8113 W. GRANDRIDGE BLVD., KENNEWICK, WASHINGTON 99336-7166 TELEPHONE 509-734-4500 FACSIMILE 509-737-9803 www.cngc.com

March 31, 2015

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center 3930 Fairview Industrial Drive, SE Salem, OR 97302-1166

RE: Advice O15-03-01/UG-287 Cascade Natural Gas Corporation's Request for General Rate Revision

In accordance with Oregon Administrative Rules, Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade or Company), respectfully submits an original and 20 copies of the Company's executive summary, testimony, and associated exhibits in support of its request for a general rate revision associated with the Company's Tariff P.U.C. OR No. 9. The Company is requesting the proposed revisions to the following enclosed tariff sheets:

Fourth Revision Sheet No. 30	Canceling	Third Revision Sheet No. 30
Ninth Revision Sheet No. 31	Canceling	Eighth Revision Sheet No. 31
First Revision Sheet No. 31-A	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 31-A
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 101	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 101
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 104	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 104
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 105	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 105
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 111	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 111
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 163	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 163
First Revision Sheet No. 163-A	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 163-A
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 164	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 164
Second Revision Sheet No. 164-A	Canceling	First Revision Sheet No. 164-A
Eighth Revision Sheet No. 170	Canceling	Seventh Revision Sheet No. 170
First Revision Sheet No. 205	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 205
First Revision Sheet No. 206	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 206
First Revision Sheet No. 207	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 207
First Revision Sheet No. 208	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 208
First Revision Sheet No. 209	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 209
First Revision Sheet No. 220	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 220
First Revision Sheet No. 220-A	Canceling	Original Sheet No. 220-A

Please note that Cascade's CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit Nos. 201, 204, and 310 are being provided on a separate CD. Additionally, all supporting workpapers will be provided on a separate CD as well.

Finally, copies of the Company's responses to the Standard Data Requests are being uploaded to Huddle.

Please direct any questions regarding this filing to me at (509) 734-4593.

Sincerely,

Michael Parvinen

Director, Regulatory Affairs

Attachments

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UG 287

In the Matter of
CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION
Application for a General Rate Revision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

PAGE 1 -

CASCADE'S EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade or Company) is filing a general rate increase with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), pursuant to ORS 757.205, 757.215 and 757.220, to revise its schedules of rates and charges for natural gas service in Oregon to become effective with service provided on and after April 30, 2015. With this filing, the Company requests a revision to customer rates that will increase the Company's annual Oregon jurisdictional revenues by \$3,622,770 million, for an increase of 5.11 percent over current customer rates.

The revised rates produce revenues necessary to sustain the provision of safe, reliable, and low-cost natural gas service to customers in Oregon, while preserving the Company's ability to attract capital for future investments. The Company files this Executive Summary in accordance with OAR 860-022-0019(1). Exhibit A to the Executive Summary provides the required information in accordance with OAR 860-022-0019(1)(a)-(h).

II. BACKGROUND

Cascade is headquartered in Kennewick, Washington and provides natural gas distribution services in 96 communities in Washington and Oregon. Cascade has 272,884 customers, of which 68,337 are in Oregon, and serves 25 communities in Oregon, the largest of which are Bend, Baker, and Pendleton. In 2007, Cascade became a wholly-owned subsidiary of

- 1 by Montana Dakota Utilities Resources Group, Inc. (MDU Resources), which is located in
- 2 Bismarck, North Dakota.
- 3 Cascade is a public utility providing natural gas service in Oregon within the meaning of
- 4 ORS 757.005. The Company is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission, the Washington
- 5 Utilities and Transportation Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and the
- 6 Department of Transportation.
- 7 Communications regarding this filing should be addressed to:

Michael Parvinen
Director of Regulatory Affairs
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation
8113 West Grandridge Boulevard
Kennewick, WA 99336-7166
Telephone: (509)734-4593

Facsimile: (509)737-7166 Email: Michael.Parvinen@cngc.com Lisa Rackner McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC 419 SW 11th Avenue, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205

Telephone: 503-595-3925 Facsimile: 503-595-3928 Email: lisa@mcd-law.com

- 8 Communications regarding discovery matters, including data requests issued to the Company,
- 9 should be addressed to:

Michael Parvinen
Director of Regulatory Affairs
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation
8113 West Grandridge Boulevard
Kennewick, WA 99336-7166
Telephone: (509)734-4593

Facsimile: (509)737-7166

Email: Michael.Parvinen@cngc.com

Lisa Rackner
McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC
419 SW 11th Avenue, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97205
Telephone: 503-595-3925
Eastimile: 503-595-3928

Facsimile: 503-595-3928 Email: <u>lisa@mcd-law.com</u>

III. CASE SUMMARY

10 A. The Test Year

- The Company's test year in this case is the twelve months ending December 31, 2015
- 12 (Test Year). Cascade provides information for a historical base year of the twelve months
- ending December 31, 2014 (Base Year), and makes adjustments to that information to reflect
- 14 the forecast Test Year. In order to meet the legal requirement that rates be fair, just,

- 1 reasonable, and sufficient, the Company has selected a test year that closely reflects the
- 2 investment and expense levels that will exist during the time that the rates adopted in this case
- 3 are expected to be in effect. The new rates are filed with a requested effective date of April 30,
- 4 2015. Assuming the addition of the full nine-month statutory suspension period to the 30-day
- 5 effective date now contained in the tariffs, the new rates would become effective February 1,
- 6 2016.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

B. Return on Equity

The Company's current return on equity (ROE) is 10.1 percent, as established in the

Staff Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Docket UG 173 (2006

Staff Investigation). In this case, the Company seeks an ROE of 9.55 percent. The Company

believes that a ROE of 9.55% represents a fair return for both shareholders and customers.

C. Factors Driving Rate Adjustment

As described in the testimony of Scott W. Madison, the two main drivers for Cascade's request for a rate adjustment are the Company's pipeline investments to replace aging and atrisk infrastructure, and the Company's addition of personnel to manage these pipeline replacement and upgrade projects. Another factor contributing to Cascade's need for a rate adjustment is Cascade's request for recovery of environmental remediation expenses, as described in the testimony of Michael P. Parvinen.

1. Safety-Driven Pipeline Replacement

The primary factor driving Cascade's need for a rate increase is the need to replace portions of its existing pipeline system to ensure the provision of safe and reliable service. Through implementation of the Company's Distribution Integrity Management Process (DIMP), Cascade fully evaluated the physical condition of its distribution pipeline system and identified a number of at-risk areas that could eventually impact the Company's ability to provide safe reliable service and that have been prioritized for replacement. Over the last three years, as Cascade has initiated replacement of the at-risk sections of its distribution pipeline system,

Cascade has devoted a significant amount of capital to these projects. As Cascade continues this work to ensure the reliability and integrity of its system, the Company will continue to dedicate substantial resources to this effort. The Company's five-year capital budget includes system improvement or replacement costs of \$3.4million in 2015 and \$8.0 to \$12.6 million for each year during the years 2016–2019.

To address the rate pressure that Cascade's capital investments will create, Cascade is proposing a Pipeline Cost Recovery Mechanism (CRM). The CRM is a mechanism designed to provide timely recovery of costs incurred to promote the safety and reliability of Cascade's distribution system. Cascade proposes to file for recovery of its annual pipeline investment concurrently with its annual Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA) filings on August 1, with an effective date of November 1. The August 1 filing will request recovery of investment from September 1 of the previous year until August 30 of the current year. For September through June, Cascade will provide actual costs, while the costs for July and August will be based on projections. Cascade will file an update concurrently with the PGA update on September 15, which will then include actual investments through August 31 of the current year; accordingly, all investments will be in service at the time of final review. The CRM is an essential piece of Cascade's proposal to recover its substantial investments in pipeline safety and reliability. Cascade anticipates the need to file perpetual rate cases if the CRM is not approved.

2. Labor Additions

Cascade is anticipating a net gain of 15 employees during 2015. Ten of the 15 personnel additions are needed to manage the workload associated with increased investment in replacement of at-risk portions of the distribution system. Two positions are being added to create a more dynamic safety program and provide better training options for our field personnel. One position is being added to the regulatory department based on increased workload anticipating more frequent rate cases. Another position is added in Gas Supply and

one in Procurement for inventory control. These new positions result in an additional revenue need of \$608,000.

3. Environmental Remediation

Cascade is a major party to the environmental remediation of a property in Eugene (Eugene Remediation Site) on which a Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) historically operated. In docket UM 1636, Cascade requested authorization to defer expenses associated with the Eugene Remediation Site, and in accordance with Order No. 13-004, Cascade began deferring expenses associated with the Eugene Remediation site on December 1, 2012. At the time of the request for deferral, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) had not yet issued the Record of Decision (ROD), and accordingly the scope of the remediation effort and associated costs were not known. The DEQ issued a final ROD for the Eugene Remediation Site in January 2015, and Cascade now has an understanding of its remediation obligations. Cascade anticipates that its share of the costs will be \$1,736,300 over the next three years. Additionally, Cascade is expecting a total of approximately \$186,000 in insurance proceeds over the course of the next year. Cascade proposes to include in rates the total expected cost of remediation plus the deferral balance as of December 31, 2014, less expected insurance proceeds divided by the three year remediation period which equates to \$468,637.

D. Cost Control Efforts

Cascade has a history of mitigating increased cost pressures in order to avoid filing rate cases—in fact, Cascade's most recent rate case filing in Oregon was in 1988. The 2006 Staff Investigation resulted in a slight reduction to rates. Since the acquisition by MDU Resources in 2007, Cascade has found synergy savings through shared resources, including joint senior management, a unified call center, joint billing facility and process, and uniform accounting and customer information system software. The rate request in this case reflects the Company's efforts to aggressively manage its costs, while continuing to provide safe and reliable service. Also, as discussed in the testimony of Mark A. Chiles, Cascade has prepared an Administrative

- and General (A&G) expense study, and demonstrates that Cascade's A&G expenses are in line with the A&G expenses of other utilities.
 - Importantly, the Company has implemented its cost controls without compromising the safety or reliability of its service. The increase in the Cascade's revenue requirement in this case includes costs associated with advancing the Company's goals of providing safe and reliable natural gas service.

IV. TESTIMONY SUMMARY

- 7 The Company's direct case consists of the testimony and exhibits of 6 witnesses:
- In CNGC/100, Scott W. Madison, Executive Vice President and General Manager,
 provides a general overview of the Company, explains the primary drivers of the rate
 increase, and introduces the Company witnesses and briefly describes their testimony;
 - In CNGC/200, Mark A. Chiles, Vice President and Controller for Cascade, provides the Company's capital structure, the proposed cost of embedded debt, the overall rate of return, and presents the Company's Administrative and General (A&G) expense study and analysis of the Company's A&G costs.
 - In CNGC/300, Michael Parvinen, Director of Regulatory Affairs, presents the overall
 revenue requirement, the Company's proposal to continue the Conservation Alliance
 Plan (including decoupling), the proposed pipeline CRM, the Environmental Remediation
 Recovery proposal, and explains the Company's philosophy underlying its basic charge
 requests in this case.
- In CNGC/400, Micah Robinson, Cascade's consultant from MRE Consulting, discusses
 the forecasted load determination used in 2015 Revenue Adjustment presented by Mr.
 Parvinen.
- In CNGC/500, Ron Amen, Cascade's consultant from Black & Veatch Corporation,
 presents the Company's long-run incremental cost study for the Oregon service territory,

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

discusses his study results, and describes how each schedule's present and proposed 1 2 rate compares to the indicated cost. In CNGC/600, Pamela Archer, Supervisor of Regulatory Analysis, discusses the 3 proposed tariff changes. 4

V. CONCLUSION

The Company requests that the Commission issue an order approving of the proposed rate changes and approving the proposed tariffs.

7

5

6

DATED: March 31, 2015

McDowell Rackner & GIBSON PC

Lisa F. Rackner Jocelyn C. Pease

Attorneys for Cascade

Exhibit A to Cascade's Executive Summary Summary of Requested General Rate Increase

Filed March 31, 2015

Total Revenues Collected Under Proposed Rates:

\$ 74,522,899

Revenue Change Requested:

\$ 3,622,770

Revenues Net of any Credits from Federal Agencies:

\$ 74,522,899

Percentage Change in Revenues Requested:

5.11%

Percentage Change in Revenues

Net of any Credits from Federal Agencies:

5.11%

Test Period:

January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015

Requested Overall Rate of Return

7.47%

Requested Rate of Return on Equity:

9.55%

Proposed Rate Base:

\$85,634,892

Results of Operation¹

Before Proposed Rate Change

Utility Operating Income: \$5,527,156
Average Rate Base: \$71,016,067
Rate of Return on Capital: 7.78%

Rate of Return on Equity:

10.06%

After Proposed Rate Change²
Utility Operating Income:

Utility Operating Income:\$6,393,456Average Rate Base:\$85,634,892

Rate of Return on Capital: 7.47%
Rate of Return on Equity: 9.55%

Effect of Rate Change on Each Customer Class

Customer class	% Change
Residential - 101	3.48%
Commercial - 104	5.98%
Industrial - 105	6.78%
Large Volume - 111	5.82%
General Distribution - 163	29%
General Distribution - 164	27%
Interruptible - 170	0.57%

McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC 419 SW Eleventh Avenue, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205

¹ Based upon the Company's 2014 Results of Operations.

² Based upon the Company's 2015 general rate case filing.