

July 18, 2019

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 P. O. Box 1088 Salem, OR 97308-1088

RE: UM 1912 – PGE Compliance Filing to Update RVOS Values

As directed by Commission Order No. 19-023 in Docket No. UM 1912, PGE submits this compliance filing to update RVOS values as follows:

- 1) Corrected Energy Shape values,
- 2) Generation Capacity values shaped by month and hour (12x24),
- 3) Distribution Capacity Deferral values shaped by month and hour (12x24),
- 4) Indicators of relative locational value for deferred Distribution Capacity investment,
- 5) Line Loss values shaped by month and hour (12x24),
- 6) A non-zero RPS Compliance value,
- 7) A Market Price Response value based on methodology proposed by Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Staff), and
- 8) Updated utility-scale proxy values.

PGE has worked with Staff at the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Staff) to identify appropriate inputs and implement methodologies put forth by the Commission for valuing solar resources within PGE's distribution system. Details for each updated RVOS component are provided below.

In the initial compliance filing, submitted March 18, 2019, PGE provided updated RVOS values for Energy Shape to use the uncapped Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) methodology, an updated levelized Generation Capacity value and an updated levelized Distribution Capacity Deferral Value. For Hedge Value, Integration, Administration, and Environmental Compliance, Order 19-023 adopts the values filed in December 2017. Since the Order, PGE identified a small error that affected Hedge Value and Environmental Compliance. That is discussed next.

Impact of July 18, 2019 Updates on PGE's Initial RVOS Compliance:

RVOS Element	December 2017 \$/MWh, real levelized value	March 2019 \$/MWh, real levelized value	July 2019 \$/MWh, real levelized value		
Energy	24.98	25.33	26.78		
Generation Capacity	7.30	7.19	7.19		
T&D Capacity	8.08	7.91	7.91		
Line Loss	1.48	1.50	1.58		
Administration	(5.58)	(5.58)	(5.58)		
Market Price Response	1.81	1.81	1.81		
Integration	(0.83)	(0.83)	(0.83)		
Hedge Value	1.25	1.27	1.34		
Environmental Compliance	11.41	11.57	12.23		
RPS Compliance	0	0	3.76		
Grid Services	0	0	0		
<b>RVOS Total</b>	49.88	50.16	56.19		

### Corrected Energy Shape using Uncapped EIM Methodology:

o PGE corrected a small scaling error identified in the calculation used to shape Energy values that were submitted in the Company's March 18, 2019 compliance filing. Previously updated levelized values for Energy, Line Loss, Hedge Value and Environmental Compliance have been revised to reflect this correction.

## • Generation Capacity values shaped by month and hour (12x24):

- O Per the instruction of Order No. 19-023, PGE has shaped the levelized Generation Capacity Value, updated in the March 18, 2019 compliance filing to \$7.19/MWh, to reflect "when avoided generation capacity is most useful to the system." (Order No. 19-023, page 11).
- o PGE asserts that the Company's Loss of Load Hours (LOLH) heatmap from the 2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), to be filed later this week, on July 19, 2019, is the most current indication of future Generation Capacity needs. PGE reads the Commission's Order not to require the most recently acknowledged IRP for this value. The LOLH heatmap from the 2016 IRP Update reflects forecasted capacity needs that remained in 2021 after PGE took actions to fill the needs identified in the 2016 IRP. Because PGE has growing capacity needs into the mid-2020s, it does not capture the full range of time periods in which capacity will be valuable to the system. The 2019 IRP LOLH heatmap better reflects the timing of future needs, in part because it focuses on needs in 2025. Notwithstanding our concerns that the 2016 IRP Update does not fully reflect the nature of future capacity needs, we provide the shapes associated with both the 2016 IRP Update and the 2019 IRP. Figures 1 and 2 below show the variability in hours of need and price for both the 2019 IRP and the 2016 IRP Update.

O More generally, PGE recognizes the impact of planning horizon on the RVOS price. LOLH heatmaps developed for a 5- or 6-year planning horizon (i.e. the 2019 IRP developed in 2019 for a target year 2025) tend to identify a greater and more dispersed capacity need because the Company has not yet taken steps to fill those gaps. Alternatively, LOLH heatmaps produced for a shorter planning horizon (i.e. the 2016 IRP Update, filed March 8, 2018, for target year 2021) tend to reflect less capacity need overall and more constrained hours of need because the Company has taken steps to resolve many of the capacity gaps. This should be a consideration in the update interval, such that there is some constancy in the planning horizon embedded in the valuation.

Figure 1. Generation	Capacity values	(\$/MWh	) based on	the 2019 IRP

-6		ici acio,	1		(4	7 T.T. T. T. A.	,					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	16.8	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	19.6
8	51.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	42.0
9	65.4	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	60.8
10	48.3	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	1.1	45.9
11	37.7	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.2	0.0	0.7	37.4
12	25.6	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	3.5	0.4	0.0	0.5	32.3
13	19.7	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	9.7	1.1	0.0	0.4	24.2
14	16.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.8	20.0	2.5	0.0	0.3	18.9
15	15.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	10.3	46.4	4.9	0.0	0.3	15.2
16	16.8	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	13.0	24.9	11.1	0.0	0.7	21.6
17	48.3	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	16.3	28.9	6.3	0.0	2.6	70.6
18	53.6	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	15.0	36.6	10.0	0.0	2.9	47.8
19	81.0	21.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	19.8	46.7	14.7	0.1	5.5	73.9
20	78.9	26.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	21.8	38.8	10.7	0.0	5.5	64.1
21	57.1	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	16.0	65.3	7.9	0.0	3.7	45.5
22	34.3	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	5.8	31.4	7.4	0.0	2.1	26.9
23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Figure 2. Generation Capacity values (\$/MWh) based on the 2016 IRP Update												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4
7	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.2	2.1
8	3.2	2.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	7.8	24.0
9	17.1	9.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	24.3
10	5.9	2.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	8.2	11.5
11	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.9	6.8
12	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.3	0.2	0.0	2.5	4.1
13	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0	10.9	0.6	0.0	1.4	2.5
14	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.8	38.5	2.1	0.0	1.0	1.4
15	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	8.0	96.3	5.6	0.0	1.0	1.1
16	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	18.3	161.3	15.2	0.0	1.8	1.6
17	1.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	29.5	201.7	22.9	0.1	7.2	7.1
18	5.8	3.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	24.5	214.7	30.2	0.4	24.8	50.9
19	21.0	7.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	12.9	183.0	32.0	0.5	53.7	85.7
20	25.0	8.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	8.8	123.1	18.6	0.4	53.4	61.4

• Distribution Capacity Deferral values shaped by month and hour (12x24):

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.1

0.0

0.0

0.0

21

22

23

24

14.7

4.9

4.1

0.2

3.9

1.3

1.0

0.0

 As directed in Order 19-023, PGE has shaped the levelized distribution value of deferred T&D upgrades due to capacity, updated in the March 18, 2019 compliance filing to \$7.91/MWh.

4.0

1.1

0.0

0.0

53.0

25.3

1.1

0.0

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.0

8.7

1.3

0.0

0.0

0.1

0.0

0.0

0.0

30.0

9.2

1.3

0.1

28.7

8.5

5.1

0.3

- As a basis for price differentiation by month and hour of day, PGE used hourly net system load data from the past five years to create an "average" hourly system profile over the course of 12 months. From this profile, we developed a 12x24 heatmap of hourly system load as a proportion of average system load for the highest 10 percent of month-hours.
- System load variation is a more appropriate indicator of relative Distribution Capacity values, as compared to LOLH heatmaps because it does not reflect changes in generation cost, to which Distribution Capacity is agnostic.

Figu	re 3. Di	stribu	tion Ca	pacity	Deferr	al valu	ies (\$/IV	IWh)				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	76.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.0
10	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9
11	76.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9
12	75.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.1
13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.5	79.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.2	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.1
18	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.4	81.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.1
19	81.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.7	80.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.4
20	79.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.6	78.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.7
21	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.4
22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### • Locational Information on Relative Capacity Deferral Values:

0.0

0.0

23

24

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

- Recognizing the two concurrent distribution-related dockets currently underway, UM-2005 (Distribution System Planning) and UM-2011 (Capacity Investigation), where the locational differentiation of deferred capacity value will be examined, Order 19-023 required utilities to provide only 'rudimentary information' on relative locational values. To this end, PGE is providing a list of transformers within its distribution system and for each, an indication of low, average or high Capacity Deferral value based on transformer loading level.
- O Loading is defined as the non-coincident peak load divided by transformer capacity. PGE selects the higher of two calculated loading values for each transformer, one in winter and one in summer.
- The threshold between low and medium Capacity Deferral value is 80%, as PGE uses this threshold for consideration of capacity-based investments. The threshold between medium and high Deferral value is 90%, as capacity-based investment projects are likely identified for transformers loaded above this value.

Figure 4. Distribution Transformers by Relative Distribution Capacity Deferral value

# Higher Value

BETHANY - WR2
CENTENNIAL - WR2
FAIRVIEW - WR1
KING CITY - WR1
MT PLEASANT - WR2
PLEASANT VALLEY - WR2

### Medium Value

**BETHANY - WR1 BOONES FERRY - WR2 BORING - BR1** CANBY - BR3 FARGO - WR1 FARGO - WR1 HUBER - WR1 HUBER - WR2 INDIAN - WR2 NORTH MARION - BR1 OAK HILLS - WR2 OSWEGO - WR1 SANDY - BR1 SIX CORNERS - WR2 SYLVAN - WR1 TABOR - WR6

#### Lower Value

ABERNETHY - WR1 ALDER - WR1 ARLETA - BR2 ARLETA - BR3 BARNES - WR1 BARNES - WR2 **BEAVERTON - WR1 BEAVERTON - WR2** BELL - WR1 INDIAN - WR1 BELL - WR3 ISLAND - WR1 BETHEL - WR5 ISLAND - WR2 BLUE LAKE - WR1 **BOONES FERRY - WR1 BORING - BR2 BROOKWOOD - BR1** CANBY - BR4 LELAND - BR1 CARVER - WR2 LENTS - WR3 **CARVER - WR3** LIBERAL - BR1 CEDAR HILLS - WR2 LIBERTY - WR1 CLACKAMAS - WR1 LIBERTY - WR2 CLACKAMAS - WR2 MAIN - BR1 CLAXTAR - BR1 MAIN - BR3 COLTON - BR1 MARKET - WR1 **CORNELIUS - BR1 CORNELL - WR1 CURTIS - WR1** DAYTON - BR1 **DAYTON - BR3 DELAWARE - WR2** MIDWAY - WR1 DILLEY - BR1 MIDWAY - WR2 **DUNNS CORNER - BR1 DURHAM - WR3 DURHAM - WR4** EAGLE CREEK - BR1 MULINO - BR1 **EASTPORT - WR1** ELMA - BR2 ESTACADA - BR1 FAIRMOUNT - WR1 FAIRVIEW - WR2 GALES CREEK - BR1 GARDEN HOME - WR1 **GLENCOE - WR1** GLENDOVEER - WR2 GLENDOVEER - WR4 HARMONY - WR1 HARMONY - WR2 HARRISON - WR1 HAYDEN ISLAND - WR1 HEMLOCK - WR1

HILLSBORO - BR1 PORTSMOUTH - WR1 HILLSBORO - BR2 PROGRESS - WR1 HOGAN NORTH - WR1 PROGRESS - WR2 **HOGAN NORTH - WR2 RALEIGH HILLS - WR1** HOGAN SOUTH - WR4 RAMAPO - WR1 HOGAN SOUTH - WR5 **REDLAND - WR1** HOLGATE - BR4 **REEDVILLE - WR1 HOLGATE - BR5 REEDVILLE - WR3** RIVERVIEW - WR1 ROCKWOOD - WR2 ROSEMONT - WR1 JENNINGS LODGE - WR1 **ROSEWAY - WR1 KELLY BUTTE - WR1** SCOTTS MILLS - BR1 **KELLY BUTTE - WR2** SELLWOOD - WR2 KING CITY - WR2 SHERIDAN - BR1 SILVERTON - BR2 SIX CORNERS - WR1 ST LOUIS - BR1 ST LOUIS - BR4 ST MARYS EAST - WR8 SULLIVAN - WR8 SULLIVAN - WR9 SUNSET - WR2 MCCLAIN - BR3 SUNSET - WR5 MERIDIAN - WR1 SUNSET - WR6 MERIDIAN - WR2 SUNSET - WR7 MIDDLE GROVE - WR1 SUNSET - WR8 MIDDLE GROVE - WR2 TEKTRONIX - WR2 TIGARD - WR2 **TOWN CENTER - WR1** MILL CREEK - WR1 **TOWN CENTER - WR2** MOLALLA - BR1 TUALATIN - WR1 MOLALLA - BR2 **TUALATIN - WR2** TURNER - WR1 MULTNOMAH - WR1 **UNIONVALE - BR1** MULTNOMAH - WR2 **URBAN - WR1** MURRAYHILL - WR1 **URBAN - WR2** MURRAYHILL - WR2 **URBAN - WR3 NEWBERG - WR1** WACONDA - BR2 **NEWBERG - WR2** WEST PORTLAND - WR1 NORTH MARION - BR2 WEST UNION - WR1 NORTH MARION - BR3 WILLAMINA - BR2 NORTH PLAINS - BR1 WILSONVILLE - WR1 OAK HILLS - WR1 WILSONVILLE - WR2 ORENCO - WR3 WILSONVILLE - WR3 ORENCO - WR4 WOODBURN - BR1 ORENCO - WR5 WOODBURN - BR2 OSWEGO - WR3 YAMHILL - BR1

YAMHILL - BR2

### • Line Loss values shaped by month and hour (12x24):

o Per Order 19-023, PGE conducted a line loss analysis to estimate power losses per month and hour of day. Those values were used to shape PGE's levelized Line Loss value, updated in this compliance filing to \$1.58/MWh.

Figure	5.	Line	Loss	values	(\$/MWh)
I ISUIT	0.	Line	LOSS	, mincs	(WILLE ALLE)

a igure of Lime Loss variets (division)												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.7
2	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.5
3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.3
4	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.3
5	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2
6	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.2
7	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.3
8	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.5
9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.8
10	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.0
11	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.1
12	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0
13	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.8	2.1
14	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.1
15	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.0
16	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	2.2	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.0
17	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.0
18	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.5	2.4	1.6	1.5	1.7	2.0
19	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.7	2.5	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2
20	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.8	2.6	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.4
21	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.7	2.6	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.4
22	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.7	2.6	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.3
23	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.4	2.3	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2
24	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	2.3	2.1	1.5	1.5	1.6	2.0

### • RPS Compliance value:

- o PGE has applied Staff's recommended calculation for RPS Compliance value. This calculation applies the incremental cost of RPS compliance from PGE's 2017 RPS Compliance Report to the avoided RPS obligation in each year. This results in a levelized value of \$3.76.
- PGE maintains that this methodology does not capture the cost premium associated with RPS-eligibility for marginal resources. PGE's most recent Renewables RFP applied a cost containment screen to ensure that the levelized cost of procured resources would not exceed the levelized forecasted energy and capacity value of the resources, resulting in a levelized forecasted premium of \$0 for RPS eligibility.

# • Market Price Response value:

- o PGE was directed to adopt E3's proxy method for calculating market price response per Order No. 17-357 and Staff's Reply Brief in Docket Nos. 1910-12 (page 9). This methodology requires an estimate of net annual market purchases (sales) during solar hours (MWh), which PGE cannot provide at the time of this filing. As such, we have retained the levelized value for Market Price Response that was filed on March 18, 2019.
- o In recent conversations with Staff, PGE learned that E3 has made some revisions to their methodology, but Staff have yet to share it formally with parties involved in Docket No. 1912. Once the revised approach has been disseminated and vetted with stakeholders and the companies, PGE will adjust its Market Price Response value as appropriate.

## • Updated Utility-Scale Proxy:

o PGE provided an update to solar resource costs in the 2016 IRP Update to reflect the continued cost decline of solar photovoltaics. This update results in a utility-scale proxy price of \$76.91/MWh for a solar resource that comes online in 2021.

Workpapers associated with this update are attached.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this filing, please contact Ashleigh Keene at (503) 464-8096.

Please direct all formal correspondence and requests to the following email address: pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

Sincerely,

Karla Wenzel

Manager, Pricing & Tariffs

Kanluf wengel

cc: UM 1912 Service List