

November 13, 2006

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street NE, Ste 215 Salem, OR 97301-2551

Attention: Vikie Bailey-Goggins, Administrator Regulatory and Technical Support

RE: Docket No. AR 506

Enclosed for filing by PacifiCorp is a copy of the company's talking points presented at the November 8, 2006 hearing in Docket No. AR 506.

Please direct questions with respect to this filing to Laura Beane at 503-813-5542.

Very truly yours,

Kelly AB Aner-Andrea L. Kelly

Vice President, Regulation Enclosures

Service List: AR 506/510

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

AR 506/510

In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Amend)
and Adopt Permanent Rules in OAR 860,)
Division 24 and 28, Regarding Pole)
Attachment Use and Safety)

PACIFICORP'S TALKING POINTS REGARDING DIVISION 28 November 8, 2006

PacifiCorp welcomes the telecommunications industry onto our distribution facilities, so long as the attachments are installed with our permission, in compliance with safety rules, and under cost recovery guidelines that ensure a cost neutral impact on our electricity consumers. These three fundamentals underpin all of our comments today, with respect to these two rulemakings. The Commission should consider three questions when evaluating the proposed rules:

First, does the pole owner have the right to protect its service reliability and public safety by dictating the circumstances under which a third-party may attach to its distribution poles?

Second, should an applicant be allowed to compromise public and worker safety just so it can be the first to bring high-speed internet services to a new neighborhood?

And finally, should a low-income electricity consumer, who struggles to keep his heat on, have to pay to subsidize his neighbor's right to watch premium cable channels?

Again, PacifiCorp supports the growth of the telecommunications industry and the benefits those services bring to our customers. Provided that all reasonable expectations of cost neutrality are met, PacifiCorp encourages the use of our distribution infrastructure as the most logical and cost effective way to reach our mutual customers. Let's examine the questions in further detail.

Does the pole owner have the right to protect its service reliability and public safety by dictating the circumstances under which a third-party may attach to its distribution poles?

Of course. PacifiCorp makes every effort to ensure that safe and reasonable access requests are granted. Where the facility is not suitable for the proposed attachment, either because of concerns for the strength of the pole compared to its proposed load, or because of clearance issues, PacifiCorp communicates the appropriate make-ready costs to all parties involved, with the reasonable expectation that the applicant will not attempt to install facilities until the pole is made suitable for its attachment.

If the applicant were allowed to install its equipment prior to the pole owner giving its consent, even under circumstances where sufficient clearance height might appear to exist, the pole still may not have the strength or appropriate guying necessary to support the equipment safely, or there may be another attachment already pending by another licensee. These circumstances are not always immediately apparent through a simple visual inspection by the applicant, and attachments made without the consent of the pole owner could also give rise to allegations of discriminatory access, against the pole owner. It is the pole owner's responsibility and fundamental right to evaluate each request and, by explicitly authorizing or rejecting a specific attachment, be ultimately accountable for the condition of the facility. It is even more critical when dealing with attachments on transmission facilities. Transmission facilities, which form the backbone of the electrical network, could be significantly compromised, thereby increasing the risk of large-scale

outages--the ill-effects of which could be felt well beyond the state of Oregon. Attachments made without consent takes away both the responsibility and accountability from the pole owner, leaving the electric facilities vulnerable to premature deterioration, costly emergency pole replacements, and service interruptions.

This leads to the second question. Should the applicant be allowed to compromise public and worker safety just so it can be the first to bring high-speed internet services to a new neighborhood?

Absolutely not. The Commission upheld and emphasized the importance of the Commission Safety rules in the recent Division 24 proceedings—a proceeding in which the wireless industry chose not to participate. The currently proposed changes to the sanction rules do not present enough of a deterrent to prevent poor construction practices, and they practically condone a "build it first and say you'll fix it later" environment for licensees. There is not enough of a financial *dis*-incentive, to encourage licensees to build it right the first time. Sanctions would not even be an issue if licensees received the proper economic signals to encourage initial compliance with safety and constructions standards. Unless they are to be strengthened, the current sanction rules should not be altered.

Lastly, should a low-income electricity consumer, who struggles just to keep his heat on, have to pay to subsidize his neighbor's right to watch premium cable channels?

Of course not. The pole owner has two basic means of recovering the costs associated with pole attachments. The first is the annual contact rental rate, and the second is direct billing of expenses incurred by a specific party for purposes not covered by the rate. The annual rental rate is a common rate, per foot of attachment space, for all parties in any given state. It is meant to compensate the pole owner for some of the generic indirect costs associated with the maintenance of joint use space on a pole. The direct charges are meant to recover expenses associated with specific licensee attachments on specific poles.

The pole owner, as the party ultimately responsible for the condition of its facilities, must perform pre-and post-construction inspections on all new attachments, and postconstruction inspections on removals and modifications, to ensure all work is completed in accordance with Safety rules and contractual requirements. Only the party who creates this work should have to pay for the explicit costs associated with the inspections.

In addition to inspections, the administrative expense specifically associated with each applicant's request should be paid for by that applicant. So far this fiscal year, since April, 2006, PacifiCorp has received requests for attachment or overlash to over 4,000 poles in Oregon. More than 40% of those poles were associated with only two companies. If these costs are all bundled into the carrying charge component of the contact rental rate for which we only recover a small percentage, why should the electric ratepayer have to absorb the difference not paid by all of the licensees? Or subsidize a telecommunications provider from whom they can never receive services because they are in a different provider's territory? For that matter, why should all other licensees in the state have to share in the cost of processing applications for their competitors?

In conclusion, PacifiCorp again reiterates that we welcome attachments by the telecommunications industry, and encourage the reasonable and efficient use of our distribution infrastructure. PacifiCorp asks that the final rules ensure a cost-neutral

impact on our customers, contain sufficient punitive measures that will offer incentive for the licensees to construct their facilities safely on the first pass, and maintain the right of the pole owner to explicitly approve each pole before installation can commence.

Thank you for your time and the opportunity to participate in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Cece L. Coleman

Cece L. Coleman, Senior Counsel PacifiCorp 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1800 Portland, Oregon 97232 Telephone 503-813-6762 Facsimile 503-813-7252

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

AR 506/510

I certify that I have, this 13th day of November, 2006, served PacifiCorp's Talking Points Regarding Division 28 by electronic mail to the parties indicated on the attached service list.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 13th day of November, 2006.

MATT COONS	HAROLD LEAHY & KIERAN
matt.coons@comspanusa.net	CHRISTY MONSON
	ckm@haroldleahy.com
JIM DEASON	MCMINNVILLE CITY OF WATER &
ATTORNEY AT LAW	LIGHT
jimdeason@comcast.net	SCOTT ROSENBALM
	sgr@mc-power.com
ASHLAND CITY OF	LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES
SCOTT JOHNSON	ANDREA FOGUE
johnsons@ashland.or.us	afogue@orcities.org
CENTRAL LINCOLN PUD	IDAHO POWER COMPANY
DENISE ESTEP	JEANNETTE C BOWMAN
destep@cencoast.com	jbowman@idahopower.com
MICHAEL L WILSON	TOM WICHER
mwilson@cencoast.com	twicher@idahopower.com
	BARTON L. KLINE
	bkline@idahopower.com
	LISA D. NORDSTROM
	lnordstrom@idahopower.com
	BRENT VAN PATTEN
	bvanpatten@idahopower.com
CENTURYTEL OF OREGON INC	MILLENNIUM DIGITAL MEDIA
DOUG COOLEY	EUGENE A FRY
doug.cooley@centurytel.com	gfry@mdm.net
CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS	MILLER NASH LLP
CORP	BROOKS HARLOW
GARY LEE	ATTORNEY
glee@chartercom.com	brooks.harlow@millernash.com

CLEAR CREEK MUTUAL	MONMOUTH CITY OF
TELEPHONE CO	JIM HOUGH
BILL KIGGINS	jhough@ci.monmouth.or.us
bkiggins@clearcreek.coop	5 6 0
CN UTILITY CONSULTING	MONMOUTH CITY OF
STEPHEN R CIESLEWICZ	DAVE WILDMAN
steve@cnutility.com	dwildman@ci.monmouth.or.us
COLE RAYWID & BRAVERMAN	CLPUD
LLP	NWCPUD
SCOTT THOMPSON	SUSAN K ACKERMAN
ATTORNEY	ATTORNEY
sthompson@crblaw.com	susan.k.ackerman@comcast.com
COMPSPANUSA	OREGON CABLE AND
SEBASTIAN MC CROHAN	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
sebastian.mccrohan@comspansua.net	ASSOCIATION
	MICHAEL DEWEY
	mdewey@oregoncable.com
COMSUMER POWER INC	OREGON JOINT USE
STUART SLOAN	ASSOCIATION
stuarts@cpi.coop	GENOA INGRAM
	genoa@westernadvocates.com
	JOHN SULLIVAN
	john.sullivan@pgn.com
	WILLIAM C WOODS
	william_woods@cable.comcast.net
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE	OREGON MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC
SARAH K WALLACE	UTILITIES ASSOC
ATTORNEY AT LAW	TOM O'CONNOR
sarahwallace@dwt.com	toconnor@teleport.com
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	OREGON PUD ASSOCIATION
MICHAEL T WEIRICH	DON GODARD
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	dgodard@opuda.org
michael.weirich@state.or.us	
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE LLC	OREGON RURAL ELECTRIC
CHARLES L BEST	COOPERATIVE ASSN
ATTORNEY AT LAW	JACK EVANS
charles_best@eli.net	jevans@oreca.org
EMERALD PUD	OREGON
CRAIG ANDRUS	TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSN
craig.andrus@epud.org	BRANT WOLF
	bwolf@ota-telecom.org

ESCHELON TELECOM OF	PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT
OREGON INC	CECE L COLEMAN
CATHERINE A MURRAY	cece.coleman@pacificorp.com
camurray@eschelon.com	COREY FITZGERALD
	corey.fitz-gerald@pacificorp.com
	WILLIAM EAQUINTO
	Bill.eaquinto@pacificorp.com
	RANDALL MILLER
	randy.miller@pacificorp.com
	HEIDI CASWELL
	heide.caswell@pacificorp.com
	JIM MARQUIS
	james_I.marquis@pacificorp.com
	LAURA RAYPUSH
	laura.raypush@pacificorp.com ANDREA KELLY
PORTLAND CITY OF - OFFICE OF	andrea.kelly@pacificorp.com PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
TRANSPORTATION	DAVID P VAN BOSSUYT
RICHARD GRAY	dave.vanbossuyt@pgn.com
richard.gray@pdxtrans.org	JENNIFER BUSCH
fiendru.gruf op minimizerg	Jennifer.busch@pgn.com
	RANDALL DAHLGREN
	Randy.dahlgren@pgn.com
	BARBARA HALLE
	barbara.halle@pgn.com
	DOUG KUNS
	doug.kuns@pgn.com
	INARA K. SCOTT
	inara.scott@pgn.com
	ALEX TOOMAN
	Alex.tooman@pgn.com
	DAVID P. VAN BOSSUYT
	<u>dave.vanbossuyt@pgn.com</u> KARLA WENZEL
	karla.wensel@pgn.com
PRIORITYONE	QWEST
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC	JEFF KENT
kmutch@p1tel.com	jeffrey.kent@gwest.com

•

.

INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON	MCMINNVILLE CITY OF WATER &
INC.	LIGHT
ROBERT DAVIDSON	SCOTT ROSENBALM
robert.davidson@integratelecom.com	sgr@mc-power.com
LEE GUSTAVSON	
lee.gustavson@integratelecom.com	
SHEILA HARRIS	
sheila.harris@integratelecom.com	
JAY NUSBAUM	
Jay.nusbaum@integratelecom.com	
MONMOUTH CITY OF	OREGON HOUSE OF
J. WHITE	REPRESENTATIVES
jwhite@ci.monmourh.or.us	THE HONORABLE ROBERT
DAVE WILDMAN	ACKERMAN
dwildman@ci.monmouth.or.us	900 COURT ST. NE RM H-389
	SALEM, OR 97310
OREGON RURAL ELECTRIC	PERKINS COIE LLP
COOPERATIVE ASSN	LAWRENCE REICHMAN
SANDRA FLICKER	lreichman@perkinscoie.com
sflicker@oreca.org	
PRIORITY ONE	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.	JERRY MURRAY
P.O. BOX 758	jerry.murray@state.or.us
LA GRANDE, OR 97850	GARY PUTNAM
kmutch@p1tel.com	gary.jputnam@state.or.us
	JOHN WALLACE
	john.wallace@state.or.us
QUALITY TELEPHONE INC	QWEST CORPORATION
FRANK X. MCGOVERN	ALEX M. DUARTE
fmcgovern@qtelephone.com	alex.duarte@quest.com
SPRINGFIELD UTILITY BOARD	SPRINT NEXTEL
TAMARA JOHNSON	KRISTIN L. JACOBSON
tamaraj@subutil.com	kristin.l.jacobson@sprint.com
T-MOBILE	T-MOBILE USA INC.
ANDREW NENNINGER	TERI OHTA
andrew.nenninger@t-mobile.com	teri.ohta@t-mobile.com
TIME WARNER TELECOM	UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
KEVIN O'CONNOR	OF THE NORTHWEST
kevin.oconnor@twtelecom.com	TOM MCGOWAN
	tom.a.mcgowan@sprint.com

QWEST CORPORATION	SALEM ELECTRIC
ALEX M DUARTE	ROGER KUHLMAN
alex.duarte@qwest.com	kuhlman@salemelectric.com
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO LP	TIME WARNER TELECOM OF
BARBARA YOUNG	OREGON LLC
barbara.c.young@mail.sprint.com	BRIAN THOMAS
	brian.thomas@twtelecom.com
VERIZON	VERIZON NORTHWEST INC
STEVEN LINDSAY	RICHARD STEWART
steve.lindsay@verizon.com	richard.stewart@verizon.com
SUSAN BURKE	RENEE WILLER
susan.burke@verizon.com	renee.willer@verizon.com
WANTEL INC	ATER WYNNE LLP
MARTY PATROVSKY	WENDY L. MARTIN
marty.patrovsky@comspanusa.net	wlm@aterwynne.com
	LISA F. RACKNER
	<u>lfr@aterwynne.com</u>
BEND BROADBAND	CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS
JEFF LIBERTY	SUZANNE CURTIS
jliberty@bendbroadband.net	suzanne.curtis@chartercom.com
CINGULAR WIRELESS	CITY OF PORTLAND
CINDY MANHEIM	RICHARD JOHNSON
Cindy.manheim@cingular.com	Richard.johnson@pdxtrans.org
CLATSKANIE PUD	COLE, RAYWID, & BRAVERMAN
KEENE C. BASSO	JILL VALENSTEIN
kbasso@clatskaniepud.com	jvalenstein@crblaw.com
COMCAST	COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON
DAWNA FARRELL	LLC
dawna_farrell@calbe.comcast.com	SCOTT WHEELER
NANCY MARSTON	scott-wheeler2@cable.comcast.com
nancy_marston@cable.comcast.com	
COOS CURRY ELECTRIC	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
COOPERATIVE	MARK P. TRINCHERO
SCOTT ADAMS	marktrinchero@dwt.com
scotta@cooscurryelectric.com	
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE	EMBARQ COMMUNICATIONS INC.
PHIL CHARLTON	WILLIAM E. HENDRICKS
pcharlton@eli-consulting.com	tre.hendricks@embarq.com NANCY JUDY
	nancy.judy@embarq.com

EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS OF
BOARD	AMERICA
MARK OBERLE	KEVIN L. SAVILLE
mark.oberle@eweb.eugene.or.us	ksaville@czn.com
GRAHAM & DUNN	HUNTER COMMUNICATIONS INC
RICHARD J. BUSCH	RICHARD W. RYAN
rbusch@grahamdunn.com	rryan@coreds.net
IBEW LOCAL 659	PIONEER TELELPHONE
RONALD W. JONES	COOPERATIVE
ronjones@ibew659.org	GENERAL MANAGER
	1304 MAIN ST.
	PHILOMATH, OR 97370
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES	NATIONAL RURAL UTILITIES
THOMAS DIXON	COOPERATIVE
thomas.f.dixon@verizon.com	WILLIAM K. EDWARDS
	bill.edwards@nrucfc.coop
OREGON TRAIL ELECTRIC	
COOPERATIVE	
ANTHONY BAILEY	
abailey@otecc.com	

Peggy Ryan Supervisor, Regulatory Administration

. . . .