's Return on Equity, Return on Debt; or Weighted Average Cost of Capital?
- *3*. Cost of money (860-028-0020(3)(e)(C))
- 4. Exclusion of government entities from definition of "Licensee" (860-028-0020(3)(e)(C)(10))
- 5. Incremental costs of make ready (and what's included) (860-028-0020(11))
- 6. Definition of "Pattern" (860-028-0020(3)(e)(C)(17))
- 7. Pole cost (Should pole costs be limited to distribution poles?)
- 8. Preconstruction and preconstruction costs (wordsmithing) (860-028-0020(22))
- 9. Special inspections (as opposed to routine inspections—define which are routine, for purpose of allocating costs) (860-028-0020(25))
- 10. Threshold number of poles—does the threshold apply per day? Per month? What IS the time frame? (860-028-0020 (30))
- 11. If "agreement" is left in, it should be defined. What constitutes "unauthorized"? (860-028-0020(32))
- 12. Lack of agreement regarding "safety clearance space" (second time it's used) (860-028-0020(32))

## 860-028-0050 - General

1. What is included in the term "utility pole"? (860-028-0050(1)(a)); (860-028-0020(3)); (860-028-0020(20))

# 860-028-0060 – Attachment Contracts

1. Wordsmith subsection 4—it is silent on what happens if parties are not negotiating.

# 860-028-0070 – Resolution of Disputes for Proposed New or Amended Contractual Provisions

- 1. Subsection B—wordsmith for clarity (complainant, not licensee) and timeframes (we definitely like 60 days, not 30).
- 2. Subsection 6—OJUA participation during dispute resolution prior to issuing a resolution.
- 3. Subsection 6—180 days may not be the right timeframe for the Commission—we would be willing to reconsider other timeframes for the Commission.

## 860-028-0080 – Costs of Hearing in Attachment Contract Disputes

1. Clarify how each entity is paying its way in the dispute process.

## 860-028-0100 – Application Process for New or Modified Attachments

- 1. Subsection 1—we believe that it should include government entities, not just consumer-owned utilities.
- 2. Subsection 2—should be "14" calendar days ('calendar days' is consistent throughout).
- 3. Develop expedited procedures for held orders
- 4. Further discuss proposed language for 4-c

# 860-028-0110 – Rental Rates and Charges for Attachments to Poles Owned by Public Utilities, Telecommunications Utilities, and Consumer-Owned Utilities

- 1. Subsection 2 and 3—Elimination of "usable space" could be an issue. A brace or p-hook (for example) attached to a pole does not decrease the usability of the space. 'Usable space' needs to be defined.
- 2. Subsection 5c needs more work to reach consensus.
- 3. Subsection 5b most existing permits don't specify amount of authorized space.
- 4. Subsection 6—refers to all work allowed under 0100 rather than 'make ready' work only—we don't like that.
- 5. Subsection 7—language should be tightened up to reflect: onus on licensee to request info, response required from owner, timeframe for making response, and suspension of billing until response is received.

## 860-028-0115 – Duties of Pole Owners

- 1. Subsection 3a—owner should be required to respond if licensee notes a problem on the pole and requests a response. "Upon notification by a licensee, the structure owner will respond pursuant to ...." still needs to be worked out.
- 2. Possible addition of cost recovery mechanism for licensee costs incurred when disproving sanctioned pole violations.
- 3. Communication protocol should be "mutually agreeable" between the parties.

## 860-028-0120 - Duties of Pole Occupants

- 1. Consensus on OJUA's language for this section.
- 2. Add language similar to that proposed for 0110 Subsection 7 (see above).
- 3. Address remedies for governmental entities failing to permit, being non-compliant, etc.

#### 860-028-0130

1. Address the amount of the fine. (Higher of the two? Should it be adjusted for inflation?)

#### 860-028-0140

- 1. Address the amount of the fine.
- 2. Are reduced rates and sanctions having the desired outcome?
- 3. Sanctions should be used to make ratepayer whole (recover revenue).

- 4. Should the punitive portion be allocated to the OJUA to further promote cooperation between pole owners and users?
- 5. Address potential for abuses of sanctions.
- 6. OJUA is reworking the issues of subsidizing licensees through rent reduction and sanctions as a whole.

#### 860-028-0150

1. Address the amount of the fine.

#### 860-028-0160

1. Define sanctions "per pole" as per pole.

## 860-028-0180

- 1. Address the amounts of the sanction escalations.
- 2. Should 860-028-140 to 860-028-180 apply to government entities?

#### 860-028-0190

1. Address what specifics should be included in notices of violations (i.e. specific pole location, maps, etc.).

#### 860-028-0200

- 1. Include legislation in (1)(a)
- 2. Modify
- 3. Modify subsection 2 to clarify the parties involved in disputes may not be part of the decision making process at either the Conflict Resolution Committee level or OJUA Board of Directors.