1	<b>BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>	
2	OF OREGON	
3	UE 173	
4	In the Matter of Them feeling (dou't define	RESPONSE TO PACIFICORP'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE DOCKETS UM 1193 AND UE 173
5		
6	Weenanishi	
7	The consolidation of these cases is procedural and does not affect the standard for review	
8	of the applications. Staff supports PacifiCorp's motion to consolidate Dockets UE 173 and UM	
9	1193 for the following reasons:	
10	1. Consolidation gives stakeholders a greater ability to reach a negotiated settlement regarding the regulatory treatment of the costs and benefits associated with hydro variability.	
11		
12	2. Consolidation gives the Commission more options regarding the date at which	
13	the costs and benefits associated with a (PCAM) are eligible for deferral.	n any Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism
14		
15	At the July 6, 2004, Regular Public Meeting of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon,	
16	5 Staff made a similar recommendation to consolidate Portland General Electric Dockets UE 165,	
17	7 UM 1157 and UM 1128. Staff's position on consolidation of Dockets UE 173 and UM 1193 is	
18	8 consistent with this previous recommendation.	
19		
20	DATED this $23^{rd}$ day of May 2005.	
21	R	espectfully submitted,
22	Н	IARDY MYERS
23	А	ttorney General
24		David B. Hatton David B. Hatton, #75151
25	А	ssistant Attorney General
26		of Attorneys for Staff of the Public Utility commission of Oregon

Page 1 - Response to PacifiCorp's Motion to Consolidate dockets UM 1193 and UE 173 DBH/nal/Document4

Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-4096
(503) 378-6322