

900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, Oregon 97204 main 503.224.3380 fax 503.220.2480 www.stoel.com

May 3, 2006

MARCUS A. WOOD Direct (503) 294-9434 mwood@stoel.com

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 2148
Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: Docket AR 499

I enclose for filing Northwest Natural Gas Company's Opening Comments on Straw Proposals. A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding.

Very truly yours,

Marcus A. Wood

MW:knp Enclosures

cc: Service List

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON		
2			
3			
4	In the Matter of the Adoption of Permanent Rules to Implement SB 408, Relating to	NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS	
5	Matching Utility Taxes Paid with Taxes Collected	ON STRAW PROPOSALS	
6			
7	I. OVERVIEW		
8	Northwest Natural Gas Company ("NW Natural") supports many of the comments		
9	submitted today by other Oregon utilities on these subjects and will not reiterate them here.		
10	Instead, NW Natural will add a few relatively brief observations in two areas. First, these		
11	comments will point out certain defects in the reasoning of the Industrial Customers of		
12	Northwest Utilities ("ICNU") and Northwest Industrial Gas Users ("NWIGU") in their		
13	comments submitted on April 24, 2006 concerning NW Natural's Earnings Test straw		
14	proposal. Second, these comments will note some of the practical defects in the "properly		
15	attributed" straw proposals submitted by ICNU/NWIGU and the Citizens Utility Board		
16	6 ("CUB").		
17	II. THE EARNINGS TEST		
18	NW Natural has proposed that an Earnings Test be incorporated into the permanent		
19	rule implementing SB 408. The Earnings Tes	t is needed in order for the rule to comply with	
20	the Attorney General's conclusions that the application of SB 408 must also satisfy the		
21	statutory requirement that Oregon utility rates be fair, just, and reasonable. In each general		
22	rate case, the Oregon Public Utility Commission (the "Commission") establishes rates that		
23	determines will produce a fair, just, and reasonable after-tax return on utility equity. The		
24	Earnings Test is designed to avoid the undermining of this Commission determination, by		
25	allowing base rates to be adjusted upward or downward, as needed to prevent either (a)		
26	overearnings combined with an SB 408 rate su	urcharge or (b) underearnings combined with	

NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS ON

STRAW PROPOSALS

Page 1

1 an SB 408 refund. The Earnings Test is an adjustment for excess or deficient earnings, made

2 to the extent needed to avoid an arbitrary and capricious surcharge or refund order that would

3 undermine the Commission's determination of what constitutes a fair, just, and reasonable

4 return.¹

5 The objections of ICNU/NWIGU to an Earnings Test, stated at pages 7-8 of their

6 straw proposal, stand accepted utility ratemaking on its head. If the cost of providing utility

7 service is higher than the level allowed by the Commission, ICNU/NWIGU seek to force the

8 utility to refund the tax effect of the underrecovery, without any rate adjustment for the

9 underrecovery itself. Likewise, if the cost of providing utility service is lower than the level

10 allowed by the Commission, ICNU/NWIGU assert that the utility should surcharge the

11 additional taxes arising from its overrecovery, without any rate adjustment for the

12 overrecovery itself. Thus the ICNU/NWIGU position both (a) mismatches cost items and the

13 taxes arising from such items and (b) violates the requirement that the Commission take into

14 account the end result of its rate orders.

Any reversal of ratemaking principles that are uniformly accepted across the nation

16 should be considered only if required by an explicit statutory mandate. As NW Natural

17 pointed out in a previous pleading in Docket No. UM 1244, not only is there no legislative

18 statement of intent for such an application of SB 408, but additionally, if that were the intent,

19 the law and the Commission's application thereof would very clearly fail the end-result test

20 and would therefore be arbitrary and capricious. Imagine, for example, the difficulty of

22

21

Although the Earnings Test would protect both public utilities and their customers in a manner similar to the deferral accounting proposed by NW Natural in its filing in Docket

No. UM 1244, the Earnings Test is not a cost deferral mechanism and would not require deferral accounting. Instead, the Earnings Test would directly provide a prospective

adjustment to base rates as needed to avoid the "double-whammy" impact that SB 408 otherwise could produce. By using the Earnings Test, the Commission would bar the public

utility from using SB 408 to double-recover any rate overrecovery of its costs and also protect the public utility from being double-penalized for a rate underrecovery.

Page 2 - NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS ON STRAW PROPOSALS

1 defending on appeal the following Commission finding in a rate order (for a utility with a 2 40 percent effective income tax rate): 3 "For the rate period in question, the Commission found that a fair and reasonable return was 10.5 percent. However, for the 4 most recent rate year, the utility was unable to earn a 10.5 percent return with the rates as authorized and in fact 5 suffered a \$50 million earnings shortfall. The Commission finds that the utility as the result of such earnings shortfall 6 should pay a \$20 million refund to its customers." 7 Moreover, imagine the reverse situation, in which the public utility significantly overearns its 8 allowed return and, as a result, the Commission orders customers to pay the utility a 9 surcharge. These unsupportable and unsustainable determinations are precisely what ICNU 10 and NWIGU have argued are "fair" outcomes. III. THE "NEXUS" STRAW PROPOSAL OF ICNU AND NWIGU 11 The legal and logical defects of the ICNU/NWIGU "nexus" proposal have been well 12 13 described in PacifiCorp's comments. NW Natural acknowledges that the nexus test might 14 have value as a means of identifying those few affiliates of a utility whose individual tax 15 losses could possibly be related to operations of the public utility itself.² For example. 16 parties in past proceedings occasionally have raised what are in effect "double-leverage" challenges relating to the capital structure of a public utility's direct or indirect parent companies. Parties also might want to examine the tax costs related to any approved costbased transaction between a public utility and its affiliate. However, the only defensible purpose of applying a nexus test is to limit the scope of 20 entities to be individually examined. ICNU and NWIGU instead seek to use their nexus 22 approach as a supplement to SB 408, to summarily attribute to the public utility all the tax 23 24 ² ICNU and NWIGU for some reason want to include in the nexus group a sister company of a public utility if the sister company shares a common parent with the public utility, even when there is no financial relationship, contractual or otherwise, between the public utility and the sister affiliate. The description of the ICNU/NWIGU straw proposal

Page 3 - NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS ON STRAW PROPOSALS

does not contain a discernible rationale for including such an affiliate in the nexus group.

- 1 losses of identified nexus entities. This straw proposal would lead to arbitrary and capricious 2 results, such as:
- 3 1. If the ultimate parent of a public utility issued debt solely for the purpose of
- 4 acquiring a non-regulated company, the interest tax deductions from the debt would be
- 5 allocated not to the entity so acquired, but to the public utility. This attribution would occur
- 6 even if the acquired non-regulated entity were highly profitable and paid taxes far in excess
- 7 of the tax losses generated by the acquisition debt.
- 8 2. The straw proposal would use as the basis for attributing tax benefits the fact
- 9 that debt payments may be made with dividends of the public utility. This rationale for
- 10 attribution assumes that the benefits of a utility's equity return and stock dividends belong
- 11 not to the utility's investors, but rather to the utility's customers. To the contrary,
- 12 NW Natural is not aware of any authority in this country that says utility customers are
- 13 entitled to the benefits of investments made using a public utility's dividend.
- In sum, the nexus concept may assist the Commission by eliminating the need for tax
- 15 audits of individual affiliates whose only connection to the public utility is membership in the
- 16 same consolidated tax group. The concept of nexus, however, does not relieve parties or the
- 17 Commission, after any such tax audit, of the duty to articulate a sustainable reason that a
- 18 particular affiliate's tax losses should be attributed to the public utility. The fact that debt
- 19 payments by the affiliate were made with stockholders' funds is not a sustainable basis for
- 20 such attribution.

21 IV. CUB'S STRAW PROPOSAL

- 22 CUB's straw proposal has also been analyzed well in PacifiCorp's comments.
- 23 NW Natural appreciates CUB's attempt to advance a more moderate proposal for
- 24 determining "properly attributed" tax amounts than that contained in the temporary rule.
- 25 However, CUB's proposed treatment of tax losses, like that in the temporary rule, is
- 26 unworkable and inequitable. Under CUB's proposal, the Commission would be required to

Page 4 - NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS ON STRAW PROPOSALS

- 1 individually audit the tax returns of literally hundreds of non-regulated entities, in order to
- 2 apply SB 408 to only four Oregon public utilities. In addition, the proposal that tax losses of
- 3 individual entities be applied to generate refunds whenever a utility exceeds its allowed
- 4 return, with no comparable relief when the utility suffers earnings shortfalls, is inherently
- 5 unbalanced and inequitable.
- DATED: May 3, 2006.

 STOEL RIVES LLP

 Marcus A. Wood

Of Attorneys for Northwest Natural Gas Company

Page 5 - NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S OPENING COMMENTS ON STRAW PROPOSALS

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in		
3	Docket AR 499 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by email and		
4	first-class mail addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated		
5	below.		
6	•	Gary Bauer	
7	121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC0702	Northwest Natural 220 NW 2nd Avenue	
8	,	Portland OR 97209 gary.bauer@nwnatural.com	
9			
10		Lowrey R Brown	
11	1149 Court Street NE	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308	
12		Portland OR 97205 lowrey@oregoncub.org	
13	-		
14		R. Tom Butler	
15	PO Box 2148	tom@butlert.com	
16	Salem OR 97308-2148 ed.busch@state.or.us		
17			
18		Randall Dahlgren Portland General Electric	
19	Salem OR 97310	121 SW Salmon Street 1WTC 0702	
20	cpatom@fmtc.com	Portland OR 97204 randy.dahlgren@pgn.com	
21			
22		Jim Deason Attorney At Law	
23	333 SW Taylor, Suite 400	521 SW Clay Street, Suite 107	
24		Portland OR 97201-5407 jimdeason@comcast.net	
25			
26			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (AR 499)

1	Michael Early	Jason Eisdorfer
2	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities 333 SW Taylor, Suite 400	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308
3	Portland OR 97204	Portland OR 97205
4	mearly@icnu.org	dockets@oregoncub.org
5	Steve Evans	Don M Falkner
6	MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company 666 Grand Avenue	Avista Utilities PO Box 3727
7	Des Moines IA 50303	Spokane WA 99220-3727
8	srevans@midamerican.com	don.falkner@avistacorp.com
9	Edward A Finklea	Ann L Fisher
10	Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen & Lloyd LLP	AF Legal & Consulting Services 2005 SW 71st Avenue
11	1001 SW 5 th Avenue, Suite 2000	Portland OR 97225-3705
12	Portland OR 97204 efinklea@chbh.com	energlaw@aol.com
13		
14	Andrea Fogue League of Oregon Cities	Kelly Francone Energy Strategies
15	PO Box 928	215 South State Street, Suite 200
16	Salem OR 97308 afogue@orcities.org	Salt Lake City UT 84111 kfrancone@energystrat.com
17		
18	Paul Graham Department of Justice	Robert Jenks Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
19	Regulated Utility & Business Section	610 SW Broadway, Suite 308
20	1162 Court Street NE Salem OR 97301-4096	Portland OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org
21	paul.graham@state.or.us	
22	Judy Johnson	Jason W Jones
23	Public Utility Commission	Department of Justice
24	PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148	Regulated Utility & Business Section 1162 Court Street NE
25	judy.johnson@state.or.us	Salem OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.or.us
26		And the second s

Page 2 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (AR 499)

Page 3 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (AR 499)

Page 4 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (AR 499)

Page 5 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (AR 499)

26