

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**UE 394**

**IN THE MATTER OF )  
 )  
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC )  
COMPANY, )  
 )  
REQUEST FOR A GENERAL RATE REVISION )**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF**

**STEVE W. CHRISS**

**ON BEHALF OF**

**WALMART INC.**

**OCTOBER 25, 2021**

**Contents**

Introduction ..... 1

Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations ..... 2

Cost of Service..... 4

Rate Spread..... 7

Schedule 83 and Schedule 85 Generation Rate Design ..... 8

**Exhibits**

**Walmart/101:** Witness Qualifications Statement

1 **Introduction**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.**

3 A. My name is Steve W. Chriss. My business address is 2001 SE 10th St., Bentonville,  
4 AR 72716-0550. I am employed by Walmart Inc.<sup>1</sup> as Director, Energy and Strategy  
5 Analysis.

6 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS DOCKET?**

7 A. I am testifying on behalf of Walmart Inc. ("Walmart").

8 **Q. ARE YOU THE SAME STEVE W. CHRISS WHO TESTIFIED EARLIER IN THIS DOCKET?**

9 A. Yes. I have included my witness qualifications statement with this testimony as  
10 Walmart/101.

11 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING EXHIBITS IN YOUR TESTIMONY?**

12 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the exhibit listed in the Table of Contents.

13 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS IN OREGON.**

14 A. As shown on Walmart's website, Walmart operates 45 retail units and employs over  
15 12,000 associates in Oregon. In fiscal year ending 2021, Walmart purchased \$896  
16 million worth of goods and services from Oregon-based suppliers, supporting over  
17 19,000 supplier jobs.<sup>2</sup>

18 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS WITHIN THE COMPANY'S**  
19 **SERVICE TERRITORY.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Effective February 1, 2018, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. changed its corporate legal name to Walmart Inc.

<sup>2</sup> <http://corporate.walmart.com/our-story/locations/united-states#/united-states/oregon>

1 A. Walmart has 17 stores and related facilities that take electric service from Portland  
2 General Electric Company (“PGE” or “Company”), primarily on the Company’s  
3 Schedule 85, Large Nonresidential Standard Service (201 kW – 4,000 kW) (“Schedule  
4 85”) rate schedule. Walmart also has several accounts on the Company’s Schedule  
5 83, Large Nonresidential Standard Service (31 kW – 200 kW) (“Schedule 83”) rate  
6 schedule  
7

8 **Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations**

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to PGE’s rate case filing and to provide  
11 recommendations to assist the Commission in its thorough and careful consideration  
12 of the customer impact of the Company’s proposed rate increase.

13 **Q. IN SETTING THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT, ROE, ALLOCATION, AND RATE DESIGN**  
14 **CHANGES FOR THE COMPANY, SHOULD THE COMMISSION CONSIDER THE IMPACT**  
15 **OF THE PROPOSED RATE INCREASE ON BUSINESS CUSTOMERS?**

16 A. Yes. Electricity is a significant operating cost for retailers such as Walmart. When  
17 electric rates increase, the increased cost to retailers can put pressure on consumer  
18 prices and on the other expenses required by a business to operate. The Commission  
19 should thoroughly and carefully consider the impact on customers in examining the  
20 requested revenue requirement and ROE, in addition to all other facets of this case,  
21 to ensure that any increase in the Company’s rates is the minimum amount necessary  
22 to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service, while also providing PGE the

1 opportunity to recover its reasonable and prudent costs and earn a reasonable return  
2 on its investment.

3 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WALMART'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.**

4 A. Walmart's recommendations to the Commission are as follows:

5 1) Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposal to allocate generation  
6 capacity cost using a four coincident peak ("4CP") allocator.

7 2) Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposal to allocate transmission  
8 costs using a twelve coincident peak ("12CP") allocator.

9 3) Walmart does not take a position on the other facets of the Company's  
10 proposed cost of service model at this time. However, to the extent that  
11 alternative cost of service models or modifications to the Company's cost of  
12 service model are proposed by other parties, Walmart reserves the right to  
13 address such changes in accord with the Commission's procedures in this  
14 docket.

15 4) For the purposes of this docket, at the Company's proposed revenue  
16 requirement, Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposed rate spread.

17 5) If the Commission determines that the appropriate revenue requirement is  
18 less than that proposed by the Company, the Commission should start with  
19 the Company's proposed rate spread and use the reduction in revenue from

1 that proposed by the Company to reduce the cross-subsidization from  
2 Schedule 85 to Schedules 7 and 32.

3 6) For the purposes of this docket, Walmart proposes the following for setting  
4 the generation rates for Schedules 83 and 85:

5 a) The Commission should require PGE to establish a \$/kW on peak  
6 generation demand charge for Schedules 83 and 85 based on 25  
7 percent of the full cost generation demand charge per the revenue  
8 requirement and cost of service outcomes in this docket; and

9 b) Walmart does not oppose maintaining the on-peak/off-peak  
10 differential of 1.5 cents/kWh.

11 **Q. DOES THE FACT THAT YOU MAY NOT ADDRESS AN ISSUE OR POSITION ADVOCATED**  
12 **BY THE COMPANY INDICATE WALMART'S SUPPORT?**

13 A. No. The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be  
14 construed as an endorsement of, agreement with, or consent to any filed position.  
15

16 **Cost of Service**

17 **Q. WHAT IS WALMART'S POSITION ON SETTING RATES BASED ON THE UTILITY'S COST**  
18 **OF SERVICE?**

19 A. Walmart advocates that rates be set based on the utility's cost of service for each rate  
20 class. This produces equitable rates that reflect cost causation, send proper price  
21 signals, and minimize price distortions.

1       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY’S PROPOSED COST**  
2       **OF SERVICE STUDY?**

3       A.     My understanding is that the Company proposes a cost of service study based on the  
4       Company’s marginal generation, transmission, distribution, customer, and street  
5       lighting costs. See PGE/1100/Macfarlane-Pleasant/1/9-11.

6       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE PURPOSE OF GENERATION AND**  
7       **TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COST ALLOCATION?**

8       A.     Generation capacity cost allocation is the process of allocating to each customer class  
9       the fixed costs of a utility’s generation assets. Likewise, transmission cost allocation  
10      is the process of allocating to each customer class the fixed costs of a utility’s  
11      transmission assets. Fixed costs are defined as costs that do not vary with the level of  
12      output and must be paid even if there is no output.<sup>3</sup>

13      **Q.     DO A UTILITY’S FIXED GENERATION OR TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COSTS CHANGE**  
14      **WITH CHANGES IN THE AMOUNT OF ELECTRICITY GENERATED?**

15      A.     No. The utility’s fixed production capacity costs do not change with changes in the  
16      amount of electricity generated. For example, if a baseload unit is not dispatched and  
17      produces no energy, the fixed costs are not avoided by the utility or customers.  
18      Generation units can be built and operated for different reasons, such as lower fuel  
19      costs, peaking needs, or reliability, but the way in which a generation unit is operated

---

<sup>3</sup> Pindyck, Robert S. and Daniel L. Rubinfeld, Microeconomics, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., 2001, page 206.

1 does not change the fact that the fixed costs are, in fact, fixed, and should be treated  
2 as such in the generation capacity cost allocation.

3 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**  
4 **TO ALLOCATE GENERATION CAPACITY COSTS TO THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?**

5 A. My understanding is that the Company proposes to multiply the real levelized annual  
6 capacity cost from the marginal cost of service study by the projected test-period  
7 peak-hour load, which, per the Company's testimony, is projected to occur in  
8 December. The Company then proposes to allocate that generation capacity cost to  
9 customer classes using a 4CP allocator based on the Company's January, July, August,  
10 and December peaks. See PGE/1300/Macfarlane-Goodspeed/7/10-13.

11 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**  
12 **TO ALLOCATE TRANSMISSION COSTS AMONG THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?**

13 A. My understanding is that the Company proposes to allocate transmission costs to the  
14 customer classes on the basis of each classes' 12CP times the marginal unit cost of  
15 transmission. *Id.*, 8/4-6.

16 **Q. DOES WALMART OPPOSE THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED GENERATION CAPACITY**  
17 **COST AND TRANSMISSION COST ALLOCATIONS?**

18 A. No. The use of coincident peak allocators for generation capacity and transmission  
19 costs properly reflects that these costs are incurred in order to meet customer  
20 demands on the system.

21 **Q. DOES WALMART TAKE A POSITION ON THE OTHER FACETS OF THE COMPANY'S**  
22 **PROPOSED COST OF SERVICE MODEL AT THIS TIME?**



1 A. No. However, to the extent that alternate cost of service models or modifications to  
2 the Company's model are proposed by other parties, Walmart reserves the right to  
3 address any such proposals or changes in accord with the Commission's procedures  
4 in this docket.

5

6 **Rate Spread**

7 **Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY REPRESENT WHETHER RATES FOR A CUSTOMER CLASS**  
8 **ACCURATELY REFLECT THE UNDERLYING COST CAUSATION?**

9 A. The Company does not represent this relationship through a metric per se, but instead  
10 employs the CIO in the rate design process to assign receipt or payment of a subsidy  
11 to a particular customer class. A positive CIO value means that the rate class is paying  
12 rates in excess of the costs incurred to serve that class, while a negative CIO means  
13 that the rate class is paying rates less than the costs incurred to serve that class. As  
14 such, those rate classes with a positive CIO are subsidizing the classes with a negative  
15 CIO.

16 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATESPREAD?**

17 A. My understanding is that the Company proposes to reallocate the initial transmission,  
18 ancillary service, and distribution cost allocations that comprise the transmission and  
19 distribution demand charges between Schedules 89 and 90 and to equalize the  
20 distribution charges for Schedules 15, 91, and 95 through the CIO. See  
21 PGE/1200/Macfarlane-Tang/10/20-PGE/1200/Macfarlane-Tang/11/2. Additionally, it

1 appears that the Company utilizes the CIO to adjust revenue requirement changes  
2 between Schedules 7, 32, and 85. See PGE/1203/Macfarlane-Tang/13.

3 **Q. HAVE YOU EXAMINED THE PROPOSED CIO AMOUNTS FOR SCHEDULES 83 AND 85?**

4 A. Yes. The Company proposes a zero-value CIO for Schedule 83 and a CIO of  
5 approximately \$4.5 million, or 1.64 mills/kWh, for Schedule 85. See  
6 PGE/1203/Macfarlane-Tang/13.

7 **Q. WHAT IS WALMART'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION ON THIS ISSUE?**

8 A. For the purposes of this docket, at the Company's proposed revenue requirement,  
9 Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposed revenue allocation.

10 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION IF IT DETERMINES THAT**  
11 **A LOWER REVENUE REQUIREMENT IS APPROPRIATE?**

12 A. If the Commission determines that the appropriate revenue requirement is less than  
13 that proposed by the Company, the Commission should start with the Company's  
14 proposed rate spread and use the reduction in revenue from that proposed by the  
15 Company to reduce the cross-subsidization from Schedule 85 to Schedules 7 and 32.

16

17 **Schedule 83 and Schedule 85 Generation Rate Design**

18 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CURRENT STRUCTURE OF THE**  
19 **GENERATION CHARGES FOR SCHEDULES 83 AND 85?**

20 A. My understanding of the current structure of the Schedules 83 and 85 is that  
21 generation costs, including fixed costs, are recovered through on-peak and off-peak  
22 \$/kWh energy charges, with the differential between those charges set at 1.5

1 cents/kWh. The Company does not currently employ a demand charge for the  
2 recovery of fixed generation costs. See P.U.C. Oregon No. E-18, Sixteenth Revision of  
3 Sheet No. 83-1 and Thirteenth Revision of Sheet No. 85-1.

4 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERMS OF THE THIRD STIPULATION IN**  
5 **DOCKET UE 335 REGARDING GENERATION DEMAND CHARGES FOR SCHEDULES 83**  
6 **AND 85?**

7 A. The third stipulation in UE 335, to which Walmart was a party, stated:

8 "PGE will evaluate a \$/kW on-peak generation demand charge for Schedules  
9 83 and 85 in its next general rate case and address in testimony whether it  
10 intends to include such demand charges or why it doesn't support such  
11 demand charges." See Docket UE 335/Stipulating Parties/401/5, filed  
12 September 14, 2018.

13 **Q. DOES PGE PROPOSE CHANGES TO THE GENERATION RATE STRUCTURE FOR**  
14 **SCHEDULES 83 AND 85?**

15 A. No. The Company proposes to keep the same on-peak and off-peak energy charge  
16 structure. The Company states that they prefer to defer resolving generation demand  
17 charges until the resolution of the resource adequacy ("RA") process and the  
18 acquisition of software to perform bill analysis. Additionally, the Company expresses  
19 concern regarding Electricity Service Supplier pricing not containing a RA price  
20 component. See PGE/1200/Macfarlane-Tang/4/14-5/22.

21 **Q. DOES WALMART AGREE WITH THE COMPANY THAT THE PROCESS SHOULD BE**  
22 **DEFERRED?**

1 A. No. While the outcomes of the RA process will have implications on the types and  
2 cost of capacity used to meet system reliability needs, that does not mean that the  
3 Company does not currently have fixed generation costs that should be recovered on  
4 a demand basis and that capacity price signals should not be sent to customers.  
5 Additionally, implementation of a generation demand charge would not create a new  
6 set of costs to be recovered, it would simply recover via a demand charge costs that  
7 are currently being recovered through the generation energy charges.

8 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE PRINCIPLES THEY**  
9 **CONSIDERED FOR THE DESIGN OF RATES?**

10 A. Yes. The Company states that they considered the following principles:

- 11 1) Recover the total revenue requirement;
- 12 2) Provide revenue stability and predictability to the utility;
- 13 3) Provide rate stability and predictability to customers;
- 14 4) Reflect the cost of providing service;
- 15 5) Be fair;
- 16 6) Provide price signals to customers; and
- 17 7) Be simple and understandable. *See PGE/1300/Macfarlane-Tang/16/11-17.*

18 **Q. DO THE COMPANY'S CURRENT AND PROPOSED GENERATION RATES FOR**  
19 **SCHEDULES 83 AND 85 REFLECT THE PRINCIPLES OUTLINED ABOVE?**

20 A. No, as the Company proposes to continue recovering 100 percent of the fixed  
21 generation costs incurred to serve Schedule 83 and 85 customers through the energy  
22 charge. This violates several of the principles outlined above, including rates

1 reflecting the cost of service, providing price signals to customers, and providing  
2 revenue stability and predictability to the utility.

3 **Q. IS THE COLLECTION OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS THROUGH AN ENERGY CHARGE**  
4 **CONSISTENT WITH THE COMPANY'S CLASSIFICATION AND ALLOCATION OF**  
5 **DEMAND-RELATED COSTS?**

6 A. No. In its class cost of service study and rate spread, the Company does not classify  
7 or allocate any of its generation capacity costs on an energy basis. Rather, these costs  
8 are incurred, and therefore classified and allocated, based on customer demands.  
9 Costs should be collected in a manner which reflects how they are incurred. As such,  
10 collecting fixed demand-related costs through energy charges violates cost causation  
11 principles.

12 **Q. DOES THE RECOVERY OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS THROUGH ENERGY CHARGES**  
13 **DISADVANTAGE HIGHER LOAD FACTOR CUSTOMERS?**

14 A. Yes. The shift in demand-related costs from per kW demand charges to per kWh  
15 energy charges results in a shift in demand cost responsibility from lower load factor  
16 customers to higher load factor customers. This results in a misallocation of cost  
17 responsibility as higher load factor customers overpay for the demand-related costs  
18 incurred by the Company to serve them. In other words, higher load factor customers  
19 are paying for a portion of the demand-related costs that are incurred to serve lower  
20 load factor customers simply because of the manner in which the Company collects  
21 those costs in rates.

1       **Q.     CAN YOU PROVIDE A GENERAL ILLUSTRATION OF THIS SHIFT IN DEMAND COST**  
2       **RESPONSIBILITY?**

3       A.     Yes. Assume the following:

4           a)     A utility has only two customers (Customer 1 and Customer 2), with individual  
5                    peak demands of 20 Kw for a total system load of 40 Kw.

6           b)     The annual revenue requirement or cost to the utility associated with the  
7                    investment to serve the customers is \$2,000 which will be collected each year.  
8                    Each customer is responsible for one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the cost, or \$1,000 of demand-  
9                    related or fixed costs.

10          c)     Customer 1 has a monthly demand of 20 kW and a load factor of 60 percent  
11                    and thus consumes 105,120 kWh/year (20 kW \* 60% \* 8760 hours).

12          d)     Customer 2 has a monthly demand of 20 kW and a load factor of 30 percent  
13                    and thus consumes 52,560 kWh/year (20 kW \* 30% \* 8760 hours).

14       **Q.     IF THE DEMAND-RELATED COSTS WERE CHARGED ON A PER KW BASIS, WHAT**  
15       **WOULD THE PER KW CHARGE BE?**

16       A.     The charge would be \$4.17 per kW-month ( $\$2,000 / 40 \text{ kW} / 12 \text{ months}$ ). Each  
17                    customer would then pay \$1,000 for the demand-related cost they impose on the  
18                    system ( $20 \text{ kW} * \$4.17/\text{kW} * 12$ ).

19       **Q.     IF THE DEMAND-RELATED COSTS WERE CHARGED ON A PER KWH BASIS, WHAT**  
20       **WOULD THE PER KWH CHARGE BE?**

1 A. If customers were charged on the a per kWh basis, the energy charge would be 1.27  
2 cents per kWh ( $\$2,000 / 157,860 \text{ kWh}$ ), where the  $\$2,000$  is the total cost and 157,860  
3 kWh represents the total annual energy sales.

4 **Q. WHAT WOULD EACH CUSTOMER PAY UNDER THE PER KWH CHARGE OF 1.27 CENTS**  
5 **PER KWH?**

6 A. Customer 1, the customer with the higher load factor of 60 percent, would pay  $\$1,333$   
7 ( $\$0.0127/\text{kWh} * 105,120 \text{ kWh}$ ). Customer 2, the customer that has the lower load  
8 factor would pay  $\$667$  ( $\$0.0127/\text{kWh} * 52,560 \text{ kWh}$ ).

9 **Q. ARE THE RESULTING ENERGY BASED CHARGES REPRESENTATIVE OF THE**  
10 **UNDERLYING COSTS?**

11 A. No. As can be seen in the example, if the Company collects its demand-related costs  
12 through energy-based charges, it will over-collect from one customer and under-  
13 collect from the other. Recall that each customer is responsible for causing  $\$1,000$  of  
14 the annual fixed costs. Under the per kWh scenario, the utility would recover  $\$333$   
15 more from the higher load factor customer, Customer 1, than its cost responsibility  
16 and  $\$333$  less from the lower load factor customer, Customer 2, than its cost  
17 responsibility. In other words, Customer 1, would be subsidizing  $\$333$  of Customer  
18 2's cost responsibility.

19 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED GENERATION RATE DESIGN PROVIDE A CAPACITY**  
20 **PRICE SIGNAL FOR CUSTOMERS?**

21 A. No. While the on-peak/off-peak energy cost differential can provide a price signal to  
22 use less energy during the on-peak period, it should not be construed as creating a

1 price signal to allow a customer to implement measures to effectively address their  
2 monthly peak demands or their contribution to the Company's peak demands. This  
3 is largely due to the broad expanse of time defined as "on-peak," which is the hours  
4 of 6:00 AM to 10:00 PM, Monday through Saturday. See P.U.C. Oregon No. E-18,  
5 Sixteenth Revision of Sheet No. 83-1 and Thirteenth Revision of Sheet No. 85-1.

6 **Q. WOULD THE PROPER COLLECTION OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS THROUGH A**  
7 **DEMAND CHARGE PROVIDE BENEFITS TO THE COMPANY?**

8 A. Yes. By collecting more demand-related costs through the energy charge, the  
9 Company could be more susceptible to weather-related and other fluctuations in  
10 usage than it would be were those costs recovered through a demand charge. A rate  
11 design that properly collects fixed costs through a \$/kW demand charge and energy-  
12 related costs through \$/kWh variable charges should provide greater revenue  
13 certainty and more stable utility earnings.

14 **Q. WHAT IS WALMART'S RECOMMENDATION ON THIS ISSUE?**

15 A. For the purposes of this docket, Walmart proposes the following for setting the  
16 generation rates for Schedules 83 and 85:

17 1) The Commission should require PGE to establish a \$/kW on-peak generation  
18 demand charge for Schedules 83 and 85 based on 25 percent of the full cost



1 generation demand charge per the revenue requirement and cost of service  
2 outcomes in this docket; and

3 2) Walmart does not oppose maintaining the on-peak/off-peak differential of 1.5  
4 cents/kWh.

5 **Q. WHY, FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS DOCKET, DOES WALMART ONLY RECOMMEND 25**  
6 **PERCENT OF FULL COST FOR THE GENERATION DEMAND CHARGE?**

7 A. Walmart proposes 25 percent for the purposes of this docket in order to establish the  
8 on-peak generation pricing mechanism and familiarize customers with the price signal  
9 from the rate, but to do so in a way that will moderate impacts within the rate classes  
10 versus moving to a full cost of service-based rate.

11 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

12 A. Yes.

# Steve W. Chriss

Walmart Inc.

Business Address: 2608 SE J Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716

---

## EXPERIENCE

July 2007 – Present

**Walmart Inc.**, Bentonville, AR

**Director, Energy Services** (October 2018 – Present)

**Director, Energy and Strategy Analysis** (October 2016 – October 2018)

**Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis** (June 2011 – October 2016)

**Manager, State Rate Proceedings** (July 2007 – June 2011)

June 2003 – July 2007

**Public Utility Commission of Oregon**, Salem, OR

**Senior Utility Analyst** (February 2006 – July 2007)

**Economist** (June 2003 – February 2006)

January 2003 - May 2003

**North Harris College**, Houston, TX

**Adjunct Instructor, Microeconomics**

June 2001 - March 2003

**Econ One Research, Inc.**, Houston, TX

**Senior Analyst** (October 2002 – March 2003)

**Analyst** (June 2001 – October 2002)

## EDUCATION

2001

**Louisiana State University**

M.S., Agricultural Economics

1997-1998

**University of Florida**

Graduate Coursework, Agricultural Education and Communication

1997

**Texas A&M University**

B.S., Agricultural Development

B.S., Horticulture

## PRESENT MEMBERSHIPS

Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrators Association, Board

Arizonans for Electric Choice & Competition, Chairman

Arkansas Advanced Energy Foundation, Board

Edison Electric Institute National Key Accounts Program, Customer Advisory Group

Florida Advisory Council for Climate and Energy

Renewable Energy Buyers Alliance, Advisory Board

South Carolina Electricity Market Reforms Measures Study Committee

## PAST MEMBERSHIPS

Southwest Power Pool, Corporate Governance Committee, 2019

## TESTIMONY BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

2021

Indiana Cause No. 45576: Petition of Indiana Michigan Power Company, an Indiana Corporation, for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service through a Phase In Rate Adjustment; and for Approval of Related Relief Including: (1) Revised Depreciation Rates; (2) Accounting Relief; (3)

Inclusion of Capital Investment; (4) Rate Adjustment Mechanism Proposals; (5) Customer Programs; (6) Waiver to Declination of Jurisdiction with Respect to Certain Rules; and (7) New Schedules of Rates, Rules, and Regulations.

Oregon Docket No. UE 394: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Missouri File No. ER-2021-0240: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust its Revenues for Electric Service.

Florida Docket No. 20210015-EI: In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Docket No. R-20-08-020: Order Instituting Rulemaking to Revisit Net Energy Metering Tariffs Pursuant to Decision 16-01-044, and to Address Other Issues Related to Net Energy Metering.

New Mexico Case No. 20-00238-UT: In the Matter of Southwestern Public Service Company's Application For: (1) Revision of its Retail Rates Under Advice Notice No. 292; (2) Authorization and Approval to Abandon its Plant X Unit 3 Generating Station; and (3) Other Associated Relief.

North Dakota Case No. PU-20-441: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in North Dakota.

New Mexico Case No. 20-00222-UT: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Avangrid, Inc., Avangrid Networks, Inc., NM Green Holdings, Inc., Public Service Company of New Mexico and PNM Resources, Inc. For Approval of the Merger of NM Green Holdings, Inc. with PNM Resources, Inc.; Approval of a General Diversification Plan; and All Other Authorizations and Approvals Requires to Consummate and Implement this Transaction.

#### 2020

Arizona Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236: In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Return Thereon and to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Florida Docket No. 20200176-EI: In re: Petition by Duke Energy Florida, LLC for a Limited Proceeding to Approve Clean Energy Connection Program and Tariff and Stipulation.

Florida Docket No. 20200092-EI: In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause.

Nevada Docket No. 20-05003: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy Filed Under Advice Letter No. 504 to Establish Customer Price Stability Tariff Schedule No. CPST (the "Program") to Assist Certain Qualifying Customers During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Economic Downturn, and to Address Certain Customer Requests for Price Stability and Potential Cost Savings in Meeting Customer Specific Business Needs and Sustainability Objectives.

Nevada Docket No. 20-05004: Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy Filed Under Advice Letter No. 629-E to Establish Customer Price Stability Tariff Schedule No. CPST (the "Program") to Assist Certain Qualifying Customers During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Economic Downturn, and to Address Certain Customer Requests for Price Stability and Potential Cost Savings in Meeting Customer Specific Business Needs and Sustainability Objectives.

Utah Docket No. 20-035-04: Application of Rocky Mountain Power for the Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Wyoming Docket No. 20000-578-ER-20: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Service Rates by Approximately \$7.1 Million Per Year or 1.1 Percent, to Revise the Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism, and to Discontinue Operations at Cholla Unit 4.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2020-00015: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2020 Triennial Review of the Rates, Terms and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to §56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Oregon Docket No. UE 374: In the Matter of PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power Request for a General Rate Revision.

Florida Docket No. 20200067-EI: In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Tampa Electric Company.

Florida Docket No. 20200069-EI: In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Florida Docket No. 20200070-EI: In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Gulf Power Company.

Florida Docket No. 20200071-EI: In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Florida Power & Light Company.

North Carolina Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219: Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Missouri Case No. ER-2019-0374: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company's Request for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area.

North Carolina Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Texas Docket No. 49831: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

#### *2019*

Missouri Case No. ER-2019-0335: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Decrease its Revenues for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-20561: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Indiana Cause No. 45253: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Pursuant to Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2-42.7 and 8-1-2-61, For (1) Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service Through a Step-In of New Rates and Charges Using a Forecasted Test Period; (2) Approval of New Schedules of Rates and Charges, General Rules and Regulations, and Riders; (3) Approval of a Federal Mandate Certificate Under Ind. Code § 8-1-8.4-1; (4) Approval of Revised Electric Depreciation Rates Applicable to its Electric Plant in Service; (5) Approval of Necessary and Appropriate Accounting Deferral Relief; and (6) Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism for Certain Customer Classes.

Arizona Docket No. E-01933A-19-0228: In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of Tucson Electric Power Company Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona and for Related Approvals.

Georgia Docket No. 42516: In Re: Georgia Power's 2019 Rate Case.

Colorado Proceeding No. 19AL-0268E: Re: In the Matter of Advice No. 1797-Electric of Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado P.U.C. No. 8-Electric Tariff to Implement Rate Changes Effective on Thirty Days' Notice.

New York Case No. 19-E-0378: Proceeding on the Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Case No. 19-E-0380: Proceeding on the Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

Maryland Case No. 9610: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Adjustments to its Electric and Gas Base Rates.

Nevada Docket No. 19-06002: In the Matter of the Application by Sierra Pacific Power Company, D/B/A NV Energy, Filed Pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and NRS 704.110(4), Addressing its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers.

Florida Docket No. 20190061-EI: In Re: Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for Approval of FPL SolarTogether Program and Tariff.

Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-126: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates – Test Year 2020.

Wisconsin Docket No. 5-UR-109: Joint Application of Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC for Authority to Adjust Electric, Natural Gas, and Steam Rates – Test Year 2020.

New Mexico Case No. 19-00158-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Approval of PNM Solar Direct Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, Power Purchase Agreement, and Advice Notice Nos. 560 and 561.

Indiana Cause No. 45235: Petition of Indiana Michigan Power Company, and Indiana Corporation, for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service through a Phase In Rate Adjustment; and for Approval of Related Relief Including: (1) Revised Depreciation Rates; (2) Accounting Relief; (3) Inclusion in Rate Base of Qualified Pollution Control Property and Clean Energy Project; (4) Enhancements to the Dry Sorbent Injection System; (5) Advanced Metering Infrastructure; (6) Rate Adjustment Mechanism Proposals; and (7) New Schedules of Rates, Rules and Regulations.

Iowa Docket No. RPU-2019-0001: In Re: Interstate Power and Light Company.

Texas Docket No. 49494: Application of AEP Texas Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.

Arkansas Docket No. 19-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2019-00050: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Determination of the Fair Rate of Return on Common Equity Pursuant to § 56-585.1:1 of the Code of Virginia.

Indiana Docket No. 45159: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pursuant to Indiana Code §§ 8-1-2-42.7, 8-1-2-61 and Indiana Code §§ 1-2.5-6 for (1) Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service Through a Phase In of Rates; (2) Approval of New Schedules of Rates and Charges, General Rules and Regulations, and Riders; (3) Approval of Revised Common and Electric Depreciation Rates Applicable to its Electric Plant in Service; (4) Approval of Necessary and Appropriate Accounting Relief; and (5) Approval of a New Service Structure for Industrial Rates.

Texas Docket No. 49421: Application of Centerpoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC for Authority to Change Rates.

Nevada Docket No. 18-11015: Re: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Under Advice No. 491, to Implement NV Greenenergy 2.0 Rider Schedule No. NGR 2.0 to Allow Eligible Commercial Bundled Service Customers to Voluntarily Contract with the Utility to Increase Their Use of Reliance on Renewable Energy at Current Market-Based Fixed Prices.

Nevada Docket No. 18-11016: Re: Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Under Advice No. 614-E, to Implement NV Greenenergy 2.0 Rider Schedule No. NGR 2.0 to Allow Eligible Commercial Bundled Service Customers to Voluntarily Contract with the Utility to Increase Their Use of Reliance on Renewable Energy at Current Market-Based Fixed Prices.

Georgia Docket No. 42310: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2019 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Certification of Capacity From Plant Scherer Unit 3 and Plant Goat Rock Units 9-12 and Application for Decertification of Plant Hammond Units 1-4, Plant McIntosh Unit 1, Plant Langdale Units 5-6, Plant Riverview Units 1-2, and Plant Estatoah Unit 1.

Wyoming Docket Nos. 20003-177-ET-18: In the Matter of the Application of Cheyenne Light, Fuel and Power Company D/B/A Black Hills Energy For Approval to Implement a Renewable Ready Service Tariff.

South Carolina Docket No. 2018-318-E: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Montana Docket No. D2018.2.12: Application for Authority to Increase Retail Electric Utility Service Rates and for Approval of Electric Service Schedules and Rules and Allocated Cost of Service and Rate Design.

Louisiana Docket No. U-35019: In Re: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Authorization to Make Available Experimental Renewable Option and Rate Schedule ERO.

Arkansas Docket No. 18-037-TF: In the Matter of the Petition of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Its Solar Energy Purchase Option.

#### *2018*

South Carolina Docket No. 2017-370-E: Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Inc., for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination Between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Inc., as may be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans.

Kansas Docket No. 18-KCPE-480-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00173: Petition of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. for Permission to Aggregate or Combine Demands of Two or More Individual Nonresidential Retail Customers of Electric Energy Pursuant to § 56-577 A 4 of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00174: Petition of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. for Permission to Aggregate or Combine Demands of Two or More Individual Nonresidential Retail Customers of Electric Energy Pursuant to § 56-577 A 4 of the Code of Virginia.

Oregon Docket No. UM 1953: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Investigation into Proposed Green Tariff.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00179: Application of Appalachian Power Company for Approval of an 100% Renewable Energy Rider Pursuant to § 56-577.A.5 of the Code of Virginia.

Missouri Docket No. ER-2018-0145: In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.

Missouri Docket No. ER-2018-0146: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.

Kansas Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Oregon Docket No. UE 335: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision.

North Dakota Case No. PU-17-398: In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility Service in North Dakota.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00179: Application of Appalachian Power Company for Approval of an 100 Percent Renewable Energy Rider Pursuant to § 56-577 A 5 of the Code of Virginia.

Missouri Case No. ET-2018-0063: In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Approval of 2017 Green Tariff.

New Mexico Case No. 17-00255-UT: In the Matter of Southwestern Public Service Company's Application for Revision of its Retail Rates Under Advice Notice No. 272.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00157: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval of 100 Percent Renewable Energy Tariffs for Residential and Non-Residential Customers.

Kansas Docket No. 18-KCPE-095-MER: In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Merger of Westar Energy, Inc. and Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

North Carolina Docket No. E-7, Sub 1146: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Louisiana Docket No. U-34619: In Re: Application for Expedited Certification and Approval of the Acquisition of Certain Renewable Resources and the Construction of a Generation Tie Pursuant to the 1983 and/or/1994 General Orders.

Missouri Case No. EM-2018-0012: In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Merger with Westar Energy, Inc.

2017

Arkansas Docket No. 17-038-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval to Acquire a Wind Generating Facility and to Construct a Dedicated Generation Tie Line.

Texas Docket No. 47461: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorization and Related Relief for the Wind Catcher Energy Connection Project.

Oklahoma Cause No. PUD 201700267: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Approval of the Cost Recovery of the Wind Catcher Energy Connection Project; A Determination There is Need for the Project; Approval for Future Inclusion in Base Rates Cost Recovery of Prudent Costs Incurred by PSO for the Project; Approval of a Temporary Cost Recovery Rider; Approval of Certain Accounting Procedures Regarding Federal Production Tax Credits; Waiver of OAC 165:35-38-5(E); And Such Other Relief the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Nevada Docket No. 17-06003: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and (4), Addressing Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Customers.

North Carolina Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Oklahoma Cause No. PUD 201700151: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Kentucky Case No. 2017-00179: Electronic Application of Kentucky Power Company for (1) a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2017 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; (4) an Order Approving Accounting Practices to Establish Regulatory Assets and Liabilities; and (5) an Order Granting All Other Requested Relief.

New York Case No. 17-E-0238: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation for Electric and Gas Service.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00060: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval of 100 Percent Renewable Energy Tariffs Pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia.

New Jersey Docket No. ER17030308: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company for Approval of Amendments to its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1, for Approval of a Grid Resiliency Initiative and Cost Recovery Related Thereto, and for Other Appropriate Relief.

Texas Docket No. 46831: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Oregon Docket No. UE 319: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Mexico Case No. 16-00276-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice No. 533.



Minnesota Docket No. E015/GR-16-664: In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Ohio Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, In the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

Texas Docket No. 46449: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Arkansas Docket No. 16-052-U: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates, Charges, and Tariffs.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0358: In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.

Florida Docket No. 160186-Ei: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

*2016*

Missouri Case No. ER-2016-0179: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Kansas Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc. by Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0208: In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Offer a Pilot Distributed Solar Program and File Associated Tariff.

Utah Docket No. 16-035-T09: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 34, Renewable Energy Tariff.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537359: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537352: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537355: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537349: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Michigan Case No. U-17990: In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Florida Docket No. 160021-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

Minnesota Docket No. E-002/GR-15-816: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16AL-0048E: Re: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Replace Colorado PUC No.7-Electric Tariff with Colorado PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0055E: Re: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its Solar\*Connect Program.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2016-0023: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 40161: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500273: In the Matter of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

New Mexico Case No. 15-00261-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 513.

#### *2015*

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company for Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service and for Approval of: (1) Changes to its Electric Service Tariff Including a New Schedule of Rates and Charges and Changes to the General Rules and Regulations and Certain Riders; (2) Revised Depreciation Accrual Rates; (3) Inclusion in its Basic Rates and Charges of the Costs Associated with Certain Previously Approved Qualified Pollution Control Property, Clean Coal Technology, Clean Energy Projects and Federally Mandated Compliance Projects; and (4) Accounting Relief to Allow NIPSCO to Defer, as a Regulatory Asset or Liability, Certain Costs for Recovery in a Future Proceeding.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 44941: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142: In the matter of the Application of UNS Electric, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realized a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of UNS Electric, Inc. Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona, and for Related Approvals.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4568: In Re: National Grid's Rate Design Plan.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500208: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 4220-UR-121: Application of Northern States Power Company, A Wisconsin Corporation, for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-015-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0283: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0284: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Gas Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0285: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0286: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Gas Service.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application Seeking Approval of Ohio Power Company's Proposal to Enter Into an Affiliate Power Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the Power Purchase Agreement Rider.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-124: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-034-U: In the Matter of an Interim Rate Schedule of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Imposing a Surcharge to Recover All Investments and Expenses Incurred Through Compliance with Legislative or Administrative Rules, Regulations, or Requirements Relating to the Public Health, Safety or the Environment Under the Federal Clean Air Act for Certain of its Existing Generation Facilities.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-WSEE-115-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-17767: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 43695: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-17735: In the Matter of the Application of the Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00396: Application of Kentucky Power Company for a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2014 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; and (4) an Order Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00371: In the Matter of the Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00372: In the Matter of the Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates.

2014

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

West Virginia Case No. 14-1152-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, Both d/b/a American Electric Power, Joint Application for Rate Increases and Changes in Tariff Provisions.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201400229: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Commission Authorization of a Plan to Comply with the Federal Clean Air Act and Cost Recovery; and for Approval of the Mustang Modernization Plan.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0258: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428742: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428743: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428744: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428745: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-141368: In the Matter of the Petition of Puget Sound Energy to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of Service and For Electric Rate Design Purposes.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-140762: 2014 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

West Virginia Public Service Commission Case No. 14-0702-E-42T: Monongahela Power Company and the Potomac Edison Company Rule 42T Tariff Filing to Increase Rates and Charges.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14AL-0660E: Re: In the Matter of the Advice Letter No. 1672-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Rate Changes Effective July 18, 2014.

Maryland Case No. 9355: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2014-UN-132: In Re: Notice of Intent of Entergy Mississippi, Inc. to Modernize Rates to Support Economic Development, Power Procurement, and Continued Investment.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14-05004: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for Authority to Increase its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 14-035-T02: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service From Renewable Energy Facilities.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 140002-EG: In Re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-123: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Connecticut Docket No. 14-05-06: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00026: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2014 Biennial Review for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00033: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Va. Code § 56-249.6.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224 (Four Corners Phase): In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-13-868: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-035-184: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0224: In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300217: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma to be in Compliance with Order No. 591185 Issued in Cause No. PUD 201100106 Which Requires a Base Rate Case to be Filed by PSO and the Resulting Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 13-2386-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

2013

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300201: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Commission Authorization of a Standby and Supplemental Service Rate Schedule.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 36989: Georgia Power's 2013 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 267: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt-Out.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 13-0387: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariff Filing to Present the Illinois Commerce Commission with an Opportunity to Consider Revenue Neutral Tariff Changes Related to Rate Design Authorized by Subsection 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004: In Re: MidAmerican Energy Company.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. EL12-061: In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Electric Rates. (filed with confidential stipulation)

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS: In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 263: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-028-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Docket No. PUE-2013-00020: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2013 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130040-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2013-59-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 262: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER12111052: In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company For Review and Approval of Increases in and Other Adjustments to Its Rates and Charges For Electric Service, and For Approval of Other Proposed Tariff Revisions in Connection Therewith; and for Approval of an Accelerated Reliability Enhancement Program ("2012 Base Rate Filing")

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 1026: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 264: PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, 2014 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utilities Commission of California Docket No. 12-12-002: Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for 2013 Rate Design Window Proceeding.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket Nos. 12-426-EL-SSO, 12-427-EL-ATA, 12-428-EL-AAM, 12-429-EL-WVR, and 12-672-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company Approval of its Market Offer.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket E-2, Sub 1023: In the Matter of Application of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

*2012*

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 40443: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2012-218-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Increases and Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Request for Mid-Period Reduction in Base Rates for Fuel.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-KCPE-764-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-GIMX-337-GIV: In the Matter of a General Investigation of Energy-Efficiency Policies for Utility Sponsored Energy Efficiency Programs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 120015-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-10-002: Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 11-035-200: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2012-00051: Application of Appalachian Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER11080469: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric for Approval of Amendments to Its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1 and For Other Appropriate Relief.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39896: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2012-0009: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11AL-947E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1597-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Changes Effective December 23, 2011.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0721: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariffs and Charges Submitted Pursuant to Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 38951: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Approval of Competitive Generation Service tariff (Issues Severed from Docket No. 37744).

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-06-007: Southern California Edison's General Rate Case, Phase 2.

*2011*

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224: In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201100087: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2011-271-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2011-2256365: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval to Implement Reconciliation Rider for Default Supply Service.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 989: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 110138: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 11-06006: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers to recover the costs of constructing the Harry Allen Combined Cycle plant and other generating, transmission, and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in the cost of capital, depreciation rates and cost of service, and for relief properly related thereto.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., to Engage in a Business Combination Transaction and to Address Regulatory Conditions and Codes of Conduct.



Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00037: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a 2011 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service and Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00045: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-035-124: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Maryland Public Utilities Commission Case No. 9249: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-10-971: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-16472: In the Matter of the Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

#### *2010*

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10A-554EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals, and Incentives.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 10-0699-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201000050: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 31958-U: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2010 Rate Case.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-100749: 2010 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-254E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Black Hills Energy's Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-245E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Public Service Company of Colorado Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase II*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 217: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER Request for a General Rate Revision.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2010-AD-57: In Re: Proposal of the Mississippi Public Service Commission to Possibly Amend Certain Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to Ind. Code § 8-1-2.5-1, *ET SEQ.*, for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2.5-1 *ET SEQ.* and 8-1-2-42 (a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs, Including the Powershare® Program in its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 37744: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2009-489-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Adjustments and Increases in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2009-00459: In the Matter of General Adjustments in Electric Rates of Kentucky Power Company.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00125: For acquisition of natural gas facilities Pursuant to § 56-265.4:5 B of the Virginia Code.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-010-U: In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry Into Energy Efficiency.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 09-12-05: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-084-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. ER-2010-0036: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Public Service Commission of Delaware Docket No. 09-414: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Charges.

2009

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00030: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a Statutory Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase I*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-23: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority To Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 09AL-299E: Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Docket No. PUD 200800398: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 08-12002: In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS §704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers, begin to recover the costs of acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental Retrofits and other generating, transmission and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in cost of service and for relief properly related thereto.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Case No. 08-00024-UT: In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Revise NMPRC Rule 17.7.2 NMAC to Implement the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43580: Investigation by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, of Smart Grid Investments and Smart Grid Information Issues Contained in 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. § 2621(d)), as Amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II (February 2009)*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2008-251-E: In the Matter of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Application For the Establishment of Procedures to Encourage Investment in Energy Efficient Technologies; Energy Conservation Programs; And Incentives and Cost Recovery for Such Programs.

2008

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 08A-366EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for approval of its electric and natural gas demand-side management (DSM) plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and to change its electric and gas DSM cost adjustment rates effective January 1, 2009, and for related waivers and authorizations.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 07-035-93: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan for the Offering of Energy Efficiency, Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 07-12001: In the Matter of the Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company for authority to increase its general rates charged to all classes of electric customers to reflect an increase in annual revenue requirement and for relief properly related thereto.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 07A-420E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado For Authority to Implement and Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Cost Recovery and Incentives.

*2007*

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UG 173: In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff Request to Open an Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas.

*2006*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 179: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY Request for a general rate increase in the company's Oregon annual revenues.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase II*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

*2005*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I Compliance*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UX 29: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION Petition to Exempt from Regulation Qwest's Switched Business Services.

*2004*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

**TESTIMONY BEFORE LEGISLATIVE BODIES**

*2020*

Regarding Missouri Senate Joint Resolution 34: Written testimony submitted to the Missouri Senate Transportation, Infrastructure and Public Safety Committee, January 30, 2020.

*2019*

Regarding North Carolina Senate Bill 559: Written testimony submitted to the North Carolina Committee on Agriculture/Environment/Natural Resources, April 17, 2019.

Regarding Missouri Senate Joint Resolution 25: Written testimony submitted to the Missouri Senate Committee on Judiciary, March 28, 2019.

Regarding South Carolina House Bill 3659: Written testimony submitted to the South Carolina Senate Committee on Judiciary, March 14, 2019.

Regarding Kansas Senate Bill 69: Written testimony submitted to the Kansas Committee on Utilities, February 19, 2019.

*2018*

Regarding Missouri Senate Bill 564: Testimony before the Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment, January 10, 2018.

*2017*

Regarding Missouri Senate Bill 190: Testimony before the Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment, January 25, 2017.

*2016*

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1726: Testimony before the Missouri House Energy and Environment Committee, April 26, 2016.

*2014*

Regarding Kansas House Bill 2460: Testimony Before the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications, February 12, 2014.

*2012*

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1488: Testimony Before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, February 7, 2012.

*2011*

Regarding Missouri Senate Bills 50, 321, 359, and 406: Testimony Before the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs Committee, March 9, 2011.

## **AFFIDAVITS**

*2015*

Supreme Court of Illinois, Docket No. 118129, Commonwealth Edison Company et al., respondents, v. Illinois Commerce Commission et al. (Illinois Competitive Energy Association et al., petitioners). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

*2011*

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11M-951E: In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Company of Colorado Pursuant to C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1)(d) for Interim Rate Relief Effective on or before January 21, 2012.

**ENERGY INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

Panelist, Public Private Partnerships: How Utilities and Customers are Working Together for a More Sustainable Future, Arkansas Advanced Energy Association Empower Arkansas, Little Rock, Arkansas, October 14, 2021.

Panelist, Understanding Nontraditional Stakeholders, University of Idaho Energy Executive Summit, Austin, Texas, October 12, 2021.

Panelist, US City & Corporate Clean Energy Procurement and its Role in Achieving the Paris Agreement's Goals, United States Environmental Protection Agency, September 1, 2021.

Panelist, WalStreet Fireside Chat – Future of Energy, Bentonville Chamber of Commerce, July 27, 2021.

Panelist, Corporate Customer Partnerships, EEI 2021: The Road to Net Zero, June 9, 2021.

Panelist, Counting to Clean: Corporate Sustainability and Renewable Energy, Energy Bar Association, May 12, 2021.

Speaker, Designing a Customer-Centric Clean Energy Standard, REBA Connect 2021 Virtual Member Summit, May 11, 2021.

Panelist, Delivering 100% Carbon Free Energy: Options & Issues, Northwestern Center on Law, Business, and Economics, March 16, 2021.

Electric Company Updates and Discussion on Best Practices for Serving National Corporate Customers Webinar, Edison Electric Institute, March 9, 2021.

Panelist, ComEd Fleet Electrification Webinar, December 10, 2020.

Panelist, Corporate Offtaker Perspectives Panel, Southeast Renewable Energy Summit, November 18, 2020.

Panelist, EEI National Key Accounts – Connections that Mean Business for Corporate Customers, EEI Fall National Key Accounts Workshop, October 28, 2020.

Panelist, COVID-19, a Catalyzer or a Barrier to Decarbonization?, Power & Renewables Summit 2020, September 28, 2020.

Panelist, What Organized Markets Can Do for You, REBA Connect: Virtual Member Summit 2020, June 2, 2020.

Panelist, Expanding Future Procurement Options, REBA Connect: Virtual Member Summit 2020, May 13, 2020.

Panelist, Renewable Energy Options for Large Utility Customers, NARUC Center for Partnership & Innovation Webinar Series, January 16, 2020.

Panelist, Pathways to Integrating Customer Clean Energy Demand in Utility Planning, REBA: Market Innovation webinar, January 13, 2020.

Panelist, Should Full Electrification of Energy Systems be Our Goal? If it's No Longer Business as Usual, What Does That Mean for Consumers?, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates 2019 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas, November 18, 2019.

Panelist, Fleet Electrification, Federal Utility Partnership Working Group Seminar, Washington, DC, November 8, 2019.

Panelist, Tackling the Challenges of Extreme Weather, Edison Electric Institute Fall National Key Accounts Workshop, Las Vegas, Nevada, October 8, 2019.

Panelist, Fleet Electrification: Tackling the Challenges and Seizing the Opportunities for Electric Trucks, Powering the People 2019, Washington, D.C., September 24, 2019.

Panelist, From the Consumer Perspective, Mid-American Regulatory Conference 2019 Annual Meeting, Des Moines, Iowa, August 13, 2019.

Panelist, Redefining Resiliency: Emerging Technologies Benefiting Customers and the Grid, EPRI 2019 Summer Seminar, Chicago, Illinois, August 12, 2019.

Panelist, Energy Policies for Economic Growth, 2019 Energy Policy Summit, NCSL Legislative Summit, Nashville, Tennessee, August 5, 2019.

Panelist, Gateway to Energy Empowerment for Customers, Illumination Energy Summit, Columbus, Ohio, May 15, 2019.

Panelist, Advancing Clean Energy Solutions Through Stakeholder Collaborations, 2019 State Energy Conference of North Carolina, Raleigh, North Carolina, May 1, 2019.

Panelist, Fleet Electrification: Getting Ready for the Transition, Edison Electric Institute Spring National Key Accounts Workshop, Seattle, Washington, April 8, 2019.

Panelist, Where the Fleet Meets the Pavement, Which Way to Electrification of the U.S. Transportation System?, Washington, D.C., April 4, 2019.

Panelist, Improving Renewable Energy Offerings: What Have We Learned?, Advanced Energy Economy Webinar, March 26, 2019.

Speaker, National Governors Association Southeast Regional Transportation Electrification Workshop, Nashville, Tennessee, March 11, 2019.

Speaker, Walmart Spotlight: A Day in the Life of a National Energy Manager, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives Net Conference 2019, San Diego, California, February 12, 2019.

Panelist, National Accounts: The Struggle is Real, American Public Power Association Customer Connections Conference, Orlando, Florida, November 6, 2018.

Panelist, Getting in Front of Customers Getting Behind the Meter Solutions, American Public Power Association Customer Connections Conference, Orlando, Florida, November 6, 2018.

Panelist, Sustainable Fleets: The Road Ahead for Electrifying Fleet Operations, EEI National Key Accounts 2018 Fall Workshop, San Antonio, Texas, October 23, 2018.

Panelist, Meeting Corporate Clean Energy Requirements in Virginia, Renewable Energy Buyers Alliance Summit, Oakland, California, October 15, 2018.

Panelist, What Are the Anticipated Impacts on Pricing and Reliability in the Changing Markets?, Southwest Energy Conference, Phoenix, Arizona, September 21, 2018.

Speaker, Walmart's Project Gigaton – Driving Renewable Energy Sourcing in the Supply Chain, Smart Energy Decisions Webcast Series, July 11, 2018.

Panelist, Customizing Energy Solutions, Edison Electric Institute Annual Convention, San Diego, California, June 7, 2018.

Powering Ohio Report Release, Columbus, Ohio, May 29, 2018.

Panelist, The Past, Present, and Future of Renewable Energy: What Role Will PURPA, Mandates, and Collaboration Play as Renewables Become a Larger Part of Our Energy Mix?, 36<sup>th</sup> National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 17, 2018.

Panelist, Sustainability Milestone Deep Dive Session, Walmart Global Sustainability Leaders Summit, Bentonville, Arkansas, April 18, 2018.

Panelist, The Customer's Voice, Tennessee Valley Authority Distribution Marketplace Forum, Murfreesboro, Tennessee, April 3, 2018.

Panelist, Getting to Yes with Large Customers to Meet Sustainability Goals, The Edison Foundation Institute for Electric Innovation Powering the People, March 7, 2018.

Panelist, The Corporate Quest for Renewables, 2018 NARUC Winter Policy Summit, Washington, D.C., February 13, 2018.

Panelist, Solar and Renewables, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives NET Conference 2018, St. Petersburg, Florida, February 6, 2018.

Panelist, Missouri Public Service Commission November 20, 2017 Workshop in File No. EW-2017-0245.

Panelist, Energy and Climate Change, 2017-18 Arkansas Law Review Symposium: Environmental Sustainability and Private Governance, Fayetteville, Arkansas, October 27, 2017.

Panelist, Customer – Electric Company – Regulator Panel, Edison Electric Institute Fall National Key Accounts Workshop, National Harbor, Maryland, October 12, 2017.

Panelist, What Do C&I Buyers Want, Solar Power International, Las Vegas, Nevada, September 12, 2017.

Panelist, Partnerships for a Sustainable Future, American Public Power Association National Conference, Orlando, Florida, June 20, 2017.

Panelist, Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers in the Southeast, SEARUC 2017, Greensboro, Georgia, June 12, 2017.

Panelist, Transitioning Away from Traditional Utilities, Utah Association of Energy Users Annual Conference, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 18, 2017.

Panelist, Regulatory Approaches for Integrating and Facilitating DERs, New Mexico State University Center for Public Utilities Advisory Council Current Issues 2017, Santa Fe, New Mexico, April 25, 2017.

Presenter, Advancing Renewables in the Midwest, Columbia, Missouri, April 24, 2017.



Panelist, Leveraging New Energy Technologies to Improve Service and Reliability, Edison Electric Institute Spring National Key Accounts Workshop, Phoenix, Arizona, April 11, 2017.

Panelist, Private Sector Demand for Renewable Power, Vanderbilt Law School, Nashville, Tennessee, April 4, 2017.

Panelist, Expanding Solar Market Opportunities, 2017 Solar Power Colorado, Denver, Colorado, March 15, 2017.

Panelist, Renewables: Are Business Models Keeping Up?, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives NET Conference 2017, San Diego, California, January 30, 2017.

Panelist, The Business Case for Clean Energy, Minnesota Conservative Energy Forum, St. Paul, Minnesota, October 26, 2016.

Panelist, M-RETS Stakeholder Summit, Minneapolis, Minnesota, October 5, 2016.

Panelist, 40<sup>th</sup> Governor's Conference on Energy & the Environment, Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, Lexington, Kentucky, September 21, 2016.

Panelist, Trends in Customer Expectations, Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, Madison, Wisconsin, September 6, 2016.

Panelist, The Governor's Utah Energy Development Summit 2015, May 21, 2015.

Mock Trial Expert Witness, The Energy Bar Association State Commission Practice and Regulation Committee and Young Lawyers Committee and Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Section of the D.C. Bar, Mastering Your First (or Next) State Public Utility Commission Hearing, February 13, 2014.

Panelist, Customer Panel, Virginia State Bar 29<sup>th</sup> National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 19, 2011.

Chriss, S. (2006). "Regulatory Incentives and Natural Gas Purchasing – Lessons from the Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Western Conference, Center for Research in Regulated Industries Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition, Monterey, California, June 29, 2006.

Chriss, S. (2005). "Public Utility Commission of Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR. Report published in June, 2005. Presented to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon at a special public meeting on August 1, 2005.

Chriss, S. and M. Radler (2003). "Report from Houston: Conference on Energy Deregulation and Restructuring." USAEE Dialogue, Vol. 11, No. 1, March, 2003.

Chriss, S., M. Dwyer, and B. Pulliam (2002). "Impacts of Lifting the Ban on ANS Exports on West Coast Crude Oil Prices: A Reconsideration of the Evidence." Presented at the 22nd USAEE/IAEE North American Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, October 6-8, 2002.

Contributed to chapter on power marketing: "Power System Operations and Electricity Markets," Fred I. Denny and David E. Dismukes, authors. Published by CRC Press, June 2002.

Contributed to "Moving to the Front Lines: The Economic Impact of the Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana," David E. Dismukes, author. Published by the Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies, October 2001.

Dismukes, D.E., D.V. Mesyanzhinov, E.A. Downer, S. Chriss, and J.M. Burke (2001). "Alaska Natural Gas In-State Demand Study." Anchorage: Alaska Department of Natural Resources.