CASE: UE 416 WITNESS: CURTIS DLOUHY

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 2800

Rebuttal and Cross Answering Testimony

1	Q.	Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
2	Α.	My name is Curtis Dlouhy. I am an economist employed in the Strategy and
3		Integration Division of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (OPUC). My
4		business address is 201 High Street SE, Suite 100, Salem, Oregon 97301.
5	Q.	Please describe your educational backgrounds and expertise.
6	Α.	My witness qualifications statement can be found in Exhibit Staff/301.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
8	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to address AWEC's proposed adjustment to
9		NVPC resulting from changes to the Company's assumptions guiding dispatch
10		of thermal resources (thermal parameters) in MONET.
11	Q.	How is your testimony organized?
12	Α.	My testimony is organized as follows:
13		Issue 1. MONET Thermal Parameters2

ISSUE 1. MONET THERMAL PARAMETERS

Q. Please describe AWEC's adjustment to the Company's thermal parameters in MONET and the reasons behind the adjustments.

A. In its opening testimony, AWEC noted that there were several material discrepancies between the generator data contained in its Western EIM master file that PGE submitted to CAISO in December and the values that were used to model power costs in MONET.¹ In particular, AWEC noted that [BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL]

[END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL] in the Western EIM master file than in MONET. Based on this discrepancy, AWEC adjusted the plant capacities in MONET to match the capacities reported in the Western EIM master file and shaped the capacities proportionally according to the shape of monthly capacities seen in MONET. The result of this adjustment is approximately a [BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL] [END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL]

Q. How did the Company respond to AWEC's adjustment?

A. The Company disagreed with AWEC's adjustment, calling it unreasonable and opportunistic. In particular, the Company notes that the parameters reported to EIM are *maximum* potential capacities that can only be achieved under optimal ambient conditions and emergency operating conditions.³ Further, the Company states that while the maximum capacities reported to CAISO are

¹ AWEC/100, Mullins/28.

² AWEC/100, Mullins/29.

³ PGE/1500, 21

indeed higher than what is found in MONET, PGE updates CAISO with more up-to-date plant capacities when necessary.⁴

Q. What analysis has Staff done on the issue?

A. Staff investigated the plant parameters in the Western EIM master file contained in the Company's response to AWEC DR No. 182 and compared the maximum capacities to the thermal capacities found in MONET. Staff identified the discrepancies that AWEC discusses in its opening testimony and then further investigated why these discrepancies occurred. In its investigation, Staff both checked to see if there is a functionalized reason that these discrepancies existed and if the discrepancies led to an improper dispatch of thermal resources in MONET relative to historic actuals.

Q. What did Staff find after its analysis?

A. It is worth pointing out that Staff had limited time to investigate this issue and only offers preliminary thoughts regarding AWEC's analysis in its opening testimony and the Company's response to said analysis.

Based on Staff's analysis of the parameters in MONET, it appears that MONET is technically capable of producing capacities that are in line with the Western EIM master file submission. Any discrepancies appear to be the product of MONET adjusting for ambient temperature conditions.

Further, Staff's spot check of actual thermal dispatch versus MONET thermal dispatch does not appear to suggest that MONET is improperly curtailing the thermal resources on average. Staff notes that this comparison is

l

⁴ ld.

merely suggestive because MONET presents average dispatch at the monthly level, which necessarily eliminates the nuance of when the resources were dispatched and how the market price would interact with that to ultimately impact NVPC.

- Q. What do you mean that MONET is technically capable of allowing the gas plants to achieve a higher capacity.
- A. Based on Staff's inspection of MONET, each gas plant's capacity is a function of the ambient plant temperature, humidity, and/or barometric pressure. This is done because the efficiency of thermal plants varies with weather. For example, thermal plants run more efficiently when it is cold. This functionalization of the plants' capacities appears to be done in a manner that is consistent with design documents for each of the thermal plants that PGE included with its power cost filing. Based on Staff's limited analysis, the thermal plants would in theory be able to reach the maximum capacities in the Western EIM master file under optimal ambient conditions.

For the purposes of running MONET, the Company appears to have chosen to use average monthly values for the ambient conditions.

- Q. Do you agree with the Company's choice to use monthly average ambient conditions as an input in MONET.
- A. Yes, for now. Staff however reserves the right to update its view if another party brings up compelling reasons in this round of testimony why different values should be used. In previous pieces of testimony on this docket, Staff has advocated for the use of reasonable forward-looking expectations when

modeling power costs. At this moment, Staff thinks that using average ambient conditions serves as the best monthly proxy for up-to-date plant capacities for the purpose of forecasting power costs given the constraints of MONET.

However, as Staff has previously pointed out, Staff believes that the Company should consider switching to a more sophisticated model and is interested in seeing how a more nuanced model that could perhaps consider daily or hourly shapes of ambient conditions would affect NVPC forecasts.

- Q. Did you compare actual generation at the gas plants to MONET-forecasted dispatch.
- A. Yes. I compared actual dispatch to MONET-forecasted dispatch to check if there is reason to doubt the validity of using monthly average ambient conditions. One could reasonably create a scenario where some of these gas plants only operate when ambient conditions are most optimal, say during very low temperatures and desirable barometric conditions. In this scenario, it might be the case that the Company's actual thermal generation consistently outperforms the average monthly capacity used as the MONET input. AWEC points out that there are indeed individual hours in which PGE's actual generation at some plants is higher than MONET's capacities.⁵

Given that the model is forecasting power costs for an entire year and presents data at the monthly level, Staff chose to inspect the actual monthly gas dispatch over the last four years and compare that to MONET's dispatch. Staff does not feel that a small subset of hours exceeding the modeled

⁵ AWEC/100, Mullins/29.

capacity is worthy of a change to the capacities in MONET, but if it is indeed the case that MONET is consistently underutilizing its gas plants then there may be reason to model higher capacities in MONET.

Staff's comparison of monthly actual dispatch to MONET dispatch did not find any notable discrepancies.

- Q. Given these findings, what does Staff think about PGE's choice of thermal parameters?
- A. Staff believes that the Company's thermal parameters appear to be reasonably modeled with respect to capacities of its thermal plants as they respectively change due to the ambient conditions noted earlier. As a general principle, Staff believes the Company modeling should be consistent with what the Company reports to the EIM. Staff's review found that this appeared to be the case.
- Q. Do you have any testimony regarding the other remaining issue in the NVPC portion of this docket concerning how reserves are modeled in MONET?
- A. No. Currently, Staff has not reached a conclusion on the issue. Staff will review the testimony provided on this issue and may address it in briefs.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

UE 416

I certify that this day I served the foregoing document upon all the following parties or attorneys of parties of record in this proceeding by delivering a copy in person or by mailing a copy properly addressed with first class postage prepaid or by electronic mail pursuant to OAR 860-001-0180 (which may include a link to a secure shared file service).

Dated this 21st day of July, 2023 at Salem, Oregon

Mark Brown

Public Utility Commission 201 High Street SE Suite 100 Salem, Oregon 97301-3612

Telephone: (971) 375-5080

GUILERMO CASTILLO (C) SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES	
	guillermo@utilityadvocates.org
STEVE CHRISS WALMART	stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
TONIA L MORO (C) ATTORNEY AT LAW PC	106 TALENT AVE STE 6 TALENT OR 97540 tonia@toniamoro.com
BENEDIKT SPRINGER (C) COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF OREGON	2475 CENTER ST NE SALEM OR 97301 benedikt@caporegon.org
AWEC	
BRENT COLEMAN (C) (HC) DAVISON VAN CLEVE	1750 SW HARBOR WAY, SUITE 450 PORTLAND OR 97201 blc@dvclaw.com
JESSE O GORSUCH (C) (HC) DAVISON VAN CLEVE	1750 SW HARBOR WAY STE 450 PORTLAND OR 97201 jog@dvclaw.com
TYLER C PEPPLE (C) (HC) DAVISON VAN CLEVE	1750 SW HARBOR WAY STE 450 PORTLAND OR 97201 tcp@dvclaw.com
CALPINE SOLUTIONS	
GREGORY M. ADAMS (C) RICHARDSON ADAMS PLLC	515 N 27TH ST BOISE ID 83702 greg@richardsonadams.com
GREG BASS CALPINE ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC	401 WEST A ST, STE 500 SAN DIEGO CA 92101 greg.bass@calpinesolutions.com
KEVIN HIGGINS (C) ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC	215 STATE ST - STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111-2322 khiggins@energystrat.com
COMMUNITY ENERGY PROJECT	
CHARITY FAIN (C) COMMUNITY ENERGY PROJECT	2900 SE STARK ST STE A PORTLAND OR 97214 charity@communityenergyproject.org
СИВ	

WILLIAM GEHRKE (C) (HC) OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD	610 SW BROADWAY STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97206 will@oregoncub.org
MICHAEL GOETZ (C) (HC) OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD	610 SW BROADWAY STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 mike@oregoncub.org
Share OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD	610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@oregoncub.org
FRED MEYER	
JUSTIN BIEBER (C) FRED MEYER/ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC	215 SOUTH STATE STREET, STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111 jbieber@energystrat.com
KURT J BOEHM (C) BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY	36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202 kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
JODY KYLER COHN BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY	36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202 jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
NEWSUN ENERGY	
MARIE P BARLOW (C) NEWSUN ENERGY LLC	550 NW FRANKLIN AVE STE 408 BEND OR 97703 mbarlow@newsunenergy.net
LESLIE SCHAUER (C) NEWSUN ENERGY LLC	550 NW FRANKLIN AVE STE 408 BEND OR 97703 leslie@newsunenergy.net
JACOB (JAKE) STEPHENS (C) NEWSUN ENERGY LLC	550 NW FRANKLIN AVE STE 408 BEND OR 97703 jstephens@newsunenergy.net
NRDC	
RALPH CAVANAGH (C) (HC) NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL	111 SUTTER ST FL 20 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 rcavanagh@nrdc.org
CAROLINE CILEK (C) (HC) GREEN ENERGY INSTITUTE	carolinecilek@lclark.edu
NW ENERGY COALITION	
	92

F. DIEGO RIVAS (C) (HC) NW ENERGY COALITION	1101 8TH AVE HELENA MT 59601 diego@nwenergy.org
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER	
JUSTINA A CAVIGLIA PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER	50 WEST LIBERTY ST STE 750 RENO NV 89501 jcaviglia@parsonsbehle.com
PGE	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
KIM BURTON (C) (HC) PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	121 SW SALMON STREET PORTLAND OR 97204 kim.burton@pgn.com
JAKI FERCHLAND (C) (HC) PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	121 SW SALMON ST. 1WTC0306 PORTLAND OR 97204 jacquelyn.ferchland@pgn.com
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES	
DIANE HENKELS (C) SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES	621 SW MORRISON ST. STE 1025 PORTLAND OR 97205 diane@utilityadvocates.org
SBUA SBUA SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES	9450 SW GEMINI DR. #11200 BEAVERTON OR 97008 britt@utilityadvocates.org
STAFF	
STEPHANIE S ANDRUS (C) (HC) Oregon Department of Justice	BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 stephanie.andrus@doj.state.or.us
MATTHEW MULDOON (C) (HC) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	PO BOX 1088 SALEM OR 97308-1088 matt.muldoon@puc.oregon.gov
NATASCHA SMITH (C) (HC) Oregon Department of Justice	BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301 natascha.b.smith@doj.state.or.us
WALMART	

ALEX KRONAUER (C)	
WALMART	
	alex.kronauer@walmart.com