

AR 651 Workshop Announcement

Wednesday, December 15th, 9:30-11:30 Microsoft Teams Meeting 2021 a.m.

Link to Meeting

Dial-in: 1-503-446-4951 Phone Conference ID: 543 017 206#

This workshop will provide an opportunity for parties to present, outline, and discuss their initial policy positions related to the entire scope of the informal rulemaking phase. Parties can explain the positions in their straw proposals filed under UM 2024, and any additional issues within the revised scope (see Staff's December 3rd filing in AR 651). This workshop will address the scope issue by issue, as outlined in the attached agenda below. The agenda contains guiding questions for preparation and discussion. Each party will have 3-5 minutes to explain their positions on the topic before moving on to the next issue.

Questions

If you have questions on the process or content of this workshop, contact:

Madison Bolton
Utility Strategy & Integration Division
503-508-0722
madison.bolton@puc.oregon.gov

/s/ Madison Bolton

To receive meeting notices and agendas for this docket, send an email to puc.hearings@state.or.us, and ask to be added to the service list for Docket No. AR 651. You will then receive emails with workshop details, when new documents have been added to the docket, or there is a change to the schedule.

Agenda

1. Introduction

2. Discussion of Policy Issues

Going issue by issue, identify stakeholder positions and areas of agreement/disagreement for the policy issues below. 3-5 minutes per party per topic.

- a. Reporting and Regulatory:
 - i. How to balance publicly available pricing between informing customers' procurement decisions and protecting Utility/ESS competitive interest?
 - ii. How should caps be applied and calculated given issues like Behind-the-Meter load growth?
- b. Non-bypassability:
 - i. What criteria should determine if a program is non-bypassable?
 - ii. How should the guidance in HB 2021 §14 be used?
 - iii. Could non-bypassable charges be allocated in the same way as a similar COS customer?
- c. Provider of Last Resort:
 - i. Is a utility the only option or could an ESS serve as a POLR?
 - ii. Could DA customers choose who serves as their POLR?
 - iii. Are current POLR rules reasonable and what rules could be added?
 - iv. Is the operationalization of preferential curtailment possible?

3. HB 2021 Implementation

Discuss ideas for the implementation of HB 2021 reporting and disclosure requirements. Staff's initial questions to guide this discussion are below.

- a. Forward looking ESS reporting
 - i. What will be part of the forward looking ESS plans required by §5(3)-(4)?
 - ii. Are baseline and target requirements under §1(1)(b)-(c) clear enough for use in ESS plans or is more clarity required?
 - iii. With what frequency will ESS's file plans and when will ESS's currently serving Oregon customers file their first plan?
 - iv. What will the plan review process include and what are the standards for review?
 - v. What special considerations need to be addressed for the content and process of plans for future ESSs entering the market?
- b. Disclosure language
 - i. How do rulemaking participants interpret the new ESS supply mix disclosure requirements under §25?
 - ii. Which customers is the ESS required to provide the information and through what channel?

4. Next Steps, Additional Comments, Questions