

900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, Oregon 97204 main 503.224.3380 fax 503.220.2480 www.stoel.com

November 17, 2005

KATHERINE A. McDowell *Direct (503) 294-9602* kamcdowell@stoel.com

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: MEHC's Response to ICNU's Motion to Compel

Docket UM 1209

Enclosed for filing please find MEHC's Response to ICNU's Motion to Compel in the above-referenced docket. A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached certificate of service.

Very truly yours,

Katherine A. McDowell

KAM:knp Enclosure

cc: Service List

## 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON 2 UM 1209 3 In the Matter of MIDAMERICAN **MEHC's RESPONSE TO ICNU's ENERGY HOLDINGS COMPANY** MOTION TO COMPEL Application for Authorization to Acquire 6 Pacific Power & Light, dba PacifiCorp. 7 MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company ("MEHC") provides the following 8 expedited response to the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities' ("ICNU") Motion to 10 Compel responses to ICNU 7.11 and 7.12. 1. ICNU seeks to compel responses to data requests asking whether MEHC or 11 12 Berkshire Hathaway have considered the acquisition of PGE within the past five years and 13 requesting all related documents and analysis. MEHC objected to these requests because 14 they seek information that is irrelevant to this case. See MEHC Responses to ICNU 7.11 15 and 7.12. 16 2. ICNU correctly states in its motion to compel that ORCP 36B(1) allows discovery "regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery . . ." Motion to Compel at 3 (emphasis added). As noted in the Commission's Ruling on August 25, 2005 in this case, "[p]roceedings under ORS 757.511 are limited in scope by statute and related Commission decisions." Ruling at 2, Petitions to 21 Intervene Granted; Participation Limited, UM 1209 (August 26, 2005) ("August 26, 2005 Ruling"). The bounds of permissible discovery in this case are set by well-defined limitations on the scope of ORS 757.511 proceedings. 24 3. The focus in a case under ORS 757.511 is the potential benefits and harms of 25 the proposed transaction compared "against the state of the utility as it [is] currently 26 configured." August 26, 2005 Ruling at 2; see In re Oregon Electric Utility Company,

MEHC's RESPONSE TO ICNU'S MOTION TO COMPEL

Page 1

- 1 UM 1121, Order 05-114 at 20 (Or Pub Util Comm'n Mar. 10, 2005) ("TPG Order"). The
- 2 "statute does not provide for consideration of counter-offers or competing proposals." TPG
- 3 Order at 16; see also id. at 18 n.14 (rejecting consideration of public purchase of PGE as an
- 4 alternative base case "because ORS 757.511 does not provide for consideration of competing
- 5 proposals.")
- The Commission assesses each merger on a case by case basis, focusing on the
- 7 particulars of the transaction before it. See In re Legal Standard for Approval of Mergers,
- 8 UM 1011, Order 01-778 at 11 (Or Pub Util Comm'n Sept. 4, 2001). In UM 918, the
- 9 Commission approved ScottishPower's merger with PacifiCorp over ICNU's objection that
- 10 the merger could preclude a domestic merger from which synergies could be derived. In that
- 11 Order, the Commission noted that "all mergers involve . . . a comparison of the status quo
- 12 with the effect of the merger." In re ScottishPower, UM 918, Order 99-00615 (Or Pub Util
- 13 Comm'n Oct 6, 1999).
- In summary, in considering a transaction under ORS 757.511, the Commission
- 15 reviews the transaction before it, without consideration of potential additional or alternative
- 16 transactions.
- 17 4. ICNU 7.11 and 7.12 inquire into additional or alternative transactions to the
- 18 transaction which is the subject of this case (i.e., a purchase of PGE by MEHC). This
- 19 information is outside the proper scope of ORS 757.511 and is not therefore discoverable in
- 20 this case. Permitting discovery into other potential business transactions considered by an
- 21 ORS 757.511 applicant would expand the scope of the proceedings, exacerbate the already
- 22 difficult challenges associated with the management of highly confidential business
- 23 information in these cases, and ultimately deter investment in Oregon utilities because of
- 24 exposure to discovery of wholly unrelated, confidential business transactions under
- 25 ORS 757.511.

Page 2 - MEHC's RESPONSE TO ICNU'S MOTION TO COMPEL

5. ICNU claims that information regarding MEHC's consideration of the 1 2 purchase of PGE is relevant to this case because "if MEHC were to acquire PacifiCorp and 3 PGE, it would have substantial control over the two major providers of electric service in 4 Portland and many other areas of Oregon." Motion to Compel at 3. The problem with 5 ICNU's argument, however, is that it posits a potential harm associated with this transaction 6 related to a hypothetical purchase of PGE by MEHC. As noted above, however, 7 ORS 757.511 reviews actual transactions, eschewing consideration of hypothetical alternatives or additions. No amount of discovery into MEHC's review of a possible 9 purchase of PGE will turn this hypothetical transaction into an actual transaction. In any 10 event, ICNU's concerns about the potential harm of MEHC owning both PacifiCorp and 11 PGE are not well-founded because any MEHC purchase of PGE would require separate 12 review under ORS 757.511—if such a purchase is ever proposed. The information requested by ICNU—analysis for an unrelated utility 13 6. 14 acquisition—is highly confidential business information. ORCP 36(C)(7) provides that the 15 court can limit or deny a motion compelling discovery of "a trade secret or other confidential 16 research, development, or commercial information." This provision acknowledges that the more sensitive the information, the more discovery of it should be regulated. Here, where the discovery sought is marginal at best, the Commission should conclude the harm of ordering disclosure far outweighs ICNU's need for the information. 20 7. ICNU has asked for expedited treatment of this motion and MEHC has 21 complied by filing this expedited response. While MEHC believes there is no basis for production of the information requested by ICNU 7.11 and 7.12, it can expeditiously comply with an order compelling production. MEHC objects to any delay in the filing of ICNU's 24 testimony on the basis of the pendency of ICNU's motion to compel.

Page 3 - MEHC's RESPONSE TO ICNU'S MOTION TO COMPEL

25 ///

26 ///

For all the foregoing reasons, MEHC asks the Commission to deny ICNU's Motion to el.

DATED: November 17, 2005.

STOEL RIVES LLP

Katherine A. McDowell

Attorneys for MEHC and PacifiCorp

Page 4 - MEHC's RESPONSE TO ICNU'S MOTION TO COMPEL

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that I served the foregoing document in Docket UM 1209 on the					
3	following named person(s) on the date indicated below by					
4	mailing with postage prepaid					
5		hand delivery				
6		facsimile transmission				
7	×	Electronic mail				
8	to said person(s) a true copy thereof, contained in a sealed envelope, addressed to said					
9	person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.					
10	NW	Energy Coalition	Rates & Regulatory Affairs			
11	219 First Street, Suite 100 Seattle, WA 98104		Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC0702			
12		,	Portland, OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com			
13	A day	m C. Arma	Utility Workers Union of America			
14	Adam S. Arms <u>aarms@mbjlaw.com</u>		PO Box 37			
15			San Clemente, CA 92674-0037 uwua@redhabanero.com			
16	Jim .	Abrahamson	Douglas L. Anderson			
17		munity Action Directors of Or 12 <sup>th</sup> Street Cutoff SE, Suite 110	MidAmerican Energy Holdings Co 302 S 36 Street, Suite 400			
18	Sale	m, OR 97302	Omaha, NE 68131			
19	jim@cado-oregon.org		gerickson@midamerican.com			
20		ard Bartell math Off-Project Water Users, Inc.	Curtis G. Berkey Alexander Berkey Williams			
21	30474 Sprague River Road Sprague River, OR 97639		& Weathers 2000 Center Street, Suite 308 Berkey, CA 94704			
22						
23	70.00		cberkey@abwwlaw.com			
24	-	gie Brilz o Power Company	Lowrey R. Brown Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon			
25	PO Box 70		610 SW Broadway, Suite 308			

Portland, OR 97205

lowrey@oregoncub.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

Boise, ID 83707-0070

mbrilz@idahopower.com

Page 2 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

STOEL RIVES LLP

Page 3 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

Page 4 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

STOEL RIVES LLP 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600, Portland, OR 97204 Main (503) 224-3380 Fax (503) 220-2480	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Linda K. Williams Kafoury & McDougal 10266 SW Lancaster Road Portland, OR 97219-6305 linda@lindawilliams.net  Peter J. Richardson Richardson & O'Leary PO Box 7218 Boise, ID 83707 peter@richardsonandoleary.com DATED: November 17, 2005.	Michael W. Orcutt Hoopa Valley Tribe Fisheries Dept PO Box 417 Hoopa, CA 95546 director@pcweb.net  Paul Woodin Western Wind Power 282 Largent Lane Goldendale, WA 98620-3619 pwoodin@gorge.net  Katherine A. McDowell  Of Attorneys for MEHC
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		

Page 5 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)