

WECC

State of Resource Adequacy in the West

January 10, 2023

Victoria Ravenscroft Sr. Policy and External Affairs Manager

Responsibility for Reliability



§215 Federal Power Act— FERC certifies an Electric Reliability Organization (ERO)



The ERO may delegate authority to Regional Entities





The Regional Entity carries out delegated responsibilities

WECC's Reliability Risk Priorities





Western Assessment of Resource Adequacy

- High-level assessment that identifies and characterizes resource adequacy risks
- Assessment footprint
 - Western Interconnection
 - Five subregions
- 10-year, hourly analysis (2023-2032)
- Probabilistic approach
- Energy-based approach
 - Account for variability
 - Complement capacity-based approaches
- Data comes from WECC Balancing Authorities
 - Includes expected demand and resource information



Resource Adequacy Overview

- While there has been some improvement in near-term (2–3 years) resource adequacy risk, it has not been eliminated
 - Actions like retirement delays and expedited building of resources
 - Reduced load forecasts in Pacific Northwest and northern Rocky Mountains
- Likelihood and magnitude of resource adequacy risk has increased compared to previous analyses
- Long-term, the risk increases over the next 10 years
- Variability drives resource adequacy risk, and variability increases over the next decade
 - The Northwest is an exception





Demand-at-Risk Hours

Demand-at-risk hours are hours in which there is a chance for load loss, NOT hours where load loss is expected.



Any hour in which the overlap is too big is counted as a demand-at-risk hour



Demand-at-Risk Hour Magnitude and Frequency

- Risk is growing in frequency and magnitude compared to the 2021 assessment
 - Demand-at-risk hours span more of the year
 - More megawatts at risk

Comparison of Western Interconnection Demand-at-Risk Hours Magnitude and Timing for 2023 (15% PRM)



Risk Magnitude and Frequency Takeaway

Comparison of NWPP-NW Demand-at-Risk Hours Magnitude and Timing for 2023 (15% PRM)







The Changing System

Change is creating more variability; increased variability leads to increased risk



Planning Reserve Margin Indicator

- There is no Western Interconnection planning reserve margin
- WECC does not prescribe planning reserve margins
- WECC uses the PRMI to measure variability
 - As variability increases, planning reserve margins increase
 - Planning reserve margins can be a proxy for variability
 - Track changes in PRMI over time to see how risk changes



Planning Reserve Margin Indicator

Resource Planning Entities

Planning entities use a planning reserve margin as an input to their resource planning processes

Plan to add resources to cover load plus the planning reserve margin

Input assumptions about load and resources Apply ODITY Calculate the PRM necessary to manage the variability PRMI demand and resource **ODITY** information from BAs

WECC

Variability Results



NWPP-NW Resource Mix





NWPP-NW Resource Mix

NWPP-NW Peak Demand Variability 2023-2032 (MW)





NWPP-NW Resource Mix

Comparison of Actual and Forecast Demand during 2020 Heatwave Event









Additional Takeaway: Resource Growth

- The rate of planned resource growth is comparable to historical resource growth
- Challenges like supply chain disruption, skilled workforce shortages, and siting issues may impede or delay the build-out of new resources
- Even with all planned resources, there are demand-at-risk hours
- Delays in building planned resources could pose serious risks
- Entities and commissions will have to figure out how to plan and recover costs for managing this risk



Additional Takeaway: Transmission

- The reliance on imports increases in many cases over the next decade
 - Indicated by the increase in demand-at-risk hours
- Under certain circumstances, these imports may not be available
 - Resource availability issues
 - Transmission issues

Recommendation

The West should evaluate resource and transmission adequacy in a coordinated fashion through **comprehensive, wide-area** system planning.

Transmission During Extreme Events

- 2020 Heat Wave Findings
 - Generation availability challenges caused load shed
 - Planned transmission outages limited north-to-south energy transfers
 - Increasing transfer capability led to excessive phase angle differences that could have caused wide-area instability and separation
 - Ultimately, operators reduced north-to-south transfer capability, again limiting the ability to move power from north to south
 - This caused unscheduled flow that led to additional transmission congestion







Summary of Takeaways

- The frequency and magnitude of demand-at-risk hours has increased over 2021 assessment
- Variability increases over the next decade, except in the NWPP-NW Subregion
 - Load variability is as critical as resource variability
 - The NWPP-NW subregion does not show high resource variability
- Overall, given current plans and trends, resource adequacy risks grow over the next decade
- Obstacles to resource growth my pose bigger risks than they would have in the past
- Transmission can be a limiting factor to resource adequacy during extreme events

Immediate action is necessary to address long-term resource adequacy risks because of long lead times.

Transmission and resource adequacy need to be evaluated together on a wide-area basis.



Contact:

Victoria Ravenscroft

engage@wecc.org

vravenscroft@wecc.org