

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 169(1)

In the Matter of)	
)	
SUNRIVER TELECOM/ATL)	STAFF COMMENTS
COMMUNICATIONS and QWEST)	
CORPORATION)	
)	
First Amendment to Interconnection Agreement)	
Submitted for Commission Approval Pursuant)	
to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications)	
Act of 1996.)	

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENT

On May 21, 2002, Sunriver Telecom / ATL Communications and Qwest Corporation filed a first amendment to the interconnection agreement with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of the amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the amendment on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that various places of the document refer to the amendment as a Statement of Generally Accepted Terms (SGAT.) The terms and conditions of the amendment may be similar to the SGAT currently under review; however, at this time there is no approved SGAT on file with the Commission. As such, the amendment should be processed as a negotiated amendment.

Staff recommends approval of the amendment. Staff concludes that the amendment to the previously approved agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 251 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Dated at Salem, this 6th day of June, 2002.

Celeste Hari
Telecommunications Analyst