## **KNOLL Ellie \* PUC**

From: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 2:33 PM

To: MENZA Candice \* PUC; TOEWS Kimberly \* PUC; KNOLL Ellie \* PUC; WALKER Cheryl \* PUC; DAVIS

Diane \* PUC

**Subject:** FW: Docket PCN 5

Comments.

Deanna

----Original Message-----

From: king5some@juno.com <king5some@juno.com>

Sent: Monday, January 30, 2023 1:19 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC < PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Docket PCN 5

Good Afternoon,

I am writing to make a comment concerning Idaho Power Company's petition for CPCN. The introduction references a Princeton study in an attempt to tie into the current administration's net-zero emissions by 2050 goal, of which no standards are established.

What is the level of emissions generated from a construction project of this magnitude?

I believe the "Net-Zero Emissions" language is bringing forth an idea that unreasonably broadens the issues, burdens the record, and unreasonably delays the proceeding by creating another debate for the public to research and contest.

Respectfully, Wendy King /s/Wendy King