# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**UM 2088** 

# Served electronically at Salem, Oregon, 05/13/2020, to:

Respondent's Attorney
Douglas C. Tingey
Portland General Electric Company
doug.tingey@pgn.com

Complainant's Attorneys & Representative Irion A. Sanger Sanger Law, PC <a href="mailto:irion@sanger-law.com">irion@sanger-law.com</a>

Joni L. Sliger Sanger Law, PC joni@sanger-law.com

Colin Murphy
Belvedere Solar, LLC
c/o Sulus Solar c/o WeWork
920 SW 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97204
Colin.murphy@sulus-solar.com

Re: UM 2088, BELVEDERE SOLAR, LLC, Complainant vs. PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Respondent

Belvedere Solar, LLC has filed a complaint against Portland General Electric Company. A copy of the complaint is attached and served on Respondent, under ORS 756.512(1). The Commission has assigned Docket No. UM 2088 to this complaint. Please use this number whenever you refer to this case.

The Public Utility Commission must receive an Answer from the Respondent or its attorneys by June 2, 2020, under OAR 860-001-0400(4)(a). A copy must be served on the complainant.

After the filing of the answer, the PUC will contact the parties to provide information about further proceedings in this matter.

### PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

/s/Cheryl Walker Cheryl Walker Administrative Specialist 2 Administrative Hearings Division (503) 378-2849

c: Helen Parker, Helen.Parker@pgn.com

Attachments: Complaint

Notice of Contested Case Rights and Procedures

#### NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

**Hearing:** The time and place of any hearing held in these proceedings will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 through 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the Commission's website at <a href="https://www.puc.state.or.us">www.puc.state.or.us</a>. The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

**Right to Attorney:** As a party to these proceedings, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission Staff, if participating as a party in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Generally, once a hearing has begun, you will not be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

**Notice to Active Duty Servicemembers:** Active Duty Servicemembers have a right to stay these proceedings under the federal Servicemembers Civil Relief Act. For more information contact the Oregon State Bar at 800-452-8260, the Oregon Military Department at 503-584-3571 or the nearest United States Armed Forces Legal Assistance Office through <a href="http://legalassistance.law.af.mil">http://legalassistance.law.af.mil</a>. The Oregon Military Department does not have a toll free telephone number.

**Administrative Law Judge:** The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope of an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJs make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

**Hearing Rights:** You have the right to respond to all issues identified and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 through OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 through 860-001-0540.

**Evidence:** Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450. Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Generally, once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

Apr. 2019 Page 1 of 2

# Notice of Contested Case Rights and Procedures continued

**Record:** The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio digital recording, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request, if available, a copy of the audio recording from the Commission for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060. The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

**Final Order and Appeal:** After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.610.

Apr. 2019 Page 2 of 2

Irion A. Sanger OSB No. 003750 Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 503-756-7533 (tel.) 503-334-2235 (fax) irion@sanger-law.com

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

BELVEDERE SOLAR, LLC,

Complainant,

v.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendant.

DOCKET NO. UM 2088

**COMPLAINT** 

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This is a complaint ("Complaint") filed by Belvedere Solar, LLC ("Belvedere Solar" or "Complainant") with the Oregon Public Utility Commission (the "Commission" or "OPUC") against Portland General Electric Company ("PGE" or the "Company") under Oregon Revised Statute ("ORS") 756.500 and Oregon Administrative Rule ("OAR") 860-001-0170. Belvedere Solar and PGE are parties to a power purchase agreement ("PPA") for the output of the Belvedere Solar facility, which is a 2.97-megawatt ("MW") solar qualifying facility ("QF") under the Public Utility Regulatory

COMPLAINT Page 1 of 14

Policies Act ("PURPA"). Belvedere Solar seeks to conditionally terminate the PPA so that it can participate in the Community Solar Program ("CSP").

PGE has taken the position that Belvedere Solar cannot participate in the CSP unless the PPA is terminated. The Commission has recognized that Belvedere Solar meets all requirements for precertification in the CSP but faces practical barriers to participating as a result of the PPA in dispute. On April 21, 2020, the Commission awarded CSP pre-certification to the Belvedere Solar project conditional upon the termination of the PPA.<sup>1</sup>

Belvedere Solar seeks to have the current PPA terminated if Belvedere Solar is able to be certified in the CSP. Conditional termination will avoid the uncertainty of developing without a PPA and the burden of potentially needing to negotiate to re-enter the terminated PPA.

Belvedere Solar provided formal notice of conditional termination to PGE on May 4, 2020. As of this filing, PGE has not responded, has not agreed to conditionally terminate the PPA, and has not agreed that Belvedere Solar is even capable of terminating the PPA.

Belvedere Solar requests expedited consideration of this complaint and Commission order no later than August 7, 2020. Belvedere Solar proposes that PGE file its Answer on or before June 12, 2020, followed by three simultaneous rounds of crossmotions for summary judgment, to be filed on July 3, 2020; July 17, 2020; and July 24, 2020.

COMPLAINT Page 2 of 14

1

See In re Cmty. Solar Projects for Conditional Pre-Certification Consideration, Docket No. UM 1930, Order No. 20-142 at 1, Appendix A, 2 (Apr. 27, 2020).

Belvedere Solar and PGE have reached a settlement in principle that is being finalized. PGE has filed a tariff request to allocate all generic costs of the CSP to all customers.<sup>2</sup> Under the settlement, PGE and Belvedere Solar will enter into a mutual agreement for termination of Belvedere Solar's PPA if the Commission approves this tariff request, and will support the expedited schedule for resolution of this complaint regarding the PPA terminations if the Commission does not timely approve the tariff. Under the settlement, Belvedere Solar will provide a statement of support explaining that the CSP furthers decarbonization of energy supply in Oregon and provides a public benefit and a public good that benefits all customers. Under the settlement, Belvedere Solar will not take a position on PGE's proposed tariff, but will support the Commission and PGE considering responsibility of program costs. Belvedere Solar has filed this complaint as a "placeholder" in the event that PGE does not agree to terminate its PPA. If PGE agrees to terminate its PPA, Belvedere Solar will withdraw this complaint.

#### II. SERVICE

Copies of all pleadings and correspondence should be served on Complainant's counsel and representatives at the addresses below:

Irion Sanger

Sanger Law, PC

1041 SE 58th Place
Portland, OR 97215
irion@sanger-law.com

Colin Murphy
Belvedere Solar, LLC
c/o Sulus Solar c/o WeWork
920 SW 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97204
Colin.murphy@sulus-solar.com

COMPLAINT Page 3 of 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PGE Schedule 136, Adv No 20-09 Cmty. Solar Cost Recovery Mechanism Update, Docket No. ADV 1112, PGE Advice No. 20-09 at 1-2 (May 4, 2020).

Joni L. Sliger Sanger Law, PC 1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215 joni@sanger-law.com

In support of this Complaint, Complainant alleges as follows:

#### III. IDENTITY OF THE PARTIES

- 1. PGE is an investor-owned public utility regulated by the Commission under ORS Chapter 757. PGE is headquartered at 121 Southwest Salmon Street, Portland, Oregon 97204.
- 2. Belvedere Solar, LLC is an Oregon limited liability company, the owner of a QF solar project, and will be the seller of the net output from that project. Belvedere Solar's mailing address is 920 SW 6th Ave, Portland, OR 97204.

#### IV. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND RULES

- 3. The Oregon statutes expected to be involved in this case include: ORS 756.040-756.068, 756.500-756.558, 756.990, 757.020, 757.325, 757.386, 758.010-758.035, and 758.505-758.555. The Oregon rules expected to be involved in this case include: OAR 860-001, 860-023, 860-024, 860-029, 860-082, and 860-088.
- 4. The federal statute expected to be involved in this case is PURPA: 16 USC 824a-3. The federal rules expected to be involved in this case include: 18 CFR 292.101-292.602 (2020).

# V. JURISDICTION

5. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") adopted regulations and policies governing utility purchases from QFs under PURPA. 18 CFR

COMPLAINT Page 4 of 14

- 292.101-292.602. State regulatory agencies are required to implement FERC's regulations. *See* 16 USC 824a-3(f); *FERC v. Mississippi*, 456 U.S. 742, 751 (1982).
- 6. The Commission is the Oregon state agency that implements the state and federal PURPA statutes. ORS 758.505(3); OAR 860-029-0001; *Snow Mountain Pine Co. v. Maudlin*, 84 Or App 590, 593 (1987). PGE is a public utility according to the definition in ORS 758.505(7). The Commission has the power and jurisdiction to hear complaints by QFs against public utilities, including PGE. ORS 756.040, 756.500-756.558, and 758.505-758.555; OAR 860-001-0010(3), 860-029-0030, and 860-088-0140.
- 7. The Commission has jurisdiction to represent the customers of any public utility, including interconnection customers, in all controversies respecting rates, valuations, service and all matters of which the Commission has jurisdiction, and has jurisdiction to protect customers, and the public generally, from unjust and unreasonable exactions and practices and to obtain for them adequate service at fair and reasonable rates. ORS 756.040.
- 8. The federal District Court and/or an Oregon Circuit Court has concurrent and may have exclusive jurisdiction over certain claims in this Complaint. However, Belvedere Solar understands that the Commission has held that it has primary and concurrent jurisdiction over post-contract execution claims involving PGE's standard PURPA PPA. *PGE v. Pac. Nw. Solar, LLC*, Docket No. UM 1894, Order No. 18-025 at 7 (Jan. 25, 2018); *PGE v. Alfalfa Solar*, Docket No. UM 1931, Order No. 18-174 at 3-4 (May 23, 2018). Belvedere Solar is not waiving any rights to have a District or Circuit

COMPLAINT Page 5 of 14

court adjudicate and resolve its claims for relief by filing this Complaint before the Commission.

#### VI. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The Belvedere Solar project will be a 2.97-MW nameplate solar generation facility located in Marion County, Oregon.
  - 10. The Belvedere Solar project will interconnect with PGE.
- 11. On August 28, 2019, Belvedere Solar executed a Standard Renewable In-System Variable PPA with PGE, which PGE counter-signed on September 9, 2019 (available at: <a href="https://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/HAQ/re143haq15618.pdf">https://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/HAQ/re143haq15618.pdf</a>).
  - 12. The PPA allows Belvedere Solar to terminate the PPA for any reason.
- 13. On December 7, 2018 and again on October 1, 2019, PGE proposed to *clarify* its standard PPA forms by adding language explaining that one provision applies when "Seller terminates this Agreement without cause."
- 14. If the PPA does not allow QFs to terminate without cause, then PGE's proposed revision would have been meaningless.
- 15. Section 9.5 of Belvedere Solar's PPA does not apply to sales to non-PGE buyers, including sales to PGE ratepayers as CSP participants.
- 16. The PPA allows Belvedere Solar to terminate the PPA without owing damages so long as Belvedere Solar provides notice of termination to PGE at a reasonable time prior to the start of delivery.
  - 17. PGE has made statements that QFs can terminate standard PPAs.
- 18. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that "Developers can terminate contracts at no cost."

COMPLAINT Page 6 of 14

- 19. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that the "Standard Contract is a free option."
- 20. On January 31, 2019, PGE stated that the "Standard contract provides QF developers a free option."
  - 21. PGE has interpreted the standard PPA to be an option contract for QFs.
  - 22. A QF can exercise its option by delivering power to PGE.
- 23. Belvedere Solar's scheduled date to begin initial deliveries to PGE is April 1, 2022.
  - 24. Belvedere Solar's scheduled commercial operation date is May 2, 2022.
- 25. As of the date of this filing, Belvedere Solar has not delivered any power to PGE.
- 26. As of the date of this filing, Belvedere Solar has not exercised its option under the standard PPA option contract.
- 27. PGE has established a practice of allowing QFs to terminate standard PPAs.
- 28. For example, PGE has allowed Sulus Solar, LLC ("Sulus Solar"), the developer for Belvedere Solar, to terminate eleven (11) of PGE's standard PPAs in the past year.
- 29. PGE has required Sulus Solar to terminate a standard PPA before making a mutually beneficial amendment to the PPA.
- 30. On December 6, 2018, PGE informed Sulus Solar that amendment first requires a developer to "[t]erminate relevant PPAs in accordance with section 20.1 of the executed PPAs" and "[a]fter termination, place a request for a new PPA."

COMPLAINT Page 7 of 14

- 31. PGE has made assertions that the PPAs force PGE's customers to purchase wholesale power at above-market prices.
- 32. For example, on June 30, 2017, PGE asserted that standard avoided cost prices for solar QF PPAs cost PGE and its ratepayers "approximately \$30/MWh more than market for solar QF output."
- 33. For example, on June 30, 2017, PGE asserted that QFs "locking in inaccurate standard prices for 15 years will result in substantial and irreparable harm to PGE's customers."
- 34. At the time Belvedere Solar executed the PPA, Belvedere Solar believed and expected that the contract terms in PGE's standard PPAs would allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason.
- 35. Belvedere Solar's belief and expectation that PGE's standard PPAs allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason was based on PGE's statements that QFs can terminate standard PPAs.
- 36. Belvedere Solar's belief and expectation that PGE's standard PPAs allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason was based on PGE's practice of allowing QFs to terminate standard PPAs.
- 37. Belvedere Solar's belief and expectation that PGE would allow any QF to terminate a standard PPA for any reason was based on PGE's assertions that the PPAs force PGE's customers to purchase wholesale power at above-market prices.
- 38. On January 7, 2020, Sulus Solar registered as a project manager in the CSP.

COMPLAINT Page 8 of 14

- 39. On January 7, 2020, the CSP Program Administrator approved Sulus Solar as a registered project manager.
- 40. On January 21, 2020, the CSP Program Administrator allowed project managers to submit applications for potential CSP projects.
- 41. On January 21, 2020, Sulus Solar submitted an application to the CSP for the Belvedere Solar project.
- 42. On or about January 21, 2020, PGE submit applications to the CSP for various QF projects that are subject to standard PPAs between PGE and the project developers.
- 43. On January 21, 2020, the total number of applications to the CSP in PGE's service territory exceeded the available capacity.
- 44. On January 22, 2020, a lottery was run to determine queue order for the CSP in PGE's service territory.
- 45. On January 22, 2020, a queue order was established for the CSP in PGE's service territory.
- 46. On January 22, 2020, the CSP Program Administrator posted the queue order for the CSP in PGE's service territory on the CSP website (see <a href="https://www.oregoncsp.org/p/ProjectQueue/">https://www.oregoncsp.org/p/ProjectQueue/</a>).
- 47. On January 22, 2020, the Belvedere Solar project was awarded a spot in the CSP in PGE's service territory.
- 48. When applying for the CSP, it was Belvedere Solar's belief and expectation that it could terminate the PPA for any reason.

COMPLAINT Page 9 of 14

- 49. On April 13, 2020, Commission Staff recommended that the Commission conditionally pre-certify the Belvedere Solar project in the CSP.
- 50. The first condition requires Belvedere Solar to terminate the PPA within six weeks of the Commission approving conditional pre-certification.
- 51. The second condition requires Belvedere Solar to terminate the PPA before the CSP project manager may enter contracts with CSP participants.
- 52. The third and final condition requires Belvedere Solar to provide documentation of termination to the CSP program administrator before the CSP program administrator will list the Belvedere Solar project on the CSP website.
- 53. On April 21, 2020, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to conditionally pre-certify the Belvedere Solar project in the CSP.
- 54. On May 11, 2020, Belvedere Solar provided notice of conditional termination to PGE for the PPA, pursuant to Section 20.1 of the PPA.
- 55. As of this filing, PGE has not responded or acknowledged Belvedere Solar's notice of conditional termination.
- 56. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed to conditionally terminate the Belvedere Solar PPA.
- 57. As of the date of this filing, PGE has not agreed to conditionally terminate the Belvedere Solar PPA so that Belvedere Solar can participate in the CSP.
- 58. PGE has taken the position that any QF with an executed standard PPA is not eligible to participate in the CSP.
  - 59. Belvedere Solar seeks relief so that it can participate in the CSP.

COMPLAINT Page 10 of 14

#### VII. LEGAL CLAIMS

- 60. Complainant re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs.
- 61. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's standard PPA is an option contract, and Belvedere Solar seeks only to exercise its contractual right not to exercise its option in the event the Commission grants CSP certification to the Belvedere Solar project.
- 62. Alternatively, Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's standard PPA allows QFs to terminate and instead pay damages, if any exist.
- 63. Alternatively, Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because any party to a contract can anticipatorily repudiate the contract.
- 64. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to allow Belvedere Solar to terminate is a violation of the PPA.
- 65. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to allow Belvedere Solar to terminate is a violation of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- 66. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because Belvedere Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past statements that QFs can terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past statements.
- 67. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because Belvedere Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past practice of allowing QFs to terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past practice.
- 68. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because Belvedere Solar detrimentally relied on PGE's past practice of agreeing to QFs' requests to terminate the standard PPA and detrimental reliance legally estops PGE from contradicting its past practice.

COMPLAINT Page 11 of 14

- 69. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE violated ORS 757.325 by unjustly discriminating against Belvedere Solar.
- 70. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE violated ORS 757.325 by unjustly discriminating against Belvedere Solar and other QFs.
- 71. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to accept or agree to termination is imprudent.
- 72. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to accept or agree to termination is harmful to PGE's customers.
- 73. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate is contrary to the legislative policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.
- 74. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate is contrary to the Commission's policy of having a CSP in PGE's service territory.
- 75. Belvedere Solar is entitled to relief because PGE's refusal to agree to terminate on the grounds that Belvedere Solar's participation in the CSP would impose undue costs on PGE's ratepayers is contrary to the Commission's policy and orders.

#### VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Complainant respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order:

- 76. Finding that Belvedere Solar has a contractual right to terminate the PPA.
- 77. Finding that PGE is obligated to allow Belvedere Solar to terminate the PPA.

COMPLAINT Page 12 of 14

- 78. Finding that Belvedere Solar is entitled to conditional termination of the PPA.
- 79. Directing PGE to conditionally terminate Belvedere Solar's PPA, per Belvedere Solar's notice of conditional termination.
  - 80. Finding that PGE unjustly discriminated against Belvedere Solar.
- 81. Finding that PGE unjustly discriminated against Belvedere Solar and other QFs.
  - 82. Directing PGE to refrain from engaging in unjust discrimination.
- 83. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA is the prudent course of action.
  - 84. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA is in the public interest.
- 85. Finding that conditional termination of the PPA does not affect Belvedere Solar's eligibility to participate in the CSP, including that Section 9.5 does not apply to Belvedere Solar's ability to participate in the CSP.
- 86. Finding that the conditionally terminated PPA, including any surviving provisions, will not affect Belvedere Solar's eligibility to enter contracts with subscribers or owners pursuant to the CSP.
- 87. Finding that conditional termination of a pre-existing PURPA PPA does not affect a QF's eligibility to participate in the CSP.
- 88. Finding that allowing QFs to conditionally terminate their PPAs in order to participate in the CSP is the prudent course of action.
- 89. Finding that allowing QFs to conditionally terminate their PPAs in order to participate in the CSP is in the public interest.

COMPLAINT Page 13 of 14

90. Finding that PGE has not acted prudently.

91. Directing PGE to act prudently.

92. Finding that PGE has not acted in the public interest.

93. Directing PGE to act in the public interest.

94. Directing PGE to treat all established or aspiring CSP projects in a fair,

just, and reasonable manner.

95. Instituting penalties up to \$10,000 pursuant to ORS 756.990 against PGE

and paid by PGE's shareholders for each breach of the standard PPA's terms and for each

violation of ORS 757.020, ORS 757.386, and ORS 758.525(2).

96. Granting any other such relief as the Commission deems necessary.

Dated this 13th day of May 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Irion A. Sanger

Joni L. Sliger

Sanger Law, PC

1041 SE 58th Place

Portland, OR 97215

Telephone: 503-756-7533

Fax: 503-334-2235 irion@sanger-law.com

Of Attorneys for Belvedere Solar, LLC

COMPLAINT Page 14 of 14

## **CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I certify that on May 13th, 2020, I filed the foregoing Complaint on behalf of Belvedere Solar, LLC with the Oregon Public Utility Commission by electronic communication as consistent with OAR 860-001-0170.

Irion A. Sanger

Sanger Law, PC

1041 SE 58th Place Portland, OR 97215

Telephone: 503-756-7533

Fax: 503-334-2235 irion@sanger-law.com