BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 444(2&3)

| In the Matter of |) | |
|---|---|----------------|
| PRIOITYONE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, |) | STAFF COMMENTS |
| INC., and QWEST CORPORATION, |) | |
| |) | |
| Second and Third Amendments Submitted For |) | |
| Commission Approval Pursuant to Section |) | |
| 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. |) | |

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENT

On March 11, 2003, PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc., and Qwest Corporation filed the second and third amendments to the interconnection agreement previously approved by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of these amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the amendments on the World Wide Web, at: http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff recommends approval of the amendments. Staff concludes that the amendments to the previously approved agreement do not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Dated at Salem, this 31th day of March, 2003.

Celeste Hari
Telecommunications Analyst