

Suite 1800 222 S.W. Columbia Portland, OR 97201-6618 503-226-1191 Fax 503-226-0079 www.aterwynne.com

November 10, 2006

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND US MAIL

Filing Center Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street NE #215 PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: ARB 665 Level 3 Communications

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed as additional authority is the recommended decision of the Administrative Law Judge in the Level 3/Qwest Arizona Arbitration, Dockets T-03654A-05-0350 and T-01051B-05-0350 and accompanying Memorandum to the parties. As noted in the Memorandum, the ALJ decision is not a commission order. Consideration of the decision before the Arizona Corporation Commission is tentatively set for November 21 and 22, 2006.

Very truly yours,

Lisa F. Rackner

**Enclosures** 

cc: ARB 665 Service List

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ARB 665

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the recommended decision of the ALJ in the Level 3/Qwest Arizona Arbitration was served via U.S. Mail on the following parties on November 10, 2006:

Thomas M. Dethlefs Qwest Corporation 1801 California Street, 10th Floor Denver CO 80202

Alex M. Duarte Qwest Corporation Suite 810 421 SW Oak Street Portland OR 97204

Wendy Martin
Wendy L. Martin

ATER WYNNE LLP

COMMISSIONERS
JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
BARRY WONG



**BRIAN C. MCNEIL** 

**Executive Director** 

#### **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

DATE:

**NOVEMBER 7, 2006** 

**DOCKET NOS:** 

T-03654A-05-0350 and T-01051B-05-0350

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Jane Rodda. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

# LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS/QWEST CORPORATION (ARBITRATION)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00 p.m.** on or before:

#### **NOVEMBER 16, 2006**

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

NOVEMBER 21, 2006 and NOVEMBER 22, 2006

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

BRIAN Ć. McXEIL

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
2	COMMISSIONERS				
3	JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES BARRY WONG				
5					
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF LEVEL	DOCKET NO. T-03654A-05-0350			
7	3 COMMUNICATIONS LLC FOR ARBITRATION OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT	DOCKET NO. T-01051B-05-0350			
8	WITH QWEST CORPORATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(b) OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.	DECISION NO			
10		ORDER			
11 12	November 21 and 22, 2006				
13	i nociix, Anzona				
14	BY THE COMMISSION:				
15	* * * *	* *			
16	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona				
17	Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:				
18	FINDINGS OF FACT				
19	·	ions, LLC ("Level 3") filed with the Arizona			
20	Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Petition for Arbitration of certain terms, conditions and				
21	prices for interconnection and related arrangements with Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") ("Petition")				
22	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act" or "1996 Act").				
23	2. The arbitration hearing convened as scheduled on September 8, 2005, September 9				
24	2005 and September 16, 2005.				
25	3. The Commission issued a final Order on	the Arbitration Petition in Decision No. 68817			
26	(June 29, 2006), which resolved all of the issues raised in	<del>-</del>			
27	4. Decision No. 68817 ordered Qwest and Level 3 to: (1) work together to implement				
28					

within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Decision, an interim replacement for VNXX1 which the Commission referred to as "FX-like traffic"; (2) that such ISP-bound and VoIP FX-like traffic shall be routed over a direct end office trunk between Level 3's network and the Qwest end office serving the local calling area of the originating Qwest end user, and that the direct end office trunk shall be established and paid for by Level 3; (3) that within sixty (60) days of the effective date of the Decision, Level 3 shall cease using VNXX; (4) that the interim use of FX-like traffic shall be allowed to continue until such time as the Commission issues a Decision resolving the issues concerning the use of VNXX; and (5) that Level 3 and Qwest shall prepare and sign an interconnection agreement incorporating the terms of the Commission's resolutions, and shall submit such signed interconnection agreement to the Commission for its review within thirty days of the date of the Decision.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Upon the request of the parties, and good cause appearing, by Procedural Order dated 5. August 2, 2006, the date for filing a conforming interconnection agreement was extended from July 31, 2006, until August 18, 2006.
- On August 18, 2006, Level 3 filed a Notice of Filing Conformed Agreement in 6. Compliance with Decision No. 68817 and Motion to Adopt Language in Agreement Regarding FXlike in Compliance with Decision No. 68817. Level 3 attached a copy of its proposed Interconnection Agreement, which it stated conformed with Decision No. 68817.
- On August 18, 2006, Owest filed a Notice of Filing and Request for Procedural 7. Conference. Qwest attached its proposed Interconnection Agreement, which it stated conformed with Decision No. 68817.
- The parties did not file a signed Interconnection Agreement that conformed to Decision 8. No. 68817 because they were unable to reach agreement on how to memorialize and implement the order to eliminate the use of VNXX and institute an "FX-like" interim solution. In addition, the parties could not agree on whether to memorialize the interim FX-like arrangement in an amendment to the Interconnection Agreement, as advanced by Qwest, or in the body of the Interconnection Agreement, as proposed by Level 3.

<sup>1</sup> VNXX or virtual NXX is an arrangement under which a carrier assigns a phone number to a customer that is not physically located in the rate center or exchange with which that phone number is associated. The effect is that calls that would otherwise be rated and routed as long distance toll calls, are rated and routed as local calls.

- 9. Qwest and Level 3 disagree on what the Commission intended when it ordered that the parties, on an interim basis, should implement an "FX-like" service.
- 10. Qwest argues that Decision No. 68817 requires Level 3 to order FX-like facilities from the local calling area of its end users, and to establish FX-like direct trunking facilities from the local calling area of its end user customers to each end office that it wishes to exchange traffic with Qwest outside of the Phoenix local calling area. Qwest asserts that if Level 3's position it adopted, Level 3 would make virtually no changes to its network and would be continuing to utilize a VNXX architecture under another name.
- 11. Level 3 argues that the Commission did not intend that in the interim period, Level 3 would have to alter its network architecture. Level 3 believes the Commission intended the basic network architecture to remain, but for Level 3 to compensate Qwest for the transport of traffic out of the local calling area of Qwest's originating caller.
- 12. By Procedural Order dated August 24, 2006, a Procedural Conference convened on September 6, 2006, with the purpose of establishing a procedure for resolving the dispute. Qwest, Level 3 and the Commission's Utility Division Staff ("Staff") participated. At that time, the parties agreed to participate in a mediation with Staff in an attempt to resolve, or at least narrow the issues for hearing.
- 13. On September 22, 2006, Qwest filed a Motion to Allow Additional Briefing. Qwest asserts that the recent Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal's decision in *Verizon California v. Peevey*, 2006 WL 2563879, which was issued on September 7, 2006, impacts Decision No. 68817 and the interconnection agreement to be submitted pursuant to Decision No. 68817. Qwest believes that the *Peevey* case supports Qwest's position that it is unlawful to require Qwest to pay Level 3 terminating intercarrier compensation on interexchange traffic (including specifically VNXX traffic).
- 14. A Procedural Conference to determine the status of the negotiations convened on September 25, 2006. At the September 25, 2006 Procedural Conference, the parties reported that they continued to engage in discussions, but that final language that would resolve the dispute could not be agreed upon that day. The parties proposed that the Commission convene another Procedural Conference in approximately one week, at which time they believed they would know if the matter

could be settled, or would need to be set for hearing. Staff concurred that the parties' proposal was reasonable and could result in a successful compromise.

- 15. By Procedural Order dated September 26, 2006, a Procedural Conference was set for October 3, 2006. Staff distributed "Staff's Proposed FX-Like Traffic Language for the Interconnection Agreement" dated October 3, 2006. A copy of Staff's proposed language is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by reference. At the October 3, 2006, Procedural Conference, the parties reported that they were able to reach agreement on language for all sections of the interconnection agreement, except for one. Although Level 3 and Staff were in agreement on all issues, and Level 3 agreed to adopt all of Staff's proposed language in Exhibit A, Qwest did not agree to the proposed language for Section 7.2.2.1.7.6 of the Interconnection Agreement. See Ex. A, issue number 6.
- 16. Concerning Section 7.2.2.1.7.6, Staff's proposed language, as agreed to by Level 3, provides:

For purposes of implementing the interim arrangement, Level 3 shall establish a virtual POI in each Qwest Local Calling Area for the exchange of FX-Like traffic where Level 3 does not currently have physical collocation facilities. Level 3 agrees to compensate Qwest via monthly payments equivalent to the MRC charges for Private Line with EICT and Mux, ICDF frames and direct trunk transport (DTT) from the virtual POI to each end office in the Local Calling Area of the virtual POI as if facilities were provisioned to reach those Local Calling Areas where Level 3 does not currently have physical collocation facilities. Level 3 also agrees to make a one time payment to Qwest to reimburse Qwest as if Qwest had constructed ICDF collocation in each Local Calling Area where Level 3 does not currently have physical collocation at this time.

- 17. Qwest argues that the Commission should schedule a hearing to determine the factual issues of what FX-like traffic means and factual issues related to implementation or provisioning of interconnection. Qwest argues that the proposed language is not specific regarding the implementation of interconnection.
- 18. Level 3 believes that Staff's proposed language comports with Decision No. 68817 and there are no factual disputes that would require a hearing.
- 19. Staff believes that the facts are not in dispute, but rather the issue is what the Commission intended in Decision No. 68817 with respect to creating an interim FX-like solution.

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Staff does not believe an evidentiary hearing is required.

We concur with Staff. The threshold issue before us is what did the Commission intend 20. in Decision No. 68817 when it ordered an interim "FX-like" solution. Specifically, in Decision No. 68817, the Commission ordered:

> that Qwest shall work with Level 3 to implement within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision an interim replacement for VNXX which we shall refer to as FX-like traffic. Such ISP-bound and VoIP FXlike traffic shall be routed over a direct end office trunk between Level 3's network and the Qwest end office serving the local calling area of the originating Qwest end user. The direct end office trunk shall be established and paid for by Level 3 under the terms of this Agreement. Decision No. 68817 at 82.

21. The language of Decision No. 68817 does not require Level 3 to alter its network architecture during the interim period, but rather that it should utilize direct trunk transport and compensate Qwest for the transport of traffic. In the body of Decision No. 68817, the Commission stated:

> Although we disapprove Level 3's use of VNXX, as it has been described in this proceeding, Level 3 should be able to serve its customers through FX or an FX-like service. In addition, there may be ways whereby Level 3 could use "VNXX-like" arrangements and compensate Qwest for transport (perhaps by using a TSLRIC rate) that would alleviate our concerns about intercarrier compensation distorting the market by improper cost shifting. Evidence of how such a scheme might work, or if it could work, was not offered in this docket, but we would not want to eliminate such compensation scheme and encourage the parties to be creative in creating a "win-win" resolution and present a revised ICS for our approval. Decision No. 68817 at p 29, lines 5-12.

22. Ultimately, although disapproving of VNXX arrangements pending its generic investigation, in adopting the "FX-like" interim solution, the Commission determined that at least temporarily, until the Commission could systematically and thoroughly study the implications of the use of VNXX arrangements, Level 3 should be allowed to continue using VNXX type arrangements, but would be required to pay for transport of traffic outside the local calling area of the originating caller. In referring to the interim arrangements as "FX-like", the Commission did not intend that such arrangement would be comparable to the FX service being provided by Qwest.

- 23. The parties should revise their Interconnection Agreement to incorporate Staff's proposed language as set forth on Exhibit A and shall submit such Interconnection Agreement for Commission review, within ten business days of the date of this Decision.
- 24. Qwest's Motion for additional briefing on the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Peevey* is denied. The issue of intercarrier compensation on ISP-bound traffic was resolved in Decision No. 68817.
- 25. The Commission can consider the effects of the *Peevey* Decision in the generic proceeding on VNXX.
- 26. The Commission has analyzed the issues presented by the parties and has resolved the issues in accordance with the Act.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Level 3 is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution.
  - 2. Level 3 is a telecommunications carrier within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 252.
- 3. Qwest is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution.
  - 4. Qwest is an ILEC within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 252.
- 5. The Commission has jurisdiction over Level 3 and Qwest and of the subject matter of the Petition.
- 6. The Commission's resolution of the issues pending herein is just and reasonable, meets the requirements of the Act and regulations prescribed by the FCC pursuant to the Act, is consistent with the best interests of the parties, and is in the public interest.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Qwest Corporation and Level 3 Communications, LLC shall incorporate in the body of their Interconnection Agreement the proposed language set forth in Exhibit A.

28 | .

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the signed Interconnection Agreement shall be submitted to					
2	the Commission for its review within ten business days of the date of this Decision.					
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.					
4	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.					
5						
6						
7	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER				
8						
9						
10	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER				
11						
12		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have				
13		hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,				
14		this day of, 2006.				
15						
16		BRIAN C. McNEIL				
17		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR				
18						
	DISSENT	<del></del>				
20						
21 22	DISSENT					
23						
24	JR:mj					
25						
26						
27						
28						
II.						

DECISION NO.

1					
2	SERVICE LIST FOR:	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION	LLC/	QWEST	
3	DOCKET NO.:	T-03654A-05-0350 T-01051B-05-0350			
4	Mishael XV Detter				
5	Michael W. Patten Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center				
6 7	400 E. Van Buren Street Suite 800				
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004				
8	Richard E. Thayer Erik Cecil				
9	Level 3 Communications, LLC 1015 Eldorado Boulevard				
10	Broomfield, CO 80021				
11	Henry T. Kelly Joseph E. Donovan				
12 13	Scott A. Kassman Kelley, Drye & Warren LLP				
14	333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606				
15	Christopher W. Savage Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW				
16	Washington, DC 20006				
17	Norman G. Curtright QWEST CORPORATION				
18	4041 N. Central Ave., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012				
19	Thomas M. Dethlefs				
20	Senior Attorney Qwest Legal Dept/CD&S				
21	1801 California St., Suite 900 Denver, Colorado 80202				
22	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel				
23	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N			
24	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	11			
25	Ernest Johnson, Director				
26	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N			
27	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
28	•				

#### EXHIBIT A

# STAFF PROPOSED FX-LIKE TRAFFIC LANGUAGE FOR THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT October 3, 2006

# 1. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.1: Qwest and CLEC have been ordered by the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) to implement an interim replacement for Virtual NXX (VNXX) which shall be referred to as FX-Like Traffic.

# 2. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.2: Qwest and CLEC have been ordered by the Commission to establish a methodology for the exchange of "FX-Like Traffic" as an interim replacement for Virtual NXX (VNXX). The interim use of FX-Like Traffic, as described in this Section, shall be allowed to continue until such time as the Commission issues a decision resolving the issues concerning the use of VNXX.

#### 3. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.3: For traffic exchanged between Level 3 and Qwest end-users, the FX-Like Traffic shall be exchanged at the Point of Interconnection (POI) located in the local calling area of such Qwest end-users. FX-Like traffic shall be routed over a direct end office trunk between CLEC's POI in the Local Calling Area and the Qwest end office serving the Local Calling Area of the Qwest end-user. The direct end office trunk shall be established and paid for by CLEC under the terms of this Agreement. CLEC shall be responsible for ordering direct-final end office trunking and transport from the Qwest end office in the Local Calling Area of the Qwest end-user to CLEC's POI located in the Local Calling Area of the Qwest end user.

# 4. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.4: Intercarrier compensation for FX-Like traffic exchanged between CLEC and Qwest during the interim period shall be \$0.0007 per MOU consistent with the rate for ISP-bound traffic established by the FCC.

# 5. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.5: FX-Like Traffic, for a Qwest end-user originated call, is not tandem-switched.

#### 6. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.6: For purposes of implementing the interim arrangement, Level 3 shall establish a virtual POI in each Qwest Local Calling Area for the exchange of FX-Like traffic where Level 3 does not currently have physical collocation facilities. Level 3 agrees to compensate Qwest via monthly payments equivalent to the MRC charges for Private Line with EICT and Mux, ICDF frames and direct trunk transport (DTT) from the virtual POI to each end office in the Local Calling Area of the virtual POI as if facilities were provisioned to reach those Local Calling Areas where Level does not currently have physical collocation facilities. Level 3 also agrees to make a one time payment to Qwest to reimburse Qwest as if Qwest had constructed ICDF collocation in each Local Calling Area where Level 3 does not currently have physical collocation at this time.

#### 7. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.7: If CLEC requires FX-Like Traffic arrangements with other LECs or wireless carriers, then CLEC is responsible for ordering FX-Like transit facilities from the POI in the applicable Local Calling Area and will have financial responsibility for direct trunking to the tandems for the exchange of transit traffic.

# 8. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.8: CLEC shall designate all Local Routing Numbers so that FX-Like Traffic associated with number portability routes directly from the Qwest end office to the CLEC.

# 9. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.9: CLEC will convert to the FX-Like service as a project and be responsible to provide network diagrams and order submission as necessary to provision all FX-Like trunking and transport.

# 10. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.10: Qwest has negotiated this arrangement under protest to comply with the Commission's Order which requires the Parties to implement an interim "FX-Like" arrangement pending the resolution of the Generic VNXX Docket. By implementing the foregoing arrangement related to "FX-like Traffic" neither Party waives its right to advocate in the Commission's Generic VNXX Proceeding or any other proceeding (including an appeal), positions inconsistent with the interim arrangements herein.

#### 11. Staff proposed language:

Section 7.2.2.1.7.11: CLEC shall cease using VNXX as of the date FX-Like Traffic facilities are in place or August 28, 2006, which ever is later. Until the date the FX-Like Traffic facilities are in place, Qwest will exchange VNXX traffic on a bill and keep basis. The interim period begins when the FX-Like Traffic architecture has been provisioned and continues until the Commission issues a Decision resolving the issues concerning the use of Virtual NXX.