

610 SW Broadway, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97205
(503) 227-1984 • (503) 274-2956 • cub@oregoncub.org • www.oregoncub.org

July 25, 2014

Ruchi Sadhir, Senior Policy Advisor Oregon Public Utility Commission PO Box 1088 Salem OR 97308-1088

Re: UM 1690 - Comments on Initial Staff Outline on VRET Structure

Dear Ms. Sadhir:

CUB appreciates the opportunity to comment on Staff's initial outline of a potential Voluntary Renewable Energy Tariff (VRET). These comments are intended to support next steps in the development of the future Commission report on the potential appropriateness of a VRET and also to highlight what ratepayers advocates will be considering as the process moves forward.

Overview

CUB believes this docket has two distinct paths. First, the Commission is required by statute to provide an analysis of whether a Voluntary Renewable Energy Tariff is warranted, how it might work and what use it might have in the marketplace. If there is a determination that VRET may have a role, the Commission would also need to outline the safeguards that would need to be in place to ensure that nonparticipating customers are not subsidizing products offered under such a tariff and that such a tariff would not interfere with other market options.

The second path, while somewhat dependent on the first, will also influence the development of the first path. CUB is not clear how the theoretical exercise of the first path can be accomplished without some kind of parallel discussion of a specific proposal or proposals. While a VRET may not be a one-size-fits-all proposition, CUB believes it will be difficult to even outline an initial size for an initial VRET without some idea of what utilities and customers are thinking in terms of product needs and the like. CUB believes that Staff is pursuing the correct course of action regarding the first path. We also believe that the proposed chart is a useful tool. But we also hope that some specifics are offered by some party that is advocating for creation of VRETs to inform the theoretical process. Otherwise, the case for a potential VRET may be difficult to make.

The remainder of these comments will focus on particular issues within the Basic Structure section of Staff's proposed framework.

General Approach of the Basic Structure Section of the Framework

CUB appreciates the Staff's work in outlining the basic structure and believes that the sections of Resource Owner, Utility Role, and Relationships are the appropriate areas of consideration of

this part of the framework. These correctly identify the key areas and resulting connections that need to be understood in the development of any VRET.

Issues Related to Third Party (ESS, IPP) as Resource Owner

- 1.a) CUB is not clear what a utility "pass-through" of renewable energy might look like. It seems that it might resemble the transmission tariff outlined by NIPPC at the first workshop of this docket. But would that then really be a VRET? We had essentially the same question as Staff posed in the Notes/Comments section: would this really be just a transmission tariff instead? CUB needs more information about what the market players (ESS/IPP and customers) would really need from the utility to offer more insight.
- 1.b) The question that Staff poses in the Notes/Comments section of this item is an interesting one: does the utility become a marketer because it is taking ownership of renewable energy. CUB believes that it would depend on whether a customer and a third-party ESS/IPP would be bringing a proposed tariff to the utility or whether the utility was acquiring or building resources with the intention of pursuing business development activities. If indeed the proposal was "customer/ESS-driven," and those parties needed the utility to facilitate a transaction, that is one dynamic. But if the utility was seeking this as a business line to generate revenues, that is quite another and one that would require more scrutiny.
- 1.c) In addition to the wholesale/FERC jurisdictional issues raised by Staff, CUB would also question the long-term implications of this approach. How much aggregation of a "VRET load" becomes more than a de minimus factor in the operation of the system? That is, how many aggregated "VRET loads" essentially become a separate system from the utility's non-VRET customers? How are costs identified and handled? While a VRET system may start out small, some parties have made it clear that the approach could have the potential to substantially expand the market. Therefore, CUB believes this process should anticipate not just minor participation but potentially large numbers of megawatts at stake and debate the issues accordingly.
- 1.d) Similar issues can be raised here as those in 1.c.

Issues Related to Regulated Utility as Resource Owner

The Staff questions raised are the key ones for this area of consideration. In addition, CUB also wonders if there should be some kind of administrative fee for such arrangements as contemplated under this section and, if so, what levels those fees would be and how those fees would affect the pricing structure. This is the area of consideration where parties should deeply examine the current functioning of direct access and identify what is working and what is not working with that structure, thus requiring the need for this kind of utility involvement in developing a VRET.

Issues Related to a Utility Affiliate as Resource Owner

The issues raised as part of this area of consideration mirror those identified under Section 1 and the involvement of ESS/IPPs. Here, the utility affiliate would simply be taking the place of the

ESS/IPP in the discussion. Again, as in the Regulated Utility Section, the deep examination of direct access would prove beneficial so that we can understand how any difficulties with direct access could be addressed with this type of utility affiliate structure.

<u>Issues Related to the Customer as Resource Owner</u>

CUB is confused as to why a customer-owned resource would be considered as part of a separate tariff process. The Staff inquiry regarding on-site and off-site resources could be particularly important in this discussion as would the issues of net metering and the related issues also identified by Staff. But, it seems as though the potential for a VRET is coming from customers who are seeking a way to cover their load with renewable energy that they are not able to afford or secure themselves. If a customer owns a resource, why would a VRET be needed?

<u>Issues Related to a Market-Based (REC) Product</u>

CUB wonders if this area of consideration may be superfluous. Don't most, if not all, customers have this option now? Isn't the point of a VRET to provide power to a large customer and have that power tied to specific resources? Otherwise, a customer could just buy RECs today. If a VRET is nothing more than a big REC purchase, CUB proffers that the ability to do that exists today.

<u>Issues Related to a Third-Party Transmission VRET</u>

As noted above, CUB wonders if this area of consideration is close enough to the area of consideration outlined in Section 1 (ESS/IPP) that there is really no distinction. Again, the role of the utility would need to be defined by third party players and a prospective customer.

CUB appreciates the opportunity to offer comments and looks forward to continuing discussions in this docket.

Sincerely,

Jeff Bissonnette Policy Director

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 227-1984 phone

(503) 224-2596 fax

jeff@oregoncub.org

c. UM 1690 Service List OPUC Filing Center

UM 1690 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 25th day of July, 2014, I served the foregoing **CUB's** Comments on Initial Staff Outline on VRET Structure in docket UM 1690 upon each party listed in the UM 1690 PUC Service List by email and, where paper service is not waived, by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and upon the Commission by email and by sending one original and one copy by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the Commission's Salem offices.

(C denotes service of Confidential (W denotes waiver of paper service) material authorized)

W CARL FINK 628 SW CHESTNUT ST, STE 200 PORTLAND OR 97219

jimstanway@fb.com cmfink@blueplanetlaw.com

W OREGON DEPARTMENT OF **ENERGY**

KACIA BROCKMAN 625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301-3737 kacia.brockman@state.or.us

W ADOBE SYSTEMS **KEVIN DEVAN** devan@adobe.com

W ATKINS

SUZANNE LETA LIOU 12725 SW MILLIKAN WAY, STE 230 PORTLAND OR 97005 suzanne.liou@atkinsglobal.com

W CH2M HILL **DICK SHEEHY** dick.sheehy@ch2m.com

W CITY OF PORTLAND ANDRIA JACOB andria.jacob@portlandoregon.gov W OREGON DEPARTMENT OF **ENERGY**

JULIE PEACOCK 625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301-3737 julie.peacock@state.or.us

W AOC/CREA **DORIS PENWELL** dpenwell@aocweb.org

W JIM STANWAY

W BONNEVILLE ENVIRONMENTAL **FOUNDATION**

ANGUS DUNCAN 240 SW FIRST AVE PORTLAND OR 97204 aduncan@b-e-f.org

W CITY OF HILLSBORO SARAH GARRISON sarah.garrison@hillsboro-oregon.gov

W CITY OF PORTLAND - CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BENJAMIN WALTERS 1221 SW 4TH AVE - RM 430 PORTLAND OR 97204 ben.walters@portlandoregon.gov

W CITY OF PORTLAND - PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

MICHAEL ARMSTRONG 1900 SW 4TH AVE, STE 7100 PORTLAND OR 97201 michael.armstrong@portlandoregon.gov

W CLEARING UP

JUDE NOLAND 734 HILL RD WALLA WALLA WA 99362 nolandj@charter.net

W CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.

MARY LYNCH 5074 NAWAL DRIVE EL DORADO HILLS CA 95762 mary.lynch@constellation.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE

JOSHUA D WEBER 333 SW TAYLOR STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 jdw@dvclaw.com

W ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC

KEVIN HIGGINS 215 STATE ST - STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111-2322 khiggins@energystrat.com

W GALLATIN PUBLIC AFFAIRS

KELSEY WILSON kelseyw@gallatinpa.com

W IBERDROLA RENEWABLES

KOURTNEY NELSON kourtney.nelson@iberdrolaren.com

W CITY OF PORTLAND - PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

DAVID TOOZE 1900 SW 4TH STE 7100 PORTLAND OR 97201 david.tooze@portlandoregon.gov

W CLIMATE SOLUTIONS

ANN ENGLISH GRAVATT 917 SW OAK - STE 303 PORTLAND OR 97205 ann@climatesolutions.org

W CREA

BRIAN SKEAHAN brian.skeahan@yahoo.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC

MELINDA J DAVISON 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 mjd@dvclaw.com

W ENERGY TRUST OF OREGON

THAD ROTH 421 SW OAK STE 300 PORTLAND OR 97204 thad.roth@energytrust.org

W IBERDROLA RENEWABLES

KEVIN LYNCH 1125 NW COUCH ST STE 700 PORTLAND OR 97209 kevin.lynch@iberdrolaren.com

W IBERDROLA RENEWABLES

SARA PARSONS sara.parsons@iberdrolaren.com

W IBEW L.U. 659

BANJO REED 4480 ROGUE VALLEY HWY #3 CENTRAL POINT OR 97520 banjo@ibew659.org

W IDAHO POWER COMPANY

CONNIE ASCHENBRENNER PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 caschenbrenner@idahopower.com

W NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC

GREG BASS 401 WEST A ST., STE. 500 SAN DIEGO CA 92101 gbass@noblesolutions.com

W NW ENERGY COALITION

WENDY GERLITZ 1205 SE FLAVEL PORTLAND OR 97202 wendy@nwenergy.org

W OBSIDIAN RENEWABLES

IMOGEN TAYLOR 5 CENTERPOINTE DR STE 590 LAKE OSWEGO OR 97035 itaylor@obsidianrenewables.com

W OREGON NATIONAL GUARD

LTC KENNETH SAFE kenneth.safe.mil@mail.mil

W PACIFIC POWER

ERIK ANDERSON 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 1800 PORTLAND OR 97232 erik.anderson@pacificorp.com

W IDAHO POWER COMPANY

MICHAEL YOUNGBLOOD PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707 myoungblood@idahopower.com

W LEGAL & CONSULTING SERVICES

ANN L FISHER PO BOX 25302 PORTLAND OR 97298-0302 ann@annfisherlaw.com

W NW & INTERMOUTAIN POWER PRODUCERS COALITION

ROBERT D KAHN
PO BOX 504
MERCER ISLAND WA 98040
rkahn@nippc.org

W OBSIDIAN RENEWABLES

DAVID BROWN 5 CENTERPOINT DR, STE 590 LAKE OSWEGO OR 97035 dbrown@obsidianfinance.com

W OREGON NATIONAL GUARD

COLONEL CHRISTIAN F REES christian.f.rees.mil@mail.mil

W OXLEY & ASSOCIATES

EVYAN JARVIS evyanjarvis@oxleyandassociatesinc.com

W PACIFICORP

JOELLE STEWARD 825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 joelle.steward@pacificorp.com

W PACIFICORP

ALISA M DUNLAP 825 NE MULTNOMAH, STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 alisa.dunlap@pacificorp.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

KARLA WENZEL 121 SW SALMON ST. 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

J RICHARD GEORGE 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 richard.george@pgn.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

ELIZABETH PAUL elizabeth.paul@pgn.com

W PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY

NOEL MINGO noel.mingo@pdx.edu

W PUC STAFF—DOJ

MICHAEL T WEIRICH 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 michael.weirich@state.or.us

W PUC STAFF

RUCHI SADHIR PO BOX 1088 SALEM OR 97308 ruchi.sadhir@state.or.us

W RENEWABLE NORTHWEST

MEGAN DECKER 421 SW 6TH AVE #1125 PORTLAND OR 97204-1629 megan@renewablenw.org

W RENEWABLE NORTHWEST

RENEWABLE NW DOCKETS 421 SW 6TH AVE., STE. 1125 PORTLAND OR 97204 dockets@renewablenw.org

W RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC

GREGORY M. ADAMS PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83702 greg@richardsonadams.com

W SCHNITZER STEEL INDUSTRIES, INC

MATT RUCKWARDT 299 SW CLAY ST, STE 350 PORTLAND OR 97201 mruckwardt@schn.com

W SOLARCITY

GENEVIEVE DUFAU gdufau@solarcity.com

W WAL-MART STORES, INC.

STEVE W CHRISS 2001 SE 10TH ST BENTONVILLE AR 72716-0550 stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

W WALMART STORES INC

KEN BAKER 2001 SE 10TH ST BENTONVILLE AR 72716 ken.baker@wal-mart.com

W WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE

LETHA TAWNEY ltawney@wri.org

W YAM SERVICES

JACQUES GRANT 1819 SW 5TH AVE STE 342 PORTLAND OR 97201 electric@yamservices.com

Respectfully submitted,

Sommer Templet, OSB #105260

8mmuslengut

Staff Attorney

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 227-1984 phone

(503) 224-2596 fax

sommer@oregoncub.org