

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 368(1)

In the Matter of)
)
In the Matter of INTRADO INC. and QWEST) STAFF COMMENTS
CORPORATION.)
)
First Amendment to the Interconnection)
Agreement, Submitted for Commission)
Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENT

On June 20, 2006, Intrado Inc. and Qwest Corporation filed the first amendment to the interconnection agreement previously approved by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The amendment incorporates the Federal Communications Commissions Triennial Review Order and Triennial Review Remand Order changes into the previously approved agreement. The parties seek approval of this agreement under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommends approval of the amendment. Staff concludes that the amendment does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 7th day of July, 2006.

Celeste Hari
Telecommunications Analyst
Competitive Issues
Telecommunications Division