BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 246

In the Matter of)
) CUB'S OBJECTIONS TO
PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER) PACIFICORP'S PROPOSED LIST OF
) EXHIBITS TO BE ENTERED INTO
Request for a General Rate Revision) THE RECORD

In compliance with the "Joint Pre-hearing Conference Memorandum" issued by ALJ Grant on September 20, 2012, the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB) hereby files its written objections to PacifiCorp's Proposed List of Exhibits. For ease of reference CUB will set out the description of each document as filed by PacifiCorp followed by CUB's written objection thereto if any.

Objections (if any) to PacifiCorp's Cross-Examination Exhibits:

PAC/2300 Portland General Electric Company, Addendum to 2009 Integrated Resource Plan

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2301 Portland General Electric Company Boardman Plant BART/Reasonable Progress Analysis Revision 3: Boardman 2020 Alternative

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2302 Portland General Electric Company, Reply Comments in Docket LC 48

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Reply Comments of PGE entered in PGE's LC 48 Integrated Resource Plan. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. The document itself represents only the Reply Comments of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh its relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit

this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2303 Renewable Northwest Project and Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon, Joint Opening Comments in Docket LC 47

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2304 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Website News Post dated January 15, 2010

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2305 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Website News Post dated August 16, 2010

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2306 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Website News Post dated December 10, 2010

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2307 Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Website News Post dated February 15, 2011

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2308 Tulsa World Website News Article dated April 25, 2011

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2309 Appeal and Petition for Review of BART Permits, BART Appeal Settlement Agreement

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time. CUB notes that in its own Exhibit List, CUB set forth this document as CUB Exhibit 309. CUB finds it odd that PacifiCorp is objecting to CUB's submission of this Exhibit while itself filing a more extensive version of this same Exhibit herein.

PAC12310 Synapse Energy Economics, Inc., Report on Environmental Controls and the WECC Coal Fleet, January 23, 2011

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2311 Synapse Energy Economics, Inc., WECC Coal Plant Retirement Based on Forward-Going Economic Merit Presentation, January 10, 2011

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2312 Docket ID No. EPA-R08-0AR-20ll-0114, Comments on EPA Proposed Rule on State Implementation Plans; Utah; Regional Haze Rule Requirements for Mandatory Class I Areas

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2313 Docket ID No. EPA-R08-0AR-2012-0026, Comments on EPA Proposed Approval, Disapproval, and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Wyoming; Regional Haze State Implementation Plan; Federal Implementation Plan for Region Haze

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2314 Standard & Poor's Report, March 10, 2008

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2315 Standard & Poor's Report, October 4, 2012

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2316 Portland General Electric Company, Rebuttal Testimony of Tinker-Schue-Drennan in Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Rebuttal Testimony of PGE employee's Jay Tinker, Ted Drennan and then PGE employee Stephen Schue who is now an Oregon Public Utility Commission Employee. The testimony was entered in UE 180, 181 and 184. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself represents only the Rebuttal Testimony of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2317 Portland General Electric Company, Sursurrebuttal Testimony of Tinker-Schue-Drennan in Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Sursurrebuttal Testimony of PGE employee's Jay Tinker, Ted Drennan and then PGE employee Stephen Schue who is now an Oregon Public Utility Commission Employee. The testimony was entered in UE 180, 181 and 184. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself represents only the Sursurrebuttal Testimony of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/23 18 Portland General Electric Company, Sursurrebuttal Testimony of Lesh in Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Sursurrebuttal Testimony of PGE employee Pamela Lesh. The testimony was entered in UE 180, 181 and 184. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself represents only the Sursurrebuttal Testimony of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2319 Portland General Electric Company, Direct Testimony of Pope in Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184 (sic)

CUB notes first that this Exhibit is incorrectly labeled. This Exhibit should be labeled as being from PGE Docket UE 215.

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Direct Testimony of PGE employee Maria Pope. The testimony was entered in UE 215. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on

PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself represents only the Direct Testimony of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2320 Portland General Electric Company, Rebuttal Testimony of Pope in Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184 (sic)

CUB notes first that this Exhibit is incorrectly labeled. This Exhibit should be labeled as being from PGE Docket UE 215.

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Rebuttal Testimony of PGE employee Maria Pope. The testimony was entered in UE 215. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself represents only the Rebuttal Testimony of PGE and is not an Order issued by the PUC – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2321 Motion to Admit Stipulation, Stipulation, and Explanatory Brief in Support of Stipulation in Docket UE 232, November 1, 2012

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* and because the document itself provides:

"6. The Stipulating Parties agree that this Stipulation represents a compromise in the positions of the Stipulating Parties. Without the written consent of all parties, evidence of conduct or statements, including but not limited to term sheets or other documents created solely for use in settlement conferences in this docket, are confidential and not admissible in the instant or any subsequent proceeding, unless independently discoverable or offered for other purposes allowed under ORS 40.190."

* * *

9. "By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted or consented to the facts, principles, methods or theories employed by any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically identified in the Stipulation. Except as provided in this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed

that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding."

This Stipulation was entered in PGE Docket UE 232. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document, nor could one without the consent of the other parties. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself prohibits its use by PacifiCorp in this hearing and the dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2322 Motion to Admit Stipulation, Stipulation, and Explanatory Brief in Docket UE 256, September 19, 2012

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* and because the document itself provides:

"6. The Stipulating Parties agree that this Stipulation represents a compromise in the positions of the Stipulating Parties. Without the written consent of all parties, evidence of conduct or statements, including but not limited to term sheets or other documents created solely for use in settlement conferences in this docket, are confidential and not admissible in the instant or any subsequent proceeding, unless independently discoverable or offered for other purposes allowed under ORS 40.190."

* * *

9. "By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted or consented to the facts, principles, methods or theories employed by any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically identified in the Stipulation. Except as provided in this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding."

This Stipulation was entered in PGE Docket UE 256. No witness from PGE is being made available for cross-examination related to this document, nor could one without the consent of the other parties. CUB is assuming the Company intends to try and enter this testimony through Mr. Stephen Schue who is no longer a PGE employee and one assumes not authorized to speak on PGE's behalf in this matter. The document itself prohibits its use by PacifiCorp in this hearing and the dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2323 Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers, Direct Testimony of Randall Falkenberg in Wyoming Docket 20000-368-EA-10, September 10, 2012

CUB objects to this exhibit on the grounds of *relevance* and *hearsay* in that the document contains the Direct Testimony of Randall J. Falkenberg on behalf of the Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC) in Wyoming Public Service Commission Docket NO. 20000-368-EA-10 and Mr. Falkenberg is not being made available for cross-examination in this Oregon PUC case regarding this document and its contents. The document itself represents only the Direct Testimony of WIEC and is not an Order issued by the PSCW – it is not a "final" document in a proceeding. The dangers of unfair prejudice and confusion of the issues outweigh any relevance to this proceeding. Should the ALJ decide to admit this document, and CUB does not think that the ALJ should, the document should be given limited if any weight in these circumstances.

PAC/2324 Stipulation of the Parties, Exhibit 1 to the Stipulation, the Agreement of the Parties on TAM Guidelines in Docket UE 199, June 1, 2009

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2325 Joint Explanatory Brief in Support of the Stipulation in Docket UE 199, June 19, 2009

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2326 Stipulation in Docket UE 207, September 25, 2009

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2327 Joint Testimony in Support of Stipulation in Docket UE 207, September 25, 2009 Page 4 - PacifiCorp's Exhibit List

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2328 Stipulation in Docket UE 216, July 7, 2010

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2329 Joint Testimony in Support of Stipulation in Docket UE 216, July 26, 2010

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

PAC/2330 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, Application for Deferred Accounting in Docket UM 1569, December 30, 2011

CUB has no objection to this Exhibit at this time.

Dated this 10th day of October, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

G. Catriona McCracken, Attorney #933587 General Counsel/Regulatory Program Dir.

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400

f.C.M

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 227-1984 phone

(503) 274-2596 fax

Catriona@oregoncub.org

UE 246 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 11th day of October, 2012, I served the foregoing **CUB'S OBJECTIONS TO PACIFICORP'S PROPOSED LIST OF EXHIBITS TO BE ENTERED INTO THE RECORD** in docket UE 246 upon each party listed in the UE 246 PUC Service List by email and, where paper service is not waived, by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and upon the Commission by email and by sending one original and one copy by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the Commission's Salem offices.

(W denotes waiver of paper service)

(C denotes service of Confidential material authorized)

W PACIFIC POWER

C SARAH WALLACE 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 1800 PORTLAND OR 97232 sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com

wganong@aol.com

W BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY

C KURT J BOEHM
36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510
CINCINNATI OH 45202
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

W BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

KLAMATH FALLS OR 97601

W WILLIAM GANONG

C 514 WALNUT AVENUE

C JODY KYLER 36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202 jkyler@bkllawfirm.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE

C IRION A SANGER 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC

C MELINDA J DAVISON
333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400
PORTLAND OR 97204
mjd@dvclaw.com; mail@dvclaw.com

W ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC

C KEVIN HIGGINS
215 STATE ST - STE 200
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111-2322
khiggins@energystrat.com

W ESLER STEPHENS & BUCKLEY

C JOHN W STEPHENS 888 SW FIFTH AVE STE 700 PORTLAND OR 97204-2021 stephens@eslerstephens.com; mec@eslerstephens.com

W KLAMATH WATER AND POWER

AGENCY
HOLLIE CANNON
735 COMMERCIAL ST STE 4000
KLAMATH FALLS OR 97601
hollie.cannon@kwapa.org

W NW ENERGY COALITION

C WENDY GERLITZ 1205 SE FLAVEL PORTLAND OR 97202 wendy@nwenergy.org

W PACIFIC POWER

C R. BRYCE DALLEY 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

RANDY DAHLGREN
121 SW SALMON ST - 1WTC0702
PORTLAND OR 97204
pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

W OREGON PUC

C DEBORAH GARCIA PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148 deborah.garcia@state.or.us

W REGULATORY &

C COGENERATION SERVICES INC DONALD W SCHOENBECK 900 WASHINGTON ST STE 780 VANCOUVER WA 98660-3455 dws@r-c-s-inc.com

W RENEWABLE NORTHWEST

C PROJECT
JIMMY LINDSAY
421 SW 6TH AVE #1125
PORTLAND OR 97204-1629
jimmy@rnp.org

W SIERRA CLUB

C DEREK NELSON 85 SECOND STREET, 2ND FL SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 derek.nelson@sierraclub.org

W SYNAPSE ENERGY

C JEREMY FISHER
485 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., STE 2
CAMBRIDGE MA 02139
jfisher@synapse-energy.com

W PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER

OREGON DOCKETS
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97232
oregondockets@pacificorp.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

DOUGLAS C TINGEY 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

W DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

C MICHAEL T WEIRICH 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 michael.weirich@doj.state.or.us

W RENEWABLE NORTHWEST

C PROJECT

MEGAN WALSETH DECKER 421 SW 6TH AVE #1125 PORTLAND OR 97204-1629 megan@rnp.org

W ROBERTSON-BRYAN, INC.

STUART ROBERTSON 85 SECOND ST., 2ND FLR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 jeff.speir@sierraclub.org

W SIERRA CLUB LAW PROGRAM

C GLORIA D SMITH 85 SECOND STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 gloria.smith@sierraclub.org

W PUC STAFF - DOJ

C JOHANNA RIEMENSCHNEIDER 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4796 johanna.riemenschneider@doj.state.or.u

W PARKS LAW OFFICES, LLC

KEVIN E PARKS 310 SW 4TH AVE., STE. 806 PORTLAND OR 97204 kevin@parks-law-offices.com

Respectfully submitted,

Sommer Templet, OSB #105260

8mmun Lengust

Staff Attorney

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400

Portland, OR 97205 (503) 227-1984

sommer@oregoncub.org