



Portland General Electric Company
Legal Department
121 SW Salmon Street • 1WTC1301 • Portland, OR 97204
Phone 503-464-8544 • Fax 503-464-2200
portlandgeneral.com

Erin Apperson
Assistant General Counsel II
Erin.Apperson@pgn.com

May 12, 2022

Via Electronic Filing

Public Utility Commission of Oregon
Attention: Filing Center
201 High Street Southeast, Suite 100
Post Office Box 1088
Salem, Oregon 97308-1088

Re: UM 2166 – In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company 2021 All-Source Request for Proposals – Independent Evaluator’s Final Report

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing today in the above-referenced docket is Portland General Electric Company’s (“PGE”) Errata to the Final Report prepared by Bates White, the Independent Evaluator for this docket. This erratum is from the May 5, 2022 Final Report filing, specifically Page 27. The errata filing is to update the capacity column on table 7 which had missing fields.

Please direct any questions regarding this filing to Jimmy Lindsay at (503) 464-8311. Please direct all formal correspondence and requests to the following email address pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Erin Apperson".

Erin Apperson
Assistant General Counsel II

EA:dm
Enclosure

Table 7: Dispatchable Offers

[Begin Highly Confidential]				[Begin Highly Confidential]	
Bid Number	Technology	Transaction	Capacity (MW)		
16.1.Alt_1	Pumped Storage	PPA	393		
16.1.Alt_2	Pumped Storage	PPA	393		
16.1.Base	Pumped Storage	PPA	393		
16.2.Alt_1	Pumped Storage	PPA	197		
16.2.Base	Pumped Storage	PPA	197		
43.3.Alt_1	BESS	PPA	100		
65.1.Alt_1	Pumped Storage	BTA	400		
65.1.Base	Pumped Storage	PPA	400		
69.1.Alt_2	BESS	PPA	100		
9.3.Alt_1	BESS	PPA	175		
9.3.Alt_2	BESS	PPA	150		
9.3.Base	BESS	PPA	200		
9.4.Alt_1	BESS	BTA	50		
9.4.Base	BESS	BTA	75		
9.5.Alt_1	BESS	BTA	100		
9.5.Alt_2	BESS	BTA	75		
9.5.Base	BESS	BTA	125		

[End Highly Confidential] [End Highly Confidential]

From this table we can see that there were clear splits in value between the offers. The [Begin Highly Confidential] [Redacted] [End Highly Confidential] were clearly the lowest cost and most valuable offers. All BESS systems had similar benefits, as we would expect. The pumped storage projects have higher capacity values but also lower output. PGE shows BESS projects with an average capacity factor of about 13% versus between 3 and 10% for the pumped storage projects.

PGE then assigned price and non-price scores to the offers. The Final numbers are below.

Table 8: Dispatchable Offers

[Begin Highly Confidential]									
Bid Number	Ridder	Project Name	Technology	Transaction	Capacity (MW)	Cost Benefit Ratio	Price Score	Non-price Score	Total
[Redacted]									

[End Highly Confidential]

With the inclusion of non-price scores the final ranking in the category is shown below. PGE proposed taking all battery offers from the [Begin Highly Confidential] [Redacted] [End Highly Confidential]. This seemed reasonable as it provided an appropriate amount of capacity (about 500 MW of ELCC), there was a clear split in the scoring, and the inclusion of a pumped storage offer