

Portland General Electric Company 121 SW Salmon Street • 1WTC0306 • Portland, OR 97204 portlandgeneral.com

January 20, 2022

Via Electronic Filing

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attention: Filing Center P.O. Box 1088 Salem, OR 97308-1088

RE: UE 394 – In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing today in the above-referenced docket is an Errata to Joint Testimony in Support of a Partial Stipulation originally filed on January 18, 2022.

Upon further review, the Parties have identified the following error to be corrected:

In Stipulation Parties Exhibit 300, on page Muldoon – Gehrke – Mullins – Bieber – Chriss – Steele – Ferchland / 5 at line 1, Stipulating Parties misidentified the \$10.0 million non-NVPC revenue requirement increase as a "reduction." A corrected version of page 5 is attached in both redline and clean format. This misstatement does not impact Exhibits 301, 302 or 303.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (503) 464-7488. Please direct all formal correspondence and requests to the following e-mail address: <u>pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com</u>.

Sincerely, Jaki Ferchland Manager, Revenue Requirement

CC: UE 394 service list

UE 394 / Stipulating Parties / 300 Muldoon – Gehrke – Mullins – Bieber – Chriss – Steele – Ferchland / 5

		Muldoon – Genrke – Mullins – Bleder – Chriss – Steele – Ferchland / 5
1		resolved that, along with previous stipulations, result in a by the \$10.0 million increase
2		reduction to non-NVPC revenue requirement are listed in the Stipulation. The five specific
3		revenue requirement issues raised by parties in testimony and excluded from the Third Partial
4		Stipulation are described below.
5		In addition to resolving non-NVPC revenue requirement issues, the Third Partial
6		Stipulation resolves an issue raised by CUB related to customer deposits for residential
7		customers, issues raised by Staff and AWEC related to load forecasting and resolves issues
8		related to PGE's Decoupling Mechanism.
9	Q.	Which five revenue requirement issues are not resolved by the Third Partial Stipulation?
10		A. First, Parties will continue litigating the availability of the Fee Free Bank Card
11		program for commercial customers. Second, Parties will continue litigating the \$3.0 million
12		wildfire mitigation and vegetation management holdback as it relates to the mechanism
13		proposed by Staff. Parties agree that, should the Commission deem the \$3.0 million holdback
14		appropriate this would reduce PGE's non-NVPC increase on the rate effective date from \$10.0
15		million to \$7.0 million.
16		Third, Parties agree that this settlement does not constitute any agreement among the
17		Parties that PGE is allowed to recover expenses associated with Amazon Pay that may be in
18		excess of the per-transaction costs associated with other digital wallet payment options for
19		customers.
20		Fourth, Parties will continue to litigate whether PGE has appropriately funded the Trojan
21		Nuclear Decommissioning Trust.
22		Finally, Parties will continue to litigate whether the Commission should determine the
23		appropriate ratemaking treatment for the Faraday Repowering Project in this case, a

UE 394 - 2022 General Rate Revision – Joint Testimony in **Support of Third Partial Stipulation**

Muldoon – Gehrke – Mullins – Bieber – Chriss – Steele – Ferchland / 5

resolved that, along with previous stipulations, result in a \$10.0 million increase to non-NVPC
revenue requirement are listed in the Stipulation. The five specific revenue requirement issues
raised by parties in testimony and excluded from the Third Partial Stipulation are described
below.

5 In addition to resolving non-NVPC revenue requirement issues, the Third Partial 6 Stipulation resolves an issue raised by CUB related to customer deposits for residential 7 customers, issues raised by Staff and AWEC related to load forecasting and resolves issues 8 related to PGE's Decoupling Mechanism.

9

Q. Which five revenue requirement issues are not resolved by the Third Partial Stipulation?

A. First, Parties will continue litigating the availability of the Fee Free Bank Card program for commercial customers. Second, Parties will continue litigating the \$3.0 million wildfire mitigation and vegetation management holdback as it relates to the mechanism proposed by Staff. Parties agree that, should the Commission deem the \$3.0 million holdback appropriate this would reduce PGE's non-NVPC increase on the rate effective date from \$10.0 million to \$7.0 million.

Third, Parties agree that this settlement does not constitute any agreement among the Parties that PGE is allowed to recover expenses associated with Amazon Pay that may be in excess of the per-transaction costs associated with other digital wallet payment options for customers.

20

21

Fourth, Parties will continue to litigate whether PGE has appropriately funded the Trojan Nuclear Decommissioning Trust.

Finally, Parties will continue to litigate whether the Commission should determine the appropriate ratemaking treatment for the Faraday Repowering Project in this case, a

UE 394 - 2022 General Rate Revision – Joint Testimony in Support of Third Partial Stipulation