CARRIER-TO-CARRIER AGREEMENT CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete all applicable parts of this form and submit it with related materials when filing a carrier-to-carrier agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252 and OAR 860-016-0000 et al. The Commission will utilize the information contained in this form to determine how to process the filing.

	PARTIES	Requesting Carrier	Affected Carrier
Name:	Priorit	y One Telecommunications, Inc	Qwest Corporation
Address	: Attn: Kelly Mutch		1801 California Street Suite 2410
	808 A	dams Avenue	Denver, Colorado 80202
	La Gra	ande, Oregon 97850	Attn: Heidi Higer
2.	PRIMARY CON	NTACT PERSON FOR PROCESSIN	NG INFORMATION:
Name:	W. Scott Mc	Collough	Phone: (512) 485-7920
Address	: 1801 N. Lam	ar Suite 104	Fax: (512) 485-7921
		s 78701	E-Mail: wsmc@aus.scmplaw.com
3. _X	TYPE OF FILING (Check all that apply. For example, parties seeking to adopt a previously approved agreement with new negotiated amendments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) AdoptionAdopts interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission.		
	Parties to prior agreement_Qwest Corporation & _Integra Telecom of Oregon		
	Approved in Docket ARB_219, Order No(s)		
	Approved in Doc.	ket ARB 219 . Orde	r No(s).
	Does filing adopt	ket ARB_219, Orde amendments to base agreement previous	
	Does filing adopt NO	amendments to base agreement previous	ously approved by the Commission?
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a	amendments to base agreement previous	ously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s). 00575; 00-629; 01-793; 01917; 02-081; 02-24
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement:	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreement	ously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s). 00575; 00-629; 01-793; 01917; 02-081; 02-24
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement:	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreement	ously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s). 00575; 00-629; 01-793; 01917; 02-081; 02-24
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, average YES, average Agreement: Does this filing recognition in the property of the p	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreeplace an agreement between the same	ously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s). 00575; 00-629; 01-793; 01917; 02-081; 02-24
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement: Does this filing re NO YES, approx	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreeplace an agreement between the same	pusly approved by the Commission?
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement: Does this filing re NO YES, appro Amendment: Am	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreeplace an agreement between the same approved in Docket ARB	pusly approved by the Commission?
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement: Does this filing re NO YES, approv Amendment: Am If the original agr	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agreeplace an agreement between the same eved in Docket ARB ends an existing carrier-to-carrier agree	pusly approved by the Commission?
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement: Does this filing re NO YES, appro Amendment: Am If the original agr NO, decisio	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agree eplace an agreement between the same eved in Docket ARB ends an existing carrier-to-carrier agreement was negotiated, has it been appending in Docket ARB	ously approved by the Commission?
	Does filing adopt NO X YES, a New Agreement: Does this filing re NO YES, approv Amendment: Am If the original agr NO, decisio YES, approv	amendments to base agreement previous approved in Docket ARB219 Seeks approval of new negotiated agree eplace an agreement between the same eved in Docket ARB ends an existing carrier-to-carrier agreement was negotiated, has it been appearing pending in Docket ARB ved in Docket ARB	ously approved by the Commission?

STUMPF CRADDOCK MASSEY & PULMAN

W. SCOTT MCCOLLOUGH wsmc@aus.scmplaw.com TELEPHONE (512) 485-7920 FACSIMILE (512) 485-7921 WEBSITE www.scmplaw.com A Professional Corporation Attorneys at Law 1801 N. Lamar Blvd, Suite 104 Austin, TX 78701

HOUSTON, TEXAS SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS BEAUMONT, TEXAS

July 15, 2002

Heidi Higer Qwest Corporation 1801 California Street, Suite 2410 Denver, Colorado 80202 Fax: (303) 965-3527

Re: PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc. (Oregon)

Dear Ms. Higer:

This notice is provided on behalf of PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc. ("PriorityOne"). The undersigned is authorized to provide this notice on behalf of PriorityOne. PriorityOne is a "requesting carrier" under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and was granted a service provider certificate of operating authority by the Oregon Public Utility Commission on January 31, 2000 in Docket Number CP 728, Order Number 00-044.

PriorityOne has decided to exercise the rights granted by Section 252(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, as implemented by FCC rule, 47, C.F.R. Section 51.809, and hereby adopts the terms, conditions and prices contained in the current Interconnection Agreement between Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, ARB 219 for the State of Oregon. PriorityOne has chosen to adopt the Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc. agreement in its entirety, including all amendments approved or pending before the Oregon Public Utility Commission as of today's date.

PriorityOne's contact information is as follows:

Kelly Mutch CEO PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc. 808 Adams Avenue La Grande, OR 97850 Phone: (541) 975-5600

Fax: (541) 975-5700 Email: presioni@eoni.com Please advise us if you need any further information or action on our part in order to begin processing such documents as are necessary to effectuate this MFN election for the Oregon Public Utility Commission.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter and your prompt response.

Sincerely,

W. Scott McCollough Counsel for PriorityOne Telecommunications, Inc.

cc: Kelly Mutch