

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

ARB 652 (1)

In the Matter of )  
 )  
NEW ROCHELLE TELEPHONE CORP., and ) STAFF COMMENTS  
QWEST CORPORATION )  
 )  
First Amendment to Interconnection Agreement )  
Submitted for Commission Approval Pursuant )  
to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications )  
Act of 1996. )

**RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENT**

On February 15, 2005, New Rochelle Telephone Corp. and Qwest Corporation filed a first amendment to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), recognizing the adoption of ARB 615 terms. The parties seek approval of the amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement or amendment thereto reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement or amendment only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommends approval of the amendment. Staff concludes that the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement does not appear to discriminate against

telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Dated at Salem, Oregon this 8<sup>h</sup> day of March, 2005.

---

**David Booth**  
Manager, Competitive Issues  
Telecommunications Division