## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## **OF OREGON**

ARB 524

| In the Matter of                              | ) |                |
|---|---|----------------|
| DPI TELECONNECT LLC and UNITED                | ) | STAFF COMMENTS |
| TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE                      | ) |                |
| NORTHWEST                                     | ) |                |
|   | ) |                |
| Interconnection Agreement Submitted for       | ) |                |
| Commission Approval Pursuant to Section       | ) |                |
| 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. | ) |                |

## RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AGREEMENT

On January 15, 2004, dPi Teleconnect LLC and United Telephone Company of the Northwest (United) filed an interconnection agreement with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of this agreement under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

| does not appear to discr | ommends approval of the agreement<br>iminate against telecommunications<br>appear to be inconsistent with the p |    |
|--------------------------|---|----|
| Dated at                 | Salem, this 4th day of February, 2004   | l. |

Celeste Hari
Telecommunications Analyst