BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 2092

Served electronically at Salem, Oregon, 05/14/2020, to:

Respondent's Attorney Douglas C. Tingey Portland General Electric Company doug.tingey@pgn.com Complainant's Attorneys & Representative Irion A. Sanger Sanger Law, PC <u>irion@sanger-law.com</u>

Joni L. Sliger Sanger Law, PC joni@sanger-law.com

Stephen Gates Dunn Rd Solar, LLC 1327 SE Tacoma St #235 Portland, OR 97202 sgates@neighborhoodpower.com

Re: UM 2092, DUNN RD SOLAR, LLC, Complainant vs. PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Respondent

Dunn Rd Solar, LLC has filed a complaint against Portland General Electric Company. A copy of the complaint is attached and served on Respondent, under ORS 756.512(1). The Commission has assigned Docket No. UM 2092 to this complaint. Please use this number whenever you refer to this case.

The Public Utility Commission must receive an Answer from the Respondent or its attorneys by June 3, 2020, under OAR 860-001-0400(4)(a). A copy must be served on the complainant.

After the filing of the answer, the PUC will contact the parties to provide information about further proceedings in this matter.

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

/s/Cheryl Walker Cheryl Walker Administrative Specialist 2 Administrative Hearings Division (503) 378-2849

c: Helen Parker, <u>Helen.Parker@pgn.com</u>

NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

Hearing: The time and place of any hearing held in these proceedings will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 through 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the Commission's website at <u>www.puc.state.or.us</u>. The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

Right to Attorney: As a party to these proceedings, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission Staff, if participating as a party in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Generally, once a hearing has begun, you will not be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

Notice to Active Duty Servicemembers: Active Duty Servicemembers have a right to stay these proceedings under the federal Servicemembers Civil Relief Act. For more information contact the Oregon State Bar at 800-452-8260, the Oregon Military Department at 503-584-3571 or the nearest United States Armed Forces Legal Assistance Office through <u>http://legalassistance.law.af.mil</u>. The Oregon Military Department does not have a toll free telephone number.

Administrative Law Judge: The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope of an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJs make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

Hearing Rights: You have the right to respond to all issues identified and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 through OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 through 860-001-0540.

Evidence: Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450. Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Generally, once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

Record: The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio digital recording, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request, if available, a copy of the audio recording from the Commission for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060. The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

Final Order and Appeal: After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.610.

Irion A. Sanger OSB No. 003750 Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503-756-7533 (tel.) 503-334-2235 (fax) irion@sanger-law.com

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

DUNN RD SOLAR, LLC,

Complainant,

v.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendant.

DOCKET NO. UM 2092

COMPLAINT

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a complaint ("Complaint") filed by Dunn Rd Solar, LLC ("Dunn Rd Solar" or "Complainant") with the Oregon Public Utility Commission (the "Commission" or "OPUC") against Portland General Electric Company ("PGE" or the "Company") under Oregon Revised Statute ("ORS") 756.500 and Oregon Administrative Rule ("OAR") 860-001-0170. Dunn Rd Solar and PGE are parties to a power purchase agreement ("PPA") for the output of the Dunn Rd Solar facility, which is a 1.85megawatt ("MW") solar qualifying facility ("QF") under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA"). Dunn Rd Solar seeks to conditionally terminate the PPA so that it can participate in the Community Solar Program ("CSP").

PGE has taken the position that Dunn Rd Solar cannot participate in the CSP unless the PPA is terminated. Further, PGE has taken the position that Section 9.5 of the PPA gives PGE the right to prevent QFs with executed PPAs, including terminated PPAs, from participating in the CSP. The Commission has recognized that Dunn Rd Solar meets all requirements for precertification in the CSP but faces practical barriers to participating as a result of the PPA in dispute. On May 5, 2020, the Commission awarded CSP pre-certification to the Dunn Rd Solar project conditional upon the termination of the PPA.¹

Dunn Rd Solar seeks to have the current PPA terminated if Dunn Rd Solar is able to be certified in the CSP. Conditional termination will avoid the uncertainty of developing without a PPA and the burden of potentially needing to negotiate to re-enter the terminated PPA. Further, Dunn Rd Solar seeks to have Section 9.5 deemed inapplicable to Dunn Rd Solar's participation in the CSP.

Dunn Rd Solar provided formal notice of conditional termination to PGE more than two months ago, on February 20, 2020. PGE responded on March 9, 2020, one day before the Commission's March 10, 2020 meeting. In its March 9 letter, PGE stated it would not be able to agree to allow Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA, even despite permitting other projects to terminate their PPAs in the past. Further, PGE claimed that Section 9.5 prevents Dunn Rd Solar from participating in the CSP. As of this filing, PGE

1

See In re Cmty. Solar Projects for Conditional Pre-Certification Consideration, Docket No. UM 1930, Order No. 20-159 at 1, Appendix A, 2 (May 7, 2020).

has not agreed to conditionally terminate the PPA and has not agreed that Dunn Rd Solar is even capable of terminating the PPA. Nor has PGE agreed not to dispute the applicability of Section 9.5 to Dunn Rd Solar or any other QF participating in the CSP.

Dunn Rd Solar requests expedited consideration of this complaint and Commission order no later than August 7, 2020. Dunn Rd Solar proposes that PGE file its Answer on or before June 12, 2020, followed by three simultaneous rounds of crossmotions for summary judgment, to be filed on July 3, 2020; July 17, 2020; and July 24, 2020.

Dunn Rd Solar and PGE have reached a settlement in principle that is being finalized. PGE has filed a tariff request to allocate all generic costs of the CSP to all customers.² Under the settlement, PGE and Dunn Rd Solar can enter into a mutual agreement for termination of Dunn Rd Solar's PPA, including Section 9.5, if the Commission approves this tariff request, and will support the expedited schedule for resolution of this complaint if the Commission does not timely approve the tariff. Under the settlement, Dunn Rd Solar will provide a statement of support explaining that the CSP furthers decarbonization of energy supply in Oregon and provides a public benefit and a public good that benefits all customers. Under the settlement, Dunn Rd Solar will not take a position on PGE's proposed tariff, but will support the Commission and PGE considering responsibility of program costs. Dunn Rd Solar has filed this complaint as a "placeholder" in the event that PGE does not agree to terminate the PPA and disputes Dunn Rd Solar's right to participate in the CSP. If PGE agrees to terminate the PPA and

2

PGE Schedule 136, Adv No 20-09 Cmty. Solar Cost Recovery Mechanism Update, Docket No. ADV 1112, PGE Advice No. 20-09 at 1-2 (May 4, 2020).

not to dispute Dunn Rd Solar's right to participate in the CSP, Dunn Rd Solar will

withdraw this complaint.

II. SERVICE

Copies of all pleadings and correspondence should be served on Complainant's

counsel and representatives at the addresses below:

Irion SangerStephen GatesSanger Law, PCDunn Rd Solar, LLC1041 SE 58th Place1327 SE Tacoma St #235Portland, OR 97215Portland, OR 97202irion@sanger-law.comsgates@neighborhoodpower.com

Joni L. Sliger Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 joni@sanger-law.com

In support of this Complaint, Complainant alleges as follows:

III. IDENTITY OF THE PARTIES

1. PGE is an investor-owned public utility regulated by the Commission

under ORS Chapter 757. PGE is headquartered at 121 Southwest Salmon Street,

Portland, Oregon 97204.

2. Dunn Rd Solar, LLC is an Oregon limited liability company, the owner of

a QF solar project, and will be the seller of the net output from that project. Dunn Rd

Solar's mailing address is 1327 SE Tacoma St #235 Portland, OR 97202.

IV. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND RULES

3. The Oregon statutes expected to be involved in this case include:

ORS 756.040-756.068, 756.500-756.558, 756.990, 757.020, 757.325, 757.386, 758.010-

758.035, and 758.505-758.555. The Oregon rules expected to be involved in this case include: OAR 860-001, 860-023, 860-024, 860-029, 860-082, and 860-088.

The federal statute expected to be involved in this case is PURPA: 16
USC 824a-3. The federal rules expected to be involved in this case include: 18 CFR
292.101-292.602 (2020).

V. JURISDICTION

5. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") adopted regulations and policies governing utility purchases from QFs under PURPA. 18 CFR 292.101-292.602. State regulatory agencies are required to implement FERC's regulations. *See* 16 USC 824a-3(f); *FERC v. Mississippi*, 456 U.S. 742, 751 (1982).

 The Commission is the Oregon state agency that implements the state and federal PURPA statutes. ORS 758.505(3); OAR 860-029-0001; *Snow Mountain Pine Co. v. Maudlin*, 84 Or App 590, 593 (1987). PGE is a public utility according to the definition in ORS 758.505(7). The Commission has the power and jurisdiction to hear complaints by QFs against public utilities, including PGE. ORS 756.040, 756.500-756.558, and 758.505-758.555; OAR 860-001-0010(3), 860-029-0030, and 860-088-0140.

7. The Commission has jurisdiction to represent the customers of any public utility, including interconnection customers, in all controversies respecting rates, valuations, service and all matters of which the Commission has jurisdiction, and has jurisdiction to protect customers, and the public generally, from unjust and unreasonable exactions and practices and to obtain for them adequate service at fair and reasonable rates. ORS 756.040.

8. The federal District Court and/or an Oregon Circuit Court has concurrent and may have exclusive jurisdiction over certain claims in this Complaint. However, Dunn Rd Solar understands that the Commission has held that it has primary and concurrent jurisdiction over post-contract execution claims involving PGE's standard PURPA PPA. *PGE v. Pac. Nw. Solar, LLC*, Docket No. UM 1894, Order No. 18-025 at 7 (Jan. 25, 2018); *PGE v. Alfalfa Solar*, Docket No. UM 1931, Order No. 18-174 at 3-4 (May 23, 2018). Dunn Rd Solar is not waiving any rights to have a District or Circuit court adjudicate and resolve its claims for relief by filing this Complaint before the Commission.

VI. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

9. The Dunn Rd Solar project will be a 1.85-megawatt ("MW") nameplate solar generation facility located in Clackamas County, Oregon.

10. The Dunn Rd Solar project will interconnect with PGE.

11. On March 29, 2018, Dunn Rd Solar executed a Standard Renewable In-System Variable PPA with PGE, which PGE counter-signed on April 19, 2018 (available at: <u>https://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/RPA/re143rpa17277.pdf</u>).

12. The PPA allows Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA for any reason.

13. On December 7, 2018 and again on October 1, 2019, PGE proposed to *clarify* its standard PPA forms by adding language explaining that one provision may apply when "Seller terminates this Agreement without cause."

14. If the PPA does not allow QFs to terminate without cause, then PGE's proposed revision would have been meaningless.

15. The PPA allows Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA without owing damages so long as Dunn Rd Solar provides notice of termination to PGE at a reasonable time prior to the start of delivery.

16. PGE has made statements that QFs can terminate standard PPAs.

17. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that "Developers can terminate contracts at no cost."

18. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that the "Standard Contract is a free option."

19. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that the "Standard contract provides QF developers a free option."

20. PGE has interpreted the standard PPA to be an option contract for QFs.

21. A QF can exercise its option by delivering power to PGE.

22. Dunn Rd Solar's scheduled date to begin initial deliveries to PGE was September 30, 2019.

23. Dunn Rd Solar's scheduled commercial operation date ("COD") was October 31, 2019.

24. As of the date of this filing, Dunn Rd Solar has not delivered any power to PGE.

25. As of the date of this filing, Dunn Rd Solar has not exercised its option under the standard PPA option contract.

26. PGE has established a practice of allowing QFs to terminate standard PPAs.

27. PGE has made assertions that the PPAs force PGE's customers to purchase wholesale power at above-market prices.

28. For example, on June 30, 2017, PGE asserted that standard avoided cost prices for solar QF PPAs cost PGE and its ratepayers "approximately \$30/MWh more than market for solar QF output."

29. For example, on June 30, 2017, PGE asserted that QFs "locking in inaccurate standard prices for 15 years will result in substantial and irreparable harm to PGE's customers."

30. For example, on March 9, 2020, PGE asserted that its customers are currently "paying above-market prices for power under the Avoided Cost rates established under PURPA and set by the Commission" for PGE's standard PPAs, including Dunn Rd Solar's PPA specifically.

31. At the time Dunn Rd Solar executed the PPA, Dunn Rd Solar believed and expected that the contract terms in PGE's standard PPAs would allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason.

32. Dunn Rd Solar's belief and expectation that PGE's standard PPAs allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason was based on PGE's practice of allowing QFs to terminate standard PPAs.

33. At the time Dunn Rd Solar executed the PPA, Dunn Rd Solar believed and expected that PGE would allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason.

34. Dunn Rd Solar's belief and expectation that PGE would allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason was based on PGE's assertions that the PPAs force PGE's customers to purchase wholesale power at above-market prices.

COMPLAINT

Page 8 of 17

35. Section 9.5 of the PPA states that "In the event PGE terminates this Agreement pursuant to this Section 9, and Seller wishes to again sell Net Output to PGE following such termination, PGE in its sole discretion may require that Seller shall do so subject to the terms of this Agreement, including but not limited to the Contract Price until the Term of this Agreement (as set forth in Section 2.3) would have run in due course had the Agreement remained in effect. At such time Seller and PGE agree to execute a written document ratifying the terms of this Agreement."

36. Under Section 2.3 of Dunn Rd Solar's PPA, the PPA's Term would run until October 31, 2039.

37. Section 9.5 only applies to PURPA sales subsequent to PPA termination from the QF directly to PGE for the QF's entire net output at a price higher than the terminated contract price.

38. Section 9.5 does not apply to subsequent sales to non-PGE buyers, including sales to PGE ratepayers as Subscribers.

39. Section 9.5 does not apply to subsequent sales to PGE at a price lower than the terminated contract price.

40. PGE's rate for unsubscribed energy will likely be substantially lower than the contract price in Dunn Rd Solar's standard PPA.

41. Section 9.5 does not apply to QFs participating in the CSP.

42. On February 15, 2019, PGE reached out to the developer of Dunn Rd Solar, Neighborhood Power Corporation ("Neighborhood Power"), to inquire about the possibility of PGE managing one or more of Neighborhood Power's solar projects as a CSP project.

COMPLAINT

Page 9 of 17

43. PGE and Neighborhood Power discussed the possibility of PGE serving as the CSP Project Manager for various Neighborhood Power solar projects, including Dunn Rd Solar and Mt Hope Solar.

44. PGE halted discussions with Neighborhood Power.

45. PGE and Neighborhood Power never reached an agreement about the possibility of PGE managing one or more of Neighborhood Power's solar projects as a CSP project.

46. Neighborhood Power OR, LLC registered as a project manager in the CSP.

47. On December 4, 2019, the CSP Program Administrator approved Neighborhood Power OR, LLC as a registered project manager.

48. On January 21, 2020, the CSP Program Administrator allowed project managers to submit applications for potential CSP projects.

49. On January 21, 2020, Neighborhood Power OR, LLC submitted an application to the CSP for the Dunn Rd Solar project.

50. On or about January 21, 2020, PGE submitted applications to the CSP for various QF projects, including Dunn Rd Solar, that are subject to standard PPAs between PGE and the project developers.

51. On January 21, 2020, the total number of applications to the CSP in PGE's service territory exceeded the available capacity.

52. On January 22, 2020, a lottery was run to determine queue order for the CSP in PGE's service territory.

53. On January 22, 2020, a queue order was established for the CSP in PGE's service territory.

54. On January 22, 2020, the CSP Program Administrator posted the queue order for the CSP in PGE's service territory on the CSP website (see

https://www.oregoncsp.org/p/ProjectQueue/).

55. On January 22, 2020, the Dunn Rd Solar was awarded a spot in the CSP queue in PGE's service territory.

56. When applying for the CSP, it was Dunn Rd Solar's belief and expectation that it could terminate the PPA for any reason.

57. On February 20, 2020, Dunn Rd Solar provided notice of conditional termination to PGE for the PPA, pursuant to Section 20.1 of the PPA.

58. PGE responded on March 9, 2020, one day before the Commission's March 10, 2020 meeting.

59. In its March 9 letter, PGE stated it would not be able to agree to allow Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA, even despite permitting other projects to terminate their PPAs in the past.

60. On April 29, 2020, Commission Staff recommended that the Commission conditionally pre-certify the Dunn Rd Solar project in the CSP.

61. The first condition requires Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA within six weeks of the Commission approving conditional pre-certification.

62. The second condition requires Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the PPA before the CSP project manager may enter contracts with CSP participants.

63. The third and final condition requires Dunn Rd Solar to provide documentation of termination to the CSP program administrator before the CSP program administrator will list the Dunn Rd Solar project on the CSP website.

64. On May 5, 2020, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to conditionally pre-certify the Dunn Rd Solar project in the CSP.

65. PGE has taken the position that any QF with an executed standard PPA is not eligible to participate in the CSP.

66. PGE has taken the position that Section 9.5 of the standard PPA gives PGE the right to prevent QFs with executed PPAs, including terminated PPAs, from participating in the CSP.

67. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not accepted Dunn Rd Solar's notice of conditional termination.

68. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed to conditionally terminate the Dunn Rd Solar PPA.

69. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed to conditionally terminate the Dunn Rd Solar PPA so that Dunn Rd Solar can participate in the CSP.

70. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed that Section 9.5 is inapplicable to Dunn Rd Solar's participation in the CSP.

71. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed not to dispute Dunn Rd Solar's right to participate in the CSP.

72. Dunn Rd Solar seeks relief so that it can participate in the CSP.

VII. LEGAL CLAIMS

73. Complainant re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs.

COMPLAINT

Page 12 of 17

74. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's standard PPA is an option contract, and Dunn Rd Solar seeks only to exercise its contractual right not to exercise its option in the event the Commission grants CSP certification to the Dunn Rd Solar project.

75. Alternatively, Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's standard PPA allows QFs to terminate and instead pay damages, if any exist.

76. Alternatively, Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because any party to a contract can anticipatorily repudiate the contract.

77. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to allow Dunn Rd Solar to terminate is a violation of the PPA.

78. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to allow Dunn Rd Solar to terminate is a violation of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

79. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Dunn Rd Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past statements that QFs can terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past statements.

80. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Dunn Rd Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past practice of allowing QFs to terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past practice.

81. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Dunn Rd Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past practice of agreeing to QFs' requests to terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past practice.

82. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE violated ORS 757.325 by unjustly discriminating against Dunn Rd Solar.

COMPLAINT

Page 13 of 17

83. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE violated ORS 757.325 by unjustly discriminating against Dunn Rd Solar and other QFs.

84. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to accept or agree to termination is imprudent.

85. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to accept or agree to termination is harmful to PGE's customers.

86. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate is contrary to the legislative policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.

87. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate is contrary to the Commission's policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.

88. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate on the grounds that Dunn Rd Solar's participation in the CSP would impose undue costs on PGE's ratepayers is contrary to the Commission's policy and orders.

89. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 does not apply to QFs in the CSP.

90. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 provides at most a discretionary right to PGE, and PGE exercising its discretion against QFs participating in the CSP is imprudent.

91. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 provides at most a discretionary right to PGE, and PGE exercising its discretion against QFs participating in the CSP is harmful to customers.

COMPLAINT

Page 14 of 17

92. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 provides at most a discretionary right to PGE, and PGE exercising its discretion against QFs participating in the CSP is contrary to the legislative policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.

93. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 provides at most a discretionary right to PGE, and PGE exercising its discretion against QFs participating in the CSP is contrary to the Commission's policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.

94. Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to relief because Section 9.5 provides at most a discretionary right to PGE, and PGE exercising its discretion against QFs participating in the CSP is contrary to the Commission's policy and orders.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Complainant respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order:

95. Finding that Dunn Rd Solar has a contractual right to terminate the PPA.

96. Finding that PGE is obligated to allow Dunn Rd Solar to terminate the

PPA.

97. Finding that Dunn Rd Solar is entitled to conditional termination of the PPA.

98. Directing PGE to conditionally terminate Dunn Rd Solar's PPA, per Dunn Rd Solar's notice of conditional termination.

99. Finding that PGE unjustly discriminated against Dunn Rd Solar.

100. Finding that PGE unjustly discriminated against Dunn Rd Solar and other QFs.

COMPLAINT

Page 15 of 17

101. Directing PGE to refrain from engaging in unjust discrimination.

102. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA is the prudent course of action.

103. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA is in the public interest.

104. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA does not affect Dunn Rd Solar's eligibility to participate in the CSP, including that Section 9.5 does not apply to Dunn Rd Solar's participation in the CSP.

105. Finding that the conditionally terminated PPA, including any surviving provisions, will not affect Dunn Rd Solar's eligibility to enter contracts with subscribers or owners pursuant to the CSP.

106. Finding that conditional termination of a pre-existing PURPA PPA does not affect a QF's eligibility to participate in the CSP.

107. Finding that allowing QFs to conditionally terminate their PPAs in order to participate in the CSP is the prudent course of action.

108. Finding that allowing QFs to conditionally terminate their PPAs in order to participate in the CSP is in the public interest.

109. Finding that Section 9.5 of the standard PPA does not apply to QFs in the CSP.

110. Alternatively, finding that PGE forfeiting its alleged right under Section9.5 for QFs in the CSP is the prudent course of action.

111. Alternatively, finding that PGE forfeiting its alleged right under Section9.5 for QFs participating in the CSP is in the public interest.

112. Finding that PGE has not acted prudently.

COMPLAINT

Page 16 of 17

113. Directing PGE to act prudently.

114. Finding that PGE has not acted in the public interest.

115. Directing PGE to act in the public interest.

116. Directing PGE to treat all established or aspiring CSP projects in a fair, just, and reasonable manner.

117. Instituting penalties up to \$10,000 pursuant to ORS 756.990 against PGE and paid by PGE's shareholders for each breach of the standard PPA's terms and for each violation of ORS 757.020, ORS 757.386, and ORS 758.525(2).

118. Granting any other such relief as the Commission deems necessary.

Dated this 14th day of May 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Lange

Irion A. Sanger Joni L. Sliger Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 Telephone: 503-756-7533 Fax: 503-334-2235 irion@sanger-law.com

Of Attorneys for Dunn Rd Solar, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that on May 14th, 2020, I filed the foregoing Complaint on behalf of Dunn Rd Solar, LLC with the Oregon Public Utility Commission by electronic communication as consistent with OAR 860-001-0170.

non Sange

Irion A. Sanger Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 Telephone: 503-756-7533 Fax: 503-334-2235 irion@sanger-law.com